arXiv:1512.07128v1 [math.AG] 22 Dec 2015

NONCOMMUTATIVE HOMOLOGICAL MIRROR FUNCTOR

CHEOL-HYUN CHO, HANSOL HONG, AND SIU-CHEONG LAU

ABSTRACT. We formulate a constructive theory of noncommutative Landau-Ginzburg models mirror to symplectic manifolds based on Lagrangian Floer theory. The construction comes with a natural functor from the Fukaya category to the category of matrix factorizations of the constructed Landau-Ginzburg model. As applications, it is applied to elliptic orbifolds, punctured Riemann surfaces and certain non-compact Calabi-Yau threefolds to construct their mirrors and functors. In particular it recovers and strengthens several interesting results of Etingof-Ginzburg, Bocklandt and Smith, and gives a unified understanding of their results in terms of mirror symmetry and symplectic geometry. As an interesting application, we construct an explicit global deformation quantization of an affine del Pezzo surface as a noncommutative mirror to an elliptic orbifold.

1. INTRODUCTION

Mirror symmetry reveals a deep duality between symplectic geometry and complex geometry. For a mirror pair of geometries $(X, \check{X})$, homological mirror symmetry conjecture proposed by Kontsevich [Kon95] asserts that the (derived) Fukaya category of Lagrangian submanifolds of X (symplectic geometry) is (quasi-)equivalent to the derived category of coherent sheaves of $\check{X}$ (complex geometry), and vice versa.

Homological mirror symmetry has been proved in several interesting classes of geometries, see for instance [PZ98, KS01, Fuk02c, Sei11, Sei, Abo06, FLTZ12, She11, She15, CHLb, Kea]. A common approach to homological mirror symmetry begins with a given pair of mirror geometries. Then one attempts to find generators of the categories on both sides, and compute the morphisms spaces between the generators case-by-case using highly non-trivial techniques in Lagrangian Floer theory and homological algebra. Finally one needs to construct isomorphisms between the morphism spaces on both sides, often with the help of homological perturbation lemma. This would give the required quasi-equivalence between the two categories.

Strominger-Yau-Zaslow [SYZ96] proposed a uniform constructive approach to mirror symmetry. They conjectured that a Calabi-Yau manifold has a special Lagrangian torus fibration, and its mirror can be constructed by taking dual of all the torus fibers. Moreover it asserted that there should be a certain kind of Fourier-Mukai transform [LYZ00] which can produce a functor for realizing homological mirror symmetry. In symplectic geometry, Fukaya [Fuk02b] proposed that a family version of Lagrangian Floer theory applied to the torus fibration would give a functor which establishes a quasi-equivalence between the two categories.

The groundbreaking works of Kontsevich-Soibelman [KS01, KS06] and Gross-Siebert [GS11] formulated very successful algebraic versions of the SYZ program. Moreover, the works [Aur07, Aur09, CLL12, CLLT12, CCLT14, CCLT, AAK, Lau14] realized the SYZ construction in different geometric contexts using symplectic geometry. Family Floer theory was further pursued by Tu [Tu14a, Tu15] and Abouzaid [Aboa, Abob] and they studied the functor for torus fibrations without singular fibers.

In [CHLb], we made a formulation which uses weakly unobstructed deformations of a Lagrangian immersion to construct the mirror geometry (which is a Landau-Ginzburg model). This is particularly useful

when a Lagrangian fibration is not known or too complicated in practice. In this formulation we can always construct a natural functor from the Fukaya category to the mirror B-model category (namely the category of matrix factorizations for a Landau-Ginzburg model). The theory was applied to the orbifold $\mathbb{P}^1_{a,b,c}$ to construct its mirror by using an immersed Lagrangian invented by Seidel [Sei11], and we showed that the functor derives homological mirror symmetry for elliptic and hyperbolic orbifolds $\mathbb{P}^1_{a,b,c}$ (with $\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{c}\leq 1$). The formulation can be regarded as a generalization of SYZ where Lagrangian immersions are used in place of a torus fibration. Note that *the original SYZ construction is not directly applicable to* $\mathbb{P}^1_{a,b,c}$.

The natural functor in [CHLb] can be understood as a *formal version of the family Floer functor* studied by [Fuk02b, Tu14a, Tu15, Aboa, Abob]. Namely, we fix a reference Lagrangian $\mathbb{L}$ and a space of odd-degree weakly unobstructed formal deformations b of $\mathbb{L}$. The mirror functor, which is essentially a family version of curved Yoneda embedding, is constructed by using the (curved) Floer theory between the family $(\mathbb{L},b)$ and other Lagrangians in the Fukaya category. For Lagrangian fibrations, the singular fibers and wall-crossing phenomenons make it very difficult to construct the family Floer functor in an explicit way. On the other hand, our formal family theory allows us to start right at an immersed Lagrangian, and there is no wall-crossing occurring in our construction. As a result the construction can be made very clean and explicit.

The idea of applying Yoneda functor to the study of Fukaya category was introduced in [KS02, Section 1] by Khovanov-Seidel. They asserted that from Fukaya's construction in the exact case, there is an A_∞-algebra $\mathscr{A}$ associated to a fixed collection of Lagrangians $\mathbb{L}:=\{L_1,\ldots,L_N\}$, and there exists a functor $D^b\mathrm{Fuk}(X)\to D(\mathscr{A})$.

But our family version of construction is somewhat different from the usual Yoneda functor in the following sense. Yoneda functor sends finite dimensional hom spaces to finite dimensional ones. But our family version behave differently in that even though we may use compact Lagrangians $\mathbb{L}$ to define our functor, it can send infinite dimensional hom spaces (between non-compact Lagrangians) into infinite dimensional ones. For example, this happens in the case of punctured Riemann surfaces, where we use compact Lagrangians to send wrapped Fukaya category to a matrix factorization category and this gives an embedding in good cases. Also we work out the construction of the mirror and functor in detail for possibly curved and non-exact situations. It is important for us to consider weakly unobstructed odd-degree deformations in order to obtain the mirrors. Moreover the class of geometries that we are interested in are generally non-Calabi-Yau. Thus we need to consider curved $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Fukaya categories and categories of matrix factorizations in the mirror.

In this paper, we extend our study in [CHLb] to noncommutative deformation directions. Such a generalization is essential and natural from the viewpoint of Lagrangian Floer theory as explained below.

First, it is important to enlarge the deformation space by noncommutative deformations. In [CHLb], we consider the solution space of the weak Maurer-Cartan equation associated to $\mathbb{L}$ in the sense of [FOOO09]. The solution space can be trivial (namely the zero vector space), which is indeed the case for a generic Lagrangian $\mathbb{L}$. The condition that the solution space being non-trivial requires certain symmetry on the moduli of holomorphic discs bounded by $\mathbb{L}$. Roughly speaking it requires that the counting of holomorphic discs through a point $p\in\mathbb{L}$ is independent of the choice of p. *By using noncommutative deformations, we can construct a non-trivial solution space in generic situations.* As an example, the Seidel Lagrangian in a three-punctured sphere (see Figure 2) is generically non-symmetric about the equator. There is no non-zero commutative solution to the weak Maurer-Cartan equation. On the other hand the noncommutative solutions form an interesting space $\mathbb{C}\langle x,y,z\rangle/\langle xy-ayx, yz-azy, zx-axz\rangle$. In Section 5.1, we construct the Sklyanin algebras as mirrors to the elliptic orbifold $\mathbb{P}^1_{3,3,3}$ using noncommutative deformations.

Second, allowing noncommutative deformations gives a practical method to globalize our mirror construction. Namely, the mirror functor constructed in [CHLb] is localized to the Lagrangian immersion $\mathbb{L}$ fixed in the beginning. In this paper, we take a family of Lagrangian immersions $\mathbb{L} = \{L_1, \dots, L_k\}$ and apply them to mirror construction. Using a collection of Lagrangian immersions can capture more about the global geometry of X and hence producing a more global functor. *Dimer models in dimension one or skeletons of coamoebas in general dimensions provide methods to produce a collection of Lagrangians* in concrete situations [FHKV08, IU11, UY11, UY13, Boc]. For example, we will construct the mirror of the elliptic orbifold $\mathbb{P}^1_{2,2,2,2}$, which cannot be produced by [CHLb] using only one Lagrangian immersion.

In order to extend the construction from one Lagrangian to several Lagrangians, the use of noncommutative deformations is natural and unavoidable. For the collection $\mathbb{L} = \{L_1, \dots, L_k\}$, the endomorphism algebra is a path algebra of a directed graph and is naturally noncommutative. While one could always quotient out the commutator ideals to obtain a commutative space, this generally loses information and the solution space of weak Maurer-Cartan equation would become too small in general.

The construction is briefly summarized as follows. The odd-degree Floer-theoretical endomorphisms of $\mathbb{L}$ are described by a directed graph Q (so-called a quiver). The path algebra ΛQ is regarded as the noncommutative space of formal deformations of $\mathbb{L}$. Each edge e of Q corresponds to an odd-degree Floer generator X_e and a formal dual variable $x_e \in \Lambda Q$. Consider the formal deformation $b = \sum_e x_e X_e$. The obstruction is given by

$$m_0^b = m(e^b) = \sum_{k \geq 0} m_k(b, \dots, b).$$

A novel point is *extending the notion of weakly unobstructedness by Fukaya-Oh-Ohta-Ono* [FOOO09] *to the noncommutative setting*. The corresponding weak Maurer-Cartan equation is

$$m_0^b = \sum_{i=1}^{k} W_i(b) \mathbf{1}_{L_i}$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{L_i}$ is the Floer-theoretical unit corresponding to the fundamental class of L_i (we assume that Fukaya A_∞-category is unital). The solution space is given by a quiver algebra with relations $\mathscr{A} = \Lambda Q / R$ where R is the two-sided ideal generated by weakly unobstructed relations. The end product is a noncommutative Landau-Ginzburg model

$$\left(\mathscr{A}, W = \sum_i W_i \right).$$

We call this to be a generalized mirror of X, in the sense that there exists a natural functor from the Fukaya category of X to the category of (noncommutative) matrix factorizations of $(\mathscr{A}, W)$. It is said to be 'generalized' in two reasons. First, the construction can be regarded as a generalization of the SYZ program where we replace Lagrangian tori by immersions. Second, the functor needs not to be an equivalence, and so $(\mathscr{A}, W)$ needs not to be a mirror of X in the original sense.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 4.7)**.** *There exists an A_∞-functor $\mathscr{F}^{\mathbb{L}} : \mathrm{Fuk}(X) \to \mathrm{MF}(\mathscr{A}, W)$, which is injective on $H^\bullet(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{L}, U))$ for any U.*

An important feature is that the Landau-Ginzburg superpotential W constructed in this way is automatically a central element in $\mathscr{A}$. In particular we can make sense of $\mathscr{A} / \langle W \rangle$ as a hypersurface singularity defined by 'the zero set' of W.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 3.8 and 6.6)**.** *$W \in \mathscr{A}$ is a central element.*

Noncommutative formal space dual to an A_∞-algebra was constructed by Kontsevich-Soibelman [KS09]. The quiver algebra with relations $\mathscr{A}$ constructed in this paper can be regarded as a subvariety in the formal space (since we restrict to weakly unobstructed odd-degree deformations). Moreover we obtain a

Landau-Ginzburg model instead of a CY algebra. As we have emphasized several times, weak unobstructedness is crucial for the construction of the mirror functor. In Section 9 we formulate a mirror construction using the whole formal dual space. The resulting mirror would be a curved dg-algebra. However it is very difficult to compute in practice, since it involves all the higher m_k operations.

One interesting feature in this approach is multiple mirrors to a single symplectic geometry X. Note that the construction of a Landau-Ginzburg mirror $(\mathscr{A}_{\mathbb{L}}, W_{\mathbb{L}})$ and the associated mirror functor $\mathscr{F}^{\mathbb{L}}: \mathrm{Fuk}(X) \to \mathrm{MF}(\mathscr{A}_{\mathbb{L}}, W_{\mathbb{L}})$ depends on the choice of $\mathbb{L} \subset X$. For a different choice $\mathbb{L}'$, the mirror $(\mathscr{A}_{\mathbb{L}'}, W_{\mathbb{L}'})$ becomes different, even though their B-model categories $\mathrm{MF}(\mathscr{A}_{\mathbb{L}}, W_{\mathbb{L}})$ and $\mathrm{MF}(\mathscr{A}_{\mathbb{L}'}, W_{\mathbb{L}'})$ can remain equivalent. Similar phenomenon occurs in SYZ mirror symmetry for multiple Lagrangian fibrations [LY10, LL]. In Section 5 and 8, we will construct a continuous family of Landau-Ginzburg models $(\mathscr{A}_t, W_t)$ which are mirror to one single elliptic orbifold (with a fixed Kähler structure).

This noncommutative construction has interesting applications even beyond mirror symmetry. First, it provides a Floer-theoretical understanding of noncommutative algebras and their central elements, which are fundamental objects in noncommutative algebraic geometry and mathematical physics. For instance, in many situations a noncommutative algebra arises as the Jacobi algebra of a quiver Q with a potential Φ. In our formulation, such a Φ naturally appears as a spacetime superpotential (see Definition 3.5), and its Jacobi algebra forms the solution space to the weak Maurer-Cartan equation.

In practice, a central element of a noncommutative algebra is rather hard to find, even with the help of computer programs. In our construction we can always show that the Lagrangian Floer potential W is always a central element (see Theorem 3.8). As a result, we can compute the central elements using Floer theoretical techniques.

More importantly, our construction provides an interesting link between Lagrangian Floer theory and deformation quantization in the sense of Kontsevich [Kon03]. The scenario is the following. Suppose that for a given choice of $\mathbb{L}$, the constructed mirror quiver algebra $\mathscr{A}$ can be obtained from a exceptional collection of a variety $\check{X}$. Then we obtain a commutative mirror $(\check{X}, W)$ which is equivalent to the noncommutative model $(\mathscr{A}, W)$. Now consider a deformation family of Lagrangians $\mathbb{L}_t$ with $\mathbb{L}_0 = \mathbb{L}$. The deformations can be obtained by equipping $\mathbb{L}$ with flat $U(1)$ connections or deforming $\mathbb{L}$ using non-exact closed one-forms on $\mathbb{L}$. Our mirror construction then gives a family of noncommutative Landau-Ginzburg models $(\mathscr{A}_t, W_t)$. As a consequence, the noncommutative hypersurfaces $\mathscr{A}_t/W_t$ should give deformation quantization of the hypersurface $\{W = 0\} \subset \check{X}$. We realize this idea for mirrors of the elliptic orbifolds $\mathbb{P}^1_{3,3,3}$ and $\mathbb{P}^1_{2,2,2,2}$.

Recall that the elliptic orbifold $X = E/\mathbb{Z}_3 = \mathbb{P}^1_{3,3,3}$ has a commutative Landau-Ginzburg mirror $(\mathbb{C}^3, W)$ which was constructed in [CHLb] by using the immersed Lagrangian $\mathbb{L}$ given by Seidel [Sei11]. $\mathbb{L}$ is symmetric under an anti-symplectic involution on $\mathbb{P}^1_{3,3,3}$. In Section 5, we break this symmetry and consider a deformation family of immersed Lagrangians $\mathbb{L}_h$ for $h \in (\mathbb{S}^1)^2$ with $\mathbb{L}_{h=0} = \mathbb{L}$. Applying our mirror construction to a fixed $\mathbb{L}_h \subset X$, we obtain $(\mathscr{A}_h, W_h)$ as the mirror, where $\mathscr{A}_h$ is a Sklyanin algebra and W_h is its central element (Theorem 5.1). For $h = 0$, $(\mathscr{A}_0, W_0) = (\mathbb{C}[x, y, z], W)$ recovers the commutative mirror. We can show that the hypersurface $\mathscr{A}_h/W_h$ gives a deformation quantization of the affine del Pezzo surface $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]/W$ (Theorem 5.13), which was studied by Etingof-Ginzburg [EG10] using the Poisson bracket induced from the function W. Note that the deformation quantization we construct here is *global*, in the sense that the deformation parameter h belongs to a compact manifold $(\mathbb{S}^1)^2$.

Sklyanin algebra is one of the most well-studied noncommutative algebras (see for instance [AS87], [ATdB90]). It takes the form

$$\mathscr{A} := \frac{\Lambda < x, y, z >}{\left(axy + byz + cz^2, ayz + bzy + cx^2, azx + bxz + cy^2\right)} \tag{1.1}$$

for certain fixed $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$. Note that $\mathscr{A} = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ when $a = -b, c = 0$. As a graded algebra $\mathscr{A}$ gives a noncommutative deformation of $\mathbb{P}^2$. Auroux-Katzarkov-Orlov [AKO08] proved that non-exact deformations of the Fukaya-Seidel category associated with $\left(\{(x, y, z) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^3 \mid xyz = 1\}, f = x + y + z\right)$ (given by fiberwise compactifications of the Lefschetz fibration of f) is equivalent to derived category of modules over a Sklyanin algebra $\mathscr{A}$. In parallel to their results, we construct the noncommutative Landau-Ginzburg model $(\mathscr{A}, W)$ as the mirror of $f = 0$ (or more precisely its $\mathbb{Z}_3$ quotient).

Zaslow [Zas05] and Aldi-Zaslow [AZ06] also obtained Sklyanin algebras from elliptic curves in the following way. An ample line bundle gives an embedding of an elliptic curve to $\mathbb{P}^2$. To reconstruct $\mathbb{P}^2$ (or its noncommutative deformations), they considered the following graded ring

$$R = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathscr{O}_Y(k) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{O}, \mathscr{O}_Y(k)) = \bigoplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Fuk}}(S, \rho^k(S)),$$

where S is the Lagrangian mirror to $\mathscr{O}_Y$ and ρ is the symplectomorphism mirror to the tensor operation $\otimes \mathscr{O}_Y(1)$. Tensoring $\otimes \mathscr{O}_Y(1)$ is the monodromy around the large complex structure limit point. In the case of elliptic curve, the mirror symplectomorphism is given by the triple Dehn twist ρ.

Aldi-Zaslow considered a more general symplectomorphism ρ by composing with a translation. As a result they obtained a (noncommutative) twisted homogeneous coordinate ring of $\mathbb{P}^2$ by computing the m_2-products of the Fukaya category. They find relations between products of degree-one generators, which gives rise to the Sklyanin algebra relations.

We emphasize that our construction systematically defines the mirror coordinate ring $\mathscr{A}_{\mathbb{L}_h}$ by generators and relations coming from the Maurer-Cartan equations. Moreover in addition to the Sklyanin algebra $\mathscr{A}_{\mathbb{L}_h}$, we obtain its central element $W_{\mathbb{L}_h}$ as the Lagrangian Floer potential for $\mathbb{L}_h$. Indeed they are constructed as a global family over $h \in (\mathbb{S}^1)^2$. Furthermore from our general theory there exists a family of A_∞-functors $\mathscr{F}^{\mathbb{L}_h}$ from the Fukaya category to the matrix factorization categories of $W_{\mathbb{L}_h}$.[1] Thus our work significantly strengthens the results of Aldi-Zaslow and Auroux-Katzarkov-Orlov.

Analogously, the mirror and its noncommutative deformations of $\mathbb{P}^1_{2,2,2,2}$ can also be constructed using our method (Section 8). In this case we need to take $\mathbb{L} = \{L_1, L_2\}$ rather than a singleton. Thus the mirror quiver consists of two vertices. By taking $\mathbb{L}$ to be symmetric under an anti-symplectic involution, we obtain the mirror Landau-Ginzburg model $(\check{X}, W)$, where $\check{X}$ is the resolved conifold and W is an explicit holomorphic function on $\check{X}$. The mirror map can also be derived in this approach, see Theorem 8.9. By considering a deformation family $\mathbb{L}_h$ which breaks the symmetry of $\mathbb{L}$, we again obtain a deformation quantization $(\mathscr{A}_h, W_h)$ of the affine del Pezzo surface $\{W = 0\} \subset \check{X}$. The graded algebra $\mathscr{A}_h$ can be identified as noncommutative quadrics. The readers are referred to [BP93, VdB11, OU] for detail on noncommutative quadrics.

The noncommutative construction is applied to punctured Riemann surfaces in Section 10. This is largely motivated from the work of Bocklandt [Boc], who studied homological mirror symmetry for punctured Riemann surfaces using dimer models. Our construction provides a conceptual explanation on the construction of the mirror objects and also generalize Bocklandt's results. Given a polygon decomposition of a Riemann surface (with vertices of the decomposition being the punctures), we associate it with a collection of Lagrangian circles and construct a quiver with potential. When the polygon decomposition is given by a dimer, we will show that our mirror functor in this case agrees with the one constructed combinatorially in [Boc]. In particular the functor gives an embedding of the wrapped Fukaya category to the category of (noncommutative) matrix factorizations of the dual dimer when it is zig-zag consistent.

[1] In fact the derived category of matrix factorizations obtained in this example are all equivalent to each other by Theorem 3.6.2 of Etingof and Ginzburg [EG10], which are also equivalent to the derived category of coherent sheaves on the mirror elliptic curve.

In the last section, we apply our general theory to construct noncommutative mirrors of non-compact Calabi-Yau threefolds associated to Hitchin systems constructed by Diaconescu-Donagi-Pantev [DDP07]. We restrict ourselves to the $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ case in this paper, in which the Fukaya category was computed by [Smi]. Applying the results from [Smi], we deduce that the mirror functor gives an equivalence between the derived Fukaya category generated by a WKB collection of Lagrangian spheres to the derived category of Ginzburg algebra (Theorem 11.10). The mirror functor in this paper is in the reverse direction of the embedding constructed in [Smi]. By the beautiful work of Bridgeland-Smith [BS15], the functor is a crucial object for studying stability conditions and Donaldson-Thomas invariants for the Fukaya category. Stability conditions of Fukaya category in the surface case were recently understood by [HKK]. There is a deep relation between stability conditions and cluster algebras discovered by Kontsevich-Soibelman [KS]. The theory of cluster algebras was well-studied by Fock-Goncharov [FG06, FG09].

Finally, we remark that our construction is algebraic and can be applied to several different versions of Lagrangian Floer theory. We assume that the Fukaya category is unital (for weakly unobstructedness) and cyclic symmetric (to define spacetime superpotential Φ), and $CF^\bullet(\mathbb{L},\mathbb{L})$ is finite dimensional. In the examples that are considered in this paper, we use a Morse-Bott version of Lagrangian Floer theory [Sei11], [Sei08], [She11] to achieve them. Unitality and finite dimensionality can be also achieved by considering minimal models.

The organization of this paper is as follows. From Section 2 to 4, we introduce the noncommutative mirror and the functor for a single Lagrangian immersion. The construction for several Lagrangians is given in Section 6. We discuss the mirror construction for finite group quotient (not necessarily Abelian) and Calabi-Yau grading in Section 7. The extended mirror functor using deformations in all degrees is given in Section 9. Applications to concrete geometries are given in Section 5, 8, 10 and 11.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We express our gratitude to Conan Leung, Kenji Fukaya, Yong-Geun Oh, Hiroshi Ohta and Kaoru Ono for helpful discussions and encouragements. The first author thanks IBS-CGP, Institut Mittag-Leffler for their hospitality where some parts of this work was carried out. The third author thanks Shing-Tung Yau for his continuous support. He is grateful to Emanuel Scheidegger for inviting him to give a lecture series on this topic at University of Freiburg , and to Paul Aspinwall for discussions on the spacetime and worldsheet superpotentials on the B side. He also thanks Raf Bocklandt, Eric Zaslow for explaining their works to him. The work of C.H. Cho was supported by Samsung Science and Technology Foundation under Project Number SSTF-BA1402-05. The work of S.-C. Lau was supported by Harvard University and Boston University.

2. A-INFINITY ALGEBRA OVER A NONCOMMUTATIVE BASE

We generalize the deformation-obstruction theory of A_∞-algebra by Fukaya-Oh-Ohta-Ono [FOOO09] in noncommutative directions. In this section, after reviewing the filtered A_∞-category, we introduce noncommutative deformations for A_∞-algebras, and define nc-weak Maurer-Cartan elements and the nc-potential (which will be also called a *worldsheet superpotential* in this paper).

2.1. **Review of filtered A-infinity category and weak Maurer-Cartan elements.**

We recall the notion of filtered A_∞-algebra (category) from [Fuk02a], [FOOO09] and set up the notations. The Novikov ring Λ_0 is defined as

$$\Lambda_0 = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \mathbf{T}^{\lambda_i} \;\middle|\; \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, a_i \in \mathbb{C}, \lim_{i\to\infty} \lambda_i = \infty \right\}$$

and its field of fraction $\Lambda_0[\mathbf{T}^{-1}]$ is called the universal Novikov field, denoted by Λ.

$$F^\lambda\Lambda = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \mathbf{T}^{\lambda_i} \in \Lambda \;\middle|\; \lambda_i \geq \lambda \right\}$$

defines a filtration on Λ (as well as Λ-modules) and we set $F^+\Lambda = \bigcup_{\lambda>0} F^\lambda\Lambda$.

Definition 2.1. *A filtered A_∞-category $\mathscr{C}$ consists of a collection of objects $Ob(\mathscr{C})$, torsion-free filtered graded Λ_0-module $\mathscr{C}(A_1,A_2)$ for each pair of objects $A_1,A_2 \in Ob(\mathscr{C})$, equipped with a family of degree one operations*

$$m_k : \mathscr{C}[1](A_0,A_1)\otimes\cdots\mathscr{C}[1](A_{k-1},A_k) \to \mathscr{C}[1](A_0,A_k)$$

for $A_i \in Ob(\mathscr{C})$, $k = 0,1,2,\cdots$. Each A_∞-operation m_k is assumed to respect the filtration, and satisfies the A_∞-equation: for $v_i \in \mathscr{C}[1](A_i,A_{i+1})$,

$$\sum_{k_1+k_2=n+1}\sum_{i}(-1)^{\epsilon_1} m_{k_1}(v_1,\cdots,m_{k_2}(v_i,\cdots,v_{i+k_2-1}),v_{i+k_2},\cdots v_n) = 0.$$

We denote by $|v|$ the degree of v and by $|v|' = |v|-1$ the shifted degree of v_j. Then $\epsilon_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1}(|v_j|')$.

If $m_{\geq 3} = m_0 = 0$, it gives a structure of a filtered differential graded category. A filtered A_∞-category with one object is called an A_∞-algebra. An element $\mathbf{1}_A \in \mathscr{C}^0(A,A)$ is called a *unit* if it satisfies

$$\begin{cases} m_2(\mathbf{1}_A, v) = v & v \in \mathscr{C}(A,A') \\ (-1)^{|w|} m_2(w,\mathbf{1}_A) = w & w \in \mathscr{C}(A',A) \\ m_k(\cdots,\mathbf{1}_A,\cdots) = 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We use the notation

$$b^l = \underbrace{b\otimes\cdots\otimes b}_{l},\;\; e^b := 1 + b + b^2 + b^3 + \cdots.$$

Definition 2.2. *An element $b \in F^+\mathscr{C}^1(A,A)$ is a* weak Maurer-Cartan *element if $m(e^b) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_k(b,\cdots,b)$ is a multiple of the unit, i.e.*

$$m(e^b) = PO(A,b)\cdot\mathbf{1}_A, \quad \text{for some } PO(A,b) \in \Lambda$$

Denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^+_{weak}(A)$ the set of all weak Maurer-Cartan elements.

Thus $PO(A,b)$ defines a function from $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^+_{weak}(A)$ to Λ. The positivity assumption of the energy of b is to make sure that the infinite sum in $m(e^b)$ makes sense. In many important cases, $PO(A,b)$ indeed extends to the energy zero elements, and such an extension is also denoted by $PO(A,b) : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{weak}(A) \to \Lambda$.

In general one should quotient out gauge equivalence between different Maurer-Cartan elements. In practice different immersed generators are not gauge equivalent to each other, and hence we do not consider them in this paper.

The notion of weak Maurer-Cartan element b, which provides a canonical way to deform the given A_∞-structure, plays an important role both in Lagrangian Floer theory and Mirror symmetry and we refer readers to [FOOO09] for a systematic introduction.

Definition 2.3. *Given $b \in F^+\mathscr{C}^1(A,A)$, we define the deformed A_∞-operation m_k^b as*

$$\begin{aligned} m_k^b(v_1,\cdots,v_k) &= \sum_{l_0,\cdots,l_k\geq 0} m_{k+l_0+\cdots+l_k}(b^{l_0},v_1,b^{l_1},v_2,\cdots,v_k,b^{l_k}) \\ &= m(e^b,v_1,e^b,v_2,\cdots,e^b,v_k,e^b). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\{m_k^b\}$ defines an A_∞-algebra. In general, given $b_0, \cdots, b_k \in \mathscr{C}^1(A,A)$, we define

$$m_k^{b_0,\cdots,b_k}(v_1,\cdots,v_k) = m(e^{b_0}, v_1, e^{b_1}, v_2, \cdots, e^{b_{k-1}}, v_k, e^{b_k}).$$

Note that we have $m_k^b = m_k^{b,b,\cdots,b}$.

Given a weak Maurer-Cartan element b, we have $m_0^b = PO(A,b)\cdot \mathbf{1}_A$, and one can check that $(m_1^b)^2 = 0$.

2.2. **A-infinity algebra over a noncommutative base and weakly unobstructedness.** In this subsection we perform a base change of an A_∞-algebra A. Originally A is over the Novikov ring, and we enlarge the base to be a noncommutative algebra. This is an important step for deformations.

Let K be a noncommutative algebra over Λ_0. Consider a filtered A_∞-algebra $(A,\{m_k\})$ over Λ_0. We will consider an induced A_∞-algebra structure on $K\widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda_0}A$ given as follows. Suppose we have a basis $\{e_i\}$ of A as a Λ_0-module. Then the tensor product is simply $\widehat{\bigoplus} K\cdot e_i$ (the hat in $\widehat{\otimes},\widehat{\oplus}$ means that we take a completion with respect to the energy, namely the power of the formal variable $\mathbf{T}$).

Definition 2.4. *We define an A_∞-structure on*

$$\tilde{A}_0 := K\widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda_0}A = \widehat{\oplus} K e_i. \tag{2.1}$$

For $f_i \in K$, $i = 1,\cdots,k$, the A_∞-operation is defined as

$$m_k(f_1 e_{i_1}, f_2 e_{i_2}, \cdots, f_n e_{i_k}) := f_k f_{k-1}\cdots f_2 f_1 \cdot m_k(e_{i_1},\cdots,e_{i_k}). \tag{2.2}$$

Then we extend it linearly to define the A_∞-structure on $\tilde{A}_0$ and also tensor over Λ to get the A_∞-structure on $\tilde{A} := \tilde{A}_0 \otimes \Lambda$.

Remark 2.5. *The order of f_i in* (2.2) *is crucial for the definition of the mirror functor in Section 4 and 6. Also, the variables f_i have degree zero. Later we consider extended deformations with variables f_i of non-trivial gradings, then there will be additional signs (see* (9.1), (9.2)*).*

Remark 2.6. *There is a well-known construction of the dual of an A_∞-algebra, which is a noncommutative formal space with a vector field Q satisfying $[Q,Q]=0$ (see Kontsevich-Soibelman* [KS09]*). Hence. it is not surprising that we can introduce noncommutative coefficients for an A_∞-algebra. The relation between* [KS09] *and our work will become clearer for extended deformations in Section 9. We emphasize on weakly unobstructedness of the deformations* (2.3) *and Maurer-Cartan equations.*

One can easily check that

Lemma 2.7. *$(\tilde{A},\{m_k\})$ satisfies the A_∞-equation.*

Proof. From linearity, it is enough to prove it when inputs are multiples of basis elements. Namely, we consider the expansion of $m(\widehat{m}(f_1 e_{i_1}, f_2 e_{i_2}, \cdots, f_n e_{i_n}))$ which are given by

$$\sum_{k_1+k_2=n+1} m_{k_1}(f_1 e_{i_1},\cdots, m_{k_2}(f_{j+1}e_{i_{j+1}},\cdots),\cdots, f_n e_{i_n}).$$

Here, $\widehat{m}$ is the coderivation corresponding to m. From the A_∞-equation of A, we have

$$f_n f_{n-1}\cdots f_2 f_1 \sum_{k_1+k+2=n+1} m_{k_1}(e_{i_1},\cdots, m_{k_2}(e_{i_{j+1}},\cdots),\cdots, e_{i_n}) = 0.$$

□

The unit $\mathbf{1}_A$ of A is also the unit of $\tilde{A}$. Thus the noncommutative version of the weak Maurer-Cartan equation makes sense.

Definition 2.8. *An element $b \in F^+\tilde{A}^1$ is said to be a noncommutative weak Maurer-Cartan element if it satisfies*

$$m(e^b) = PO(\tilde{A}, b) \cdot \mathbf{1}_A \quad \text{for some } PO(\tilde{A}, b) \in \Lambda_+ K, \tag{2.3}$$

where $m(e^b)$ is defined from the A_∞-operations of $\tilde{A}$. The potential $PO(\tilde{A}, b)$ is called a noncommutative potential.

Later on $PO(\tilde{A}, b)$ will also be denoted as W and referred as the worldsheet superpotential.

3. Noncommutative mirror from a single Lagrangian and the centrality theorem

In the previous section we extend the base of an A_∞-algebra A to be a noncommutative space. In this section we take the base to be the algebra of odd-degree (or degree-1 in $\mathbb{Z}$-graded case) weakly unobstructed deformations in the dual of A. The construction can be regarded as a noncommutative version of that in [CHLb]. In [CHLb], we considered only the cases that solutions of Maurer-Cartan equation form a vector space, but in this paper, we consider a more general setting that non-trivial Maurer-Cartan equation defines an interesting algebraic variety, which is not necessarily commutative.

The key theorem we derive in this section is Theorem 3.8, which states that the potential function W is automatically a central element. This already makes the theory rather interesting since finding central elements of a noncommutative algebra is in general challenging and done by computer calculations, while our construction automatically provides a central element (which is non-trivial element in non-Calabi-Yau situations).

For the purpose of mirror construction, the A_∞-algebra is taken to be the Floer chain complex of a single (immersed) Lagrangian in a Kähler manifold X. Then the base space together with the potential W we construct here is regarded as a mirror (noncommutative Landau-Ginzburg model) of X in a generalized sense. Namely, we will show in Section 4 that there exists a natural functor from the Fukaya category of X to the category of matrix factorizations of W. Thus symplectic geometry of X is (partially) reflected from the (noncommutative) algebraic geometry of W. However the functor is not necessarily an equivalence in general.

In Section 6 we shall perform a more powerful construction using finitely many Lagrangians (instead of a single Lagrangian), and the corresponding base space will form a quiver algebra with relations. This provides a useful approach to make the generalized mirror more global and capture more geometric information of X. It will be used to study the mirror of punctured or orbifold Riemann surfaces and certain non-compact Calabi-Yau threefolds constructed by Smith [Smi].

We may also consider the whole dual algebra of A instead of taking only odd-degree noncommutative weakly unobstructed deformations. This would result in curved dg-algebras which will be constructed in Section 9. We will mostly stick with the smaller deformation space though, since it can be better understood and is more practical to compute.

3.1. Noncommutative mirrors using a single immersed Lagrangian.

Let X be a Kähler manifold, and $\mathbb{L} \xrightarrow{\iota} X$ be a compact spin oriented unobstructed Lagrangian immersion with transverse self-intersection points. (By abuse of notations we also denote its image by $\mathbb{L}$.)

By [AJ10] (generalizing that of [FOOO09] for the case of Lagrangian submanifolds), it is associated with an A_∞-algebra whose underlying vector space is the space of cochains

$$\mathrm{CF}^\bullet(\mathbb{L} \times_\iota \mathbb{L}) = C^\bullet(\mathbb{L}) \oplus \bigoplus_p \mathrm{Span}\{(p_-, p_+), (p_+, p_-)\},$$

where p runs over the immersed points and p_-, p_+ are its preimages under ι. We call (p_-, p_+) and (p_+, p_-) *complementary immersed generators*. The vector space is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded in general, and is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded when X is Calabi-Yau and $\mathbb{L} \subset X$ has Maslov class zero.

In fact, our construction below is algebraic and hence can be applied to any version of Fukaya A_∞-category as defined by Fukaya-Oh-Ohta-Ono [FOOO09], Akaho-Joyce [AJ10], Seidel [Sei08], Seidel-Sheridan [She11, She15] or Abouzaid-Seidel [AS10]. The space of cochains $C^\bullet(\mathbb{L})$ depends on the chosen theory. Here we assume that a canonical model is taken such that $C^\bullet(\mathbb{L})$ is finite dimensional. Moreover the A_∞-algebra is unital.

Now we consider noncommutative formal deformations by the immersed generators. Let $\{X_e\}$ be the set of all odd-degree immersed generators, where e runs in a label set E (which we assume to be finite). Let x_e be the corresponding formal dual variables, and take $K = \Lambda_0 \ll x_e : e \in E \gg$ in Definition 2.4. Then we obtain an A_∞-algebra $K\widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda_0} A$ with coefficients in the noncommutative ring K.

Now consider the deformation

$$b = \sum_e x_e X_e.$$

Since we want b to have degree 1 formally, we define the degree as follows.

Definition 3.1. *The degree of x_e is defined to be $|x_e| = \deg x_e = 1 - \deg X_e = 1 - |X_e|$.*

For $\mathbb{Z}_2$ grading, as we assume X_e to be odd, all variables x_e have degree zero.

Definition 3.2. *Given an A_∞-algebra $(A, \{m_k\})$, we define another filtered noncommutative A_∞-algebra $\tilde{A}^b$ with coefficients in the noncommutative algebra $K = \Lambda_0 \ll x_1, \cdots, x_n \gg$ (see Definition 2.4) with A_∞-operations $\{m_k^b\}$.*

Then we consider obstructions as in Definition 2.8. Consider

$$m_0^b = W_{\mathbb{L}} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}} + \sum_f P_f X_f$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}}$ is the unit of the Floer theory of $\mathbb{L}$ which corresponds to the fundamental class, and X_f runs over all the even-degree generators of $\mathrm{CF}^\bullet(\mathbb{L} \times_\iota \mathbb{L})$ other than $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}}$ (and f runs in a certain index set F).

Definition 3.3. *Define the ring*

$$\mathscr{A} := \Lambda_0 \ll x_e : e \in E \gg \big/ \langle P_f : f \in F \rangle,$$

where $\langle P_f : f \in F \rangle$ is a completed two sided ideal. We call $\{P_f\}$ the weakly unobstructed relations or Maurer-Cartan equations.

The potential $W = W_{\mathbb{L}}$ (which is the coefficient of $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}}$ in m_0^b) can be regarded as an element in $\mathscr{A}$. The pair $(\mathscr{A}, W)$ is called a generalized mirror *of X (with respect to the choice of an immersed Lagrangian $\mathbb{L}$).*

W is also called the *worldsheet superpotential*, which counts holomorphic discs bounded in $\mathbb{L}$ passing through a generic point. Note that since m_0^b has degree two, and hence W has degree two. In the next subsection we show that $W \in \mathscr{A}$ is always central. Thus W can be regarded as a function on the noncommutative space defined by $\mathscr{A}$.

Remark 3.4. *In actual computations, we will use the Morse complex of $\mathbb{L}$ (induced from a Morse function) which contains one maximum point $\mathbf{1}_{L_i}$ and one minimum point T_{L_i} in each branch L_i. Concretely W_i counts the number of polygons bounded by $\mathbb{L}$ whose boundaries pass through T_{L_i}, which is the Poincaré dual of $\mathbf{1}_{L_i}$*

There is another type of superpotential different from W, called the *spacetime superpotential*, which gives an effective way to describe the weakly unobstructed relations under good conditions. This employs the intersection pairing $(\cdot,\cdot)$ on the space $\mathrm{CF}^*(\mathbb{L}\times_\iota\mathbb{L})$, which is graded commutative (before shifting degrees) and has degree $-\dim\mathbb{L}$.

The following cyclic potential is defined as an element in K or (its projection to) the cyclic quotient $K/[K,K]$ by (completed) commutators.

Definition 3.5. *The spacetime superpotential is defined to be*

$$\Phi=\Phi_{\mathbb{L}}:=\sum_k\frac{1}{k+1}\langle m_k(b,\cdots,b),b\rangle\in K/[K,K] \tag{3.1}$$

We make the following assumption whenever spacetime superpotential Φ is discussed.

Assumption 3.6. *Assume that the A_∞-algebra associated to $\mathbb{L}$ is cyclic, namely*

$$\langle m_k(v_1,\cdots,v_k),v_{k+1}\rangle=(-1)^{|v_1|'(|v_2|'+\cdots+|v_{k+1}|')}\langle m_k(v_2,\cdots,v_{k+1}),v_1\rangle$$

for any $v_1,\cdots,v_{k+1}\in C^*(\mathbb{L}\times_\iota\mathbb{L})$.

Due to the assumption, every term of Φ appears with its cyclic permutation in the variables x_e. For such a cyclic element of $\Lambda_0\langle\langle x_e:e\in E\rangle\rangle$, we have the cyclic derivatives which are defined by

$$\partial_{x_e}(x_{l_1}\cdots x_{l_k})=\sum_{j=1}^k\partial_{x_e}(x_{l_j})\cdot x_{l_{j+1}}\cdots x_{l_k}x_{l_1}\cdots x_{l_{j-1}} \tag{3.2}$$

where $\partial_{x_e}(x_l)=\delta_{e,l}$ (see [RSS80] or [DWZ08] for more details).

The following proposition is similar to the one for special Lagrangian submanifolds in Calabi-Yau 3-folds in [FOOO09, (3.6.49)]. The proof is essentially the same.

Proposition 3.7. *Partial derivatives $\partial_{x_e}\Phi$ for $e\in E$ are (part of) weakly unobstructed relations. Furthermore, suppose $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}X=n$ is odd, adn $\mathbb{L}$ is a Lagrangian sphere with $\mathrm{CF}^\bullet(\mathbb{L},\mathbb{L})$ isomorphic to the Morse complex of S^n equipped with the standard height function. Then the algebra in Definition 3.3 equals to*

$$\mathscr{A}=\mathrm{Jac}(\Phi)=\Lambda_0\langle\langle x_e:e\in E\rangle\rangle/(\partial_{x_e}\Phi:e\in E).$$

Proof. From the cyclic symmetry and the definition of ∂_{x_a}, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{x_e}\Phi_{\mathbb{L}}&=\sum_k\langle X_e,m_k(b,\cdots,b)\rangle\\&=\langle X_e,P_{\bar e}\cdot X_{\bar e}\rangle=P_{\bar e}.\end{aligned}$$

where $X_{\bar e}$ is the complementary immersed generator to X_e. Thus $\partial_{x_e}\Phi_{\mathbb{L}}$ for each e gives a part of weakly unobstructed relation.

When $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}X$ is odd, $X_{\bar e}$ for every odd-degree immersed generator X_e has even degree. Suppose further that $\mathbb{L}$ is a sphere and $\mathrm{CF}^\bullet(\mathbb{L},\mathbb{L})$ is generated by exactly one maximum point and one minimum point. The minimum point T have $\deg T=\dim\mathbb{L}$ which is odd, and hence cannot be a term in m_0^b. Therefore m_0^b is a linear combination of the even-degree immersed generators, and all the weakly unobstructed relations are $\partial_{x_e}\Phi_{\mathbb{L}}$ for some e. Hence $\mathscr{A}=\mathrm{Jac}(\Phi)$ in this case. □

3.2. **Centrality.** The following centrality theorem is an important feature of our noncommutative mirror construction. While constructing central elements is an important task in noncommutative geometry and is highly non-trivial (even with the help of computers), our approach gives a conceptual way to produce central elements. Moreover the proof is surprisingly neat which employs weak unobstructedness in a systematic way.

Theorem 3.8 (Centrality of the potential)**.** *The noncommutative potential $W_{\mathbb{L}} \in \mathscr{A}$ is central, namely*

$$W_{\mathbb{L}} \cdot x_e = x_e \cdot W_{\mathbb{L}}$$

for all x_e.

Proof. The key is that we have $m_0^b = W_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}}$ over $\mathscr{A}$. Let us denote by $\hat{m}$ the coderivation induced from m, and the A_∞-equation can be rephrased as $m \circ \hat{m} = 0$ on tensor coalgebra of the A_∞-algebra. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = m \circ \hat{m}(e^b) &= m(e^b, \hat{m}(e^b), e^b) \\ &= m_2(b, W_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}}) + m_2(W_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}}, b) \\ &= \sum_e (W_{\mathbb{L}} \cdot x_e - x_e \cdot W_{\mathbb{L}}) X_e. \end{aligned}$$

The second and third equalities follows from the property of the unit $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}}$. □

Remark 3.9. *Centrality is also needed to have $(m_1^{b,b})^2 = 0$. For any x, the centrality implies the cancellation of the last two terms in the following A_∞-equation*

$$(m_1^{b,b}(x))^2 + m_2(W_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbf{1}, x) + (-1)^{|x|'} m_2(x, W_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbf{1}) = 0.$$

We conclude this section with the following.

Definition 3.10. *A triple $(\Lambda_0 \ll x_e : e \in E \gg, \Phi, W)$ is called a* noncommutative Landau-Ginzburg model *if the noncommutative Jacobi algebra*

$$\mathscr{A} = \mathrm{Jac}(\Phi) := \Lambda_0 \ll x_e : e \in E \gg \big/ (\partial_{x_e} \Phi)$$

has W in its center.

Corollary 3.11. *Under the conditions in Proposition 3.7, the generalized mirror*

$$(\Lambda_0 \ll x_e : e \in E \gg, \Phi, W)$$

associated to $\mathbb{L}$ defined in Section 3.1 is a noncommutative Landau-Ginzburg model.

In the next section we transform Lagrangian submanifolds in X to matrix factorizations of W. Thus symplectic geometry naturally corresponds to noncommutative algebraic geometry in our generalized mirror.

4. The mirror functor from a single Lagrangian

In this section, we construct a canonical A_∞-functor from the Fukaya category of X to the category of matrix factorizations of its generalized mirror $(\mathscr{A}, W)$ constructed in the previous section. It explains in the first principle why symplectic geometry of X should correspond to algebraic geometry of its mirror. Most of the proofs in this section is similar to those in [CHLb] in the commutative setting, and so we will be brief. $\mathrm{Fuk}(X)$ is the Fukaya category of unobstructed Lagrangians (that is, $m_0^L = 0$) in this section.

First let us recall the category of matrix factorizations of a central element W in a ring $\mathscr{A}$ which is not necessarily commutative.

Definition 4.1. *A matrix factorization of $(\mathscr{A}, W)$ consists of two projective $\mathscr{A}$-modules M_0, M_1 with maps $\delta_0 : M_0 \to M_1, \delta_1 : M_1 \to M_0$ such that*

$$\delta_0 \delta_1 = \delta_1 \delta_0 = W \cdot \mathrm{Id}.$$

Alternatively it can be defined as a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded projective $\mathscr{A}$-module $M = M_0 \oplus M_1$ with an odd endomorphism $\delta = \delta_0 + \delta_1$ satisfying $\delta^2 = W \cdot \mathrm{Id}$.

Remark 4.2. *We may also consider $\mathbb{Z}$-graded matrix factorizations in Calabi-Yau setting. This will be discussed in Section 7.2.*

Definition 4.3. *The differential graded category of matrix factorizations of $(\mathscr{A}, W)$ is defined as follows. A morphism between two matrix factorizations (M, δ_M) and (N, δ_N) is simply an $\mathscr{A}$-module homomorphism $f : M \to N$. The morphism space is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded and is equipped with a differential m_1 (or d) defined by*

$$m_1(f) = \delta_N \circ f - (-1)^{deg(f)} f \circ \delta_M.$$

The composition of morphisms is denoted by m_2.

Now we are ready to construct the functor. Recall that $\mathscr{A}$ is the space of weakly unobstructed odd-degree noncommutative deformations of the reference Lagrangian $\mathbb{L}$, and W is the counting of holomorphic discs bounded by $\mathbb{L}$ whose boundary pass through a generic marked point (see Definition 3.3 and Remark 3.4).

Definition 4.4. *Let* $\mathrm{Fuk}(X)$ *be the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Fukaya category of unobstructed Lagrangian submanifolds of X. For an object U of* $\mathrm{Fuk}(X)$*, its mirror matrix factorization of $(\mathscr{A}, W)$ is defined as*

$$\mathscr{F}(U) := \left(\mathscr{A} \otimes_{\Lambda_0} \mathrm{CF}^\bullet((\mathbb{L}, b), U), d = (-1)^{\deg(\cdot)} m_1^{b,0}(\cdot)\right). \tag{4.1}$$

Remark 4.5. *The above functor can be defined for any* Fuk_λ *for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, where* Fuk_λ *is the subcategory whose objects are weakly unobstructed Lagrangians with potential value λ. See* [CHLb] *for more details. The same applies throughout the paper, but we will consider only unobstructed ones just for simplicity.*

There are different ways of realizing Lagrangian Floer theory, and $\mathrm{CF}^\bullet((\mathbb{L}, b), U)$ depends on the choice. In the theory developed by Fukaya-Oh-Ohta-Ono [FOOO09], U can have clean intersections with $\mathbb{L}$, and $\mathrm{CF}^\bullet((\mathbb{L}, b), U)$ is the direct sum of the spaces of singular chains (or differential forms) in the intersections. In the theory of Seidel [Sei08], U is made to have transverse intersections with $\mathbb{L}$, and $\mathrm{CF}^\bullet((\mathbb{L}, b), U)$ is spanned by the intersection points. We will fix any one of the choices to carry out the constructions.

Recall that the Floer theory operation $m_1^{b,0}$ on $\mathscr{A} \otimes_{\Lambda_0} \mathrm{CF}^\bullet((\mathbb{L}, b), U)$ is

$$m_1^{b,0}(p) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{k+1}(\underbrace{b, \cdots, b}_{k}, p)$$

for $p \in \mathrm{CF}^\bullet((\mathbb{L}, b), U)$. Intuitively the mirror matrix factorization is counting pseudo-holomorphic strips bounded by $\mathbb{L}$ and U, where arbitrary number of boundary insertions b's are allowed along the upper boundary mapped in $\mathbb{L}$ (see Figure 1). The matrix coefficients are noncommutative power series, each term of which records the boundary insertions along the upper boundary.



FIGURE 1. Holomorphic strip contributing to $m_1^{b,0}$

The key observation is that the above really defines a matrix factorization of $(\mathscr{A}, W)$, whose proof is essentially the same as that of [CHLb, Theorem 2.19].

Proposition 4.6. *In Definition 4.4,*

$$\delta^2 = W_{\mathbb{L}} \cdot \mathrm{Id}.$$

Proof. Recall that $\mathscr{A} = \Lambda_0 \ll x_e : e \in E \gg /\langle P_f : f \in F\rangle$ where P_f are the coefficients of X_f in m_0^b. Consider the A_∞-equation

$$m_1^{b,0} \circ m_1^{b,0}(p) + m_2^{b,0}(m_{0,\mathbb{L}}^b, p) + (-1)^{\deg p - 1} m_2^{b,0}(p, m_{0,U}) = 0.$$

Since U is unobstructed, $m_{0,U} = 0$. Moreover $m_{0,\mathbb{L}}^b = W \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}}$ as an element in $\mathscr{A} \otimes_{\Lambda_0} \mathrm{CF}^\bullet(\mathbb{L},\mathbb{L})$ by the definition of $\mathscr{A}$. Hence the second term equals to $W \cdot p$. Hence $\delta^2(p) = (-1)^{\deg p}(-1)^{\deg p - 1} m_1^{b,0} \circ m_1^{b,0}(p) = W \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}}$.

□

The correspondence between objects defined above extends to be an A_∞-functor $\mathscr{F} = (\mathscr{F}_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ as follows. The object-level functor defined above is denoted as $\mathscr{F}_0$ (and by abuse of notation we mostly write it as $\mathscr{F}$). Given an intersection point q between two Lagrangians U_1 and U_2 in $\mathrm{Fuk}(X)$, which can be regarded as a morphism from U_1 to U_2, we have the morphism

$$(-1)^{(\deg q - 1)(\deg(\cdot) - 1)} m_2^{b,0,0}(\cdot, q) : \mathscr{F}(U_1) \to \mathscr{F}(U_2).$$

Namely, given an intersection point $p \in \mathbb{L} \cap U_1$, the expression

$$(-1)^{(\deg q - 1)(\deg p - 1)} m_2^{b,0,0}(p, q) = (-1)^{(\deg q - 1)(\deg p - 1)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{k+2}(\underbrace{b, \cdots, b}_{k}, p, q)$$

defines an element in the underlying module of $\mathscr{F}(U_2)$. This defines a map

$$\mathscr{F}_1^{\mathbb{L}} : \mathrm{Hom}(U_1, U_2) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{F}(U_1), \mathscr{F}(U_2)).$$

The higher parts of the functor is given by $m_{l+1}^{b,0,\cdots,0}$ up to signs. Namely, given $(l+1)$-tuple of Lagrangians $U_1, \cdots, U_{l+1}$ $(l \geq 1)$ and a sequence of morphisms

$$(q_1, \cdots, q_l) \in \mathrm{Hom}(U_1, U_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(U_l, U_{l+1}),$$

we define

$$\mathscr{F}_l(q_1, \cdots, q_l) \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{F}(U_1), \mathscr{F}(U_{l+1}))$$

as a map which sends $p \in \mathbb{L} \cap U_1$ to $(-1)^\epsilon m_{l+1}^{b,0,\cdots,0}(p, q_1, \cdots, q_l)$ where $\epsilon = (\deg p - 1)\left(\sum_{i=1}^l (\deg q_i - 1)\right)$.

The proof of $\mathscr{F}$ being an A_∞-functor is essentially the same as Theorem 2.18 [CHLb] and so the detail is omitted here. The key feature is that the underlying ring is taken to be $\mathscr{A} = \Lambda_0 \ll x_e : e \in E \gg /\langle P_f : f \in F\rangle$ rather than Λ_0. Over this ring we have $m_{0,\mathbb{L}}^b = W \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}}$. Moreover $\mathscr{F}_l(q_1, \ldots, q_l)$ defined above are truly $\mathscr{A}$-module homomorphisms, since from Equation (2.2) we have

$$m_{k+l+1}(b, b, \cdots, b, g \cdot p, q_1, \ldots, q_l) = g \cdot m_{k+l+1}(b, b, \cdots, b, p, q_1, \ldots, q_l).$$

for any $g \in \mathscr{A}$ (where recall that $b = \sum_e x_e X_e$).

Theorem 4.7. *$\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}^{\mathbb{L}} = (\mathscr{F}_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ defines an A_∞-functor.*

The following proposition transforms Hamiltonian isotopty into homotopy

Proposition 4.8 (Proposition 5.4)**.** [CHLa] *If L_1 and L_2 are Hamiltonian isotopic, then the resulting matrix factorizations $\mathscr{F}^{\mathbb{L}}(L_1)$ and $\mathscr{F}^{\mathbb{L}}(L_2)$ are homotopic to each other*

The proof is the same as in [CHLa] and we omit the proof.

The following theorem shows that our functor is injective on a certain class of Hom spaces related to $\mathbb{L}$.

Theorem 4.9 (Injectivity)**.** *If the A_∞-category is unital, then the mirror A_∞ functor $\mathscr{F}^{\mathbb{L}}$ is injective on $H^\bullet(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{L}, U)$ (and also on $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{L}, U)$) for any Lagrangian U_1.*

Proof. We construct a right inverse Ψ to $\mathscr{F}_1^{\mathbb{L}}$. Consider the matrix factorizations $\mathscr{F}(\mathbb{L}), \mathscr{F}(U)$ corresponding to $\mathbb{L}, L$ respectively. Recall that

$$\mathscr{F}(\mathbb{L}) = \left(\mathscr{A} \otimes_{\Lambda_0} \mathrm{CF}^\bullet((\mathbb{L}, b), \mathbb{L}), m_1^{b,0}\right).$$

Let ϕ be a morphism in $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{F}(\mathbb{L}), \mathscr{F}(U))$. Since $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}}$ is an element of $\mathrm{CF}^\bullet((\mathbb{L}, b), \mathbb{L}) = \mathrm{CF}^\bullet(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L})$, we may take $\phi(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}})$ which lies in $\mathscr{A} \otimes_{\Lambda_0} \mathrm{CF}^\bullet(\mathbb{L}, U)$. We define $\Psi(\phi)$ as

$$\Psi(\phi) = \left(\phi(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}})\right)|_{b=0} \in \mathrm{CF}^\bullet(\mathbb{L}, U).$$

We first show that Ψ is a chain map:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(m_1(\phi)) &= \Psi(m_1^{b,0} \circ \phi)) - (-1)^{|\phi|}\Psi(\phi \circ m_1^{b,0}) \\
&= \left(m_1^{b,0}(\phi(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}}))\right)|_{b=0} - (-1)^{|\phi|}\left(\phi(m_1^{b,0}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}}))\right)|_{b=0} \\
&= \left(m_1^{0,0}(\phi(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}})|_{b=0})\right) - (-1)^{|\phi|}\left(\phi(-b)\right)|_{b=0} \\
&= m_1^{0,0}(\Psi(\phi)).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let us show that Ψ is the right inverse of $\mathscr{F}_1^{\mathbb{L}}$:

$$\left(\Psi \circ \mathscr{F}_1^{\mathbb{L}}\right)(p) = \left(\mathscr{F}_1^{\mathbb{L}}(p)(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}})\right)|_{b=0} = \left(m_2^{b,0,0}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}}, p)\right)|_{b=0} = m_2^{0,0,0}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}}, p) = p$$

□

5. Elliptic curves and deformation quantizations

In this section, we apply the general theory in Section 3 and 4 to the elliptic curve quotient $E/\mathbb{Z}_3 = \mathbb{P}^1_{3,3,3}$ and obtain a family of noncommutative mirrors. For this, we consider a family of reference Lagrangians $(\mathbb{L}_t, \lambda)$, which produces a family of mirror functors from $\mathrm{Fuk}(\mathbb{P}^1_{3,3,3})$ to a family of noncommutative Landau-Ginzburg models. These LG-models will turn out to be (3-dimensional) Sklyanin algebras with central elements. Surprisingly, the quotients of the resulting Sklyanin algebras by the central elements gives rise to the deformation quantization of affine Del Pezzo surface $W_0(x, y, z) = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}^3$.

Theorem 5.1. *There is a T^2-family $(\mathbb{L}_t, \lambda)$ of Lagrangians decorated by flat $U(1)$ connections in $\mathbb{P}^1_{3,3,3}$ for $(t-1) \in \mathbb{R}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda \in U(1)$ whose corresponding generalized mirror $(\mathscr{A}_{(\lambda,t)}, W_{(\lambda,t)})$ satisfies the following.*

(1) The noncommutative algebras $\mathscr{A}_{(\lambda,t)}$ are Sklyanin algebras, which are of the form

$$\mathscr{A}_{(\lambda,t)} := \frac{\Lambda < x, y, z >}{\left(axy + byx + cz^2, ayz + bzy + cx^2, azx + bxz + cy^2\right)} \tag{5.1}$$

for $a = a(\lambda, t), b = b(\lambda, t), c = c(\lambda, t) \in \Lambda$. We have $\mathscr{A}_0 := \mathscr{A}_{(-1,0)} = \Lambda[x, y, z]$.

(2) $W_{(\lambda,t)}$ lies in the center of $\mathscr{A}_{(\lambda,t)}$ for all (λ, t). We denote $W_0 = W_{(-1,0)}$.

(3) The coefficients $(a : b : c)$ are given by theta functions, which define an embedding $T^2 \to \mathbb{P}^2$ onto the mirror elliptic curve

$$\check{E} = \{(a : b : c) \in \mathbb{P}^2 \mid W_0(x, y, z) = 0\}.$$

(4) For each (λ, t), there exists a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded A_∞-functor

$$\mathscr{F}^{(\mathbb{L}_t, \lambda)} : \mathrm{Fuk}(\mathbb{P}^1_{3,3,3}) \to \mathrm{MF}(\mathscr{A}_{(\lambda,t)}, W_{(\lambda,t)}).$$

Upstairs there is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded A_∞-functor

$$\mathscr{F}^{(\tilde{\mathbb{L}}_t, \lambda)} : \mathrm{Fuk}^{\mathbb{Z}}(E) \to \mathrm{MF}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\hat{\mathscr{A}}_{(\lambda,t)}, \hat{W}_{(\lambda,t)}).$$

When $t = 0, \lambda = -1$, they give derived equivalences.

(5) The graded noncommutative algebra $\mathscr{A}_{(\lambda,t)}/\langle W_{(\lambda,t)}\rangle$ is a twisted homogeneous coordinate ring of $\check{E}$.

(6) The family of noncommutative algebras $\mathscr{A}_{(\lambda,t)}/\langle W_{(\lambda,t)}\rangle$ near $t = 0, \lambda = -1$ gives a quantization of the affine del Pezzo surface defined by $W_0(x, y, z) = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}^3$.



FIGURE 2. (a) $(E,\widetilde{\mathbb{L}})$ and (b) $(\mathbb{P}^1_{3,3,3},\mathbb{L})$

Fuk$^{\mathbb{Z}}$ denotes the Fukaya category equiped with $\mathbb{Z}$-grading. The category $\mathrm{MF}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\hat{\mathscr{A}}_{(\lambda,t)},\hat{W}_{(\lambda,t)})$ and the functor upstairs are to be defined in Section 7.2.2. The grading on $\mathscr{A}_{(\lambda,t)}$ is simply given by $\deg x = \deg y = \deg z = 1$.

Remark 5.2. *When $t=0$ and $\lambda=-1$, we have $a=-b, c=0$, and the mirror constructed is commutative. Equivalently, it is proved in* [CHLb] *that $\mathbb{L}$ is weakly unobstructed in $\mathbb{P}^1_{3,3,3}$ for commutative variables x,y,z. $W_{(-1,0)}$ is indeed convergent over $\mathbb{C}$, and hence the Novikov field Λ can be replaced by $\mathbb{C}$.*

5.1. **Deforming the reference Lagrangian.** Let E be an elliptic curve $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}\langle e^{2\pi i/3}\rangle$, and $\mathbb{Z}_3$ act on $\mathbb{C}$ (and E) by $\pi/3$ rotation around the origin. The reference Lagrangian $\mathbb{L}_t$ for any $-1<t<1$ will be the projection of vertical line $\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{t,0}$in $\mathbb{C}$ passing through the point $\left(\frac{-t+1}{4},0\right)$. (See (a) of Figure 2.) Applying $\mathbb{Z}_3$-action to $\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{t,0}$, we obtain $\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{t,1},\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{t,2}$ which intersect each other. They project down to a single immersed circle $\mathbb{L}$ in the quotient $E/\mathbb{Z}_3$ with three immersed points whose degree-1 immersed generators are called X,Y,Z (when $t\neq\pm1/3$). (See (b) of Figure 2.)

We further equip $\mathbb{L}_t$ with a flat line bundle whose holonomy is $\lambda=e^{2\pi is}\in U(1)$. We represent this flat bundle by the trivial line bundle on $\mathbb{L}_t$ together with a flat connection whose parallel transport is proportional to the length of a curve. More precisely, if a curve has reverse orientation to $\mathbb{L}$ and length l, the parallel transport along this curve is given by the multiplication of $\lambda^{l/l_0}=e^{2\pi is\times\frac{l}{l_0}}$ where l_0 denotes the total length of $\mathbb{L}_t$.

When $t=0$ and $\lambda=-1$, the following proposition is proved in [CHLb].

Theorem 5.3 ([CHLb])**.** *When $t=0$ and $\lambda=-1$, $b=xX+yY+zZ$ is weakly unobstructed for $x,y,z\in\mathbb{C}$. The mirror LG superpotential W_0, after a rescaling on x,y,z, takes the from*

$$W_0 = x^3+y^3+z^3-\sigma(q_{\mathrm{orb}})xyz \tag{5.2}$$

where $q_{\mathrm{orb}}=\mathbf{T}^{\omega(\mathbb{P}^1_{3,3,3})}$ is the Kähler parameter of $\mathbb{P}^1_{3,3,3}$ and $\sigma(q_{\mathrm{orb}})$ is the inverse mirror map

$$\sigma(q_{\mathrm{orb}}) = -3-\left(\frac{\eta(q_{\mathrm{orb}})}{\eta(q_{\mathrm{orb}}^9)}\right)^3. \tag{5.3}$$

η above denotes the Dedekind eta function.

Weakly unobstructedness of $(\mathbb{L}_0, \lambda = -1)$ was proved using the anti-symplectic involution which preserves $\mathbb{L}$ with certain sign computations. The potential W_0 was computed by counting infinite series of triangles passing through a given generic point. In fact, the flat connection for the given holonomy λ used in [CHLb] and here are different, but provides the same resulting potential function. (In our new formulation, the coordinate change $y \to \tilde{y}$ in [CHLb] is not necessary).

After deformation of $(\mathbb{L}_0, \lambda = -1)$, anti-symplectic involution no longer preserves $\mathbb{L}_t$ and in fact b is *not* weakly unobstructed anymore in the sense of [CHLb]. In this paper, we allow x, y, z to be noncommutative formal variables, and show that $(\mathbb{L}_t, \lambda)$ is weakly unobstructed in the noncommutative sense, and hence we can construct a noncommutative Landau-Ginzburg model for any t, λ.

5.2. **Sklyanin algebras as Weak Maurer-Cartan relations.** In this subsection we show that the weak Maurer-Cartan relations of $(\mathbb{L}_t, \lambda)$ produce Sklyanin algebras, which are important examples of noncommutative algebraic geometry (see for instance [ATdB90, AVdB90, AS87]). It was found by [AKO08, AZ06] that they are closely related with mirrors of elliptic curves and $\mathbb{P}^2$.

Definition 5.4 (Sklyanin algebra)**.** *The Sklyanin algebra is defined as*

$$\mathrm{Sky}_3(a,b,c) := \frac{\Lambda < x, y, z >}{\left(axy + byz + cz^2, ayz + bzy + cx^2, azx + bxz + cy^2\right)}$$

for $a, b, c \in \Lambda$.

Intuitively speaking, weak Maurer-Cartan relations are needed to ensure the well-definedness of the potential W. Namely, if W is given by the counting of polygons passing through a generic point p, then we want the counting to be independent of p. In Figure 2 (a), and choose a point p on $\mathbb{L}_0$ (red dotted line). When p moves from one segment to the other along a straight line, passing through a corner labelled X, the set of polygons that intersect p before, get changed into another family of polygons afterword and their difference gives the coefficient $h_{\bar{X}}$ below. For $\mathbb{L}_0$, these two sets are related by anti-symplectic involution at X, and actually the signed counting remains invariant. But if we consider $\mathbb{L}_t$ (blue lines), and move p as before, it is easy to see that the corresponding two set of polygons cannot be identified. For example, two small blue triangles sharing a vertex, have different areas, and hence cannot be identified. But in a noncommutative setting, the total difference across X, given by $h_{\bar{X}}$, will define a weak Maurer-Cartan relation.

Formally, we need to compute $m_0^b = m_1(b) + m_2(b,b) + \cdots$ for $(\mathbb{L}_t, \lambda)$ where m_0^b takes the form $h_{\bar{X}}\bar{X} + h_{\bar{Y}}\bar{Y} + h_{\bar{Z}}\bar{Z} + W\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}}$.

Proposition 5.5. *Weak Maurer-Cartan relations for general λ, t are given by $h_{\bar{X}} = h_{\bar{Y}} = h_{\bar{Z}} = 0$, where*

$$h_{\bar{X}} = Ayz + Bzy + Cx^2, h_{\bar{Y}} = Azx + Bxz + Cy^2, h_{\bar{Z}} = Axy + Byx + Cz^2. \tag{5.4}$$

where

$$A = \lambda^{\frac{1+3t}{2}}\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \lambda^{3k} q_0^{(6k+1+3t)^2}\right), B = \lambda^{\frac{1+3t}{2}}\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \lambda^{3k+2} q_0^{(6k+5+3t)^2}\right), C = \lambda^{\frac{1+3t}{2}}\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \lambda^{3k+1} q_0^{(6k+3+3t)^2}\right). \tag{5.5}$$

and $q_0 = \exp(-Min)$, Min is the symplectic area of the minimal non-trivial triangle bounded by $\mathbb{L}_0$.

The above proposition can be checked by direct but tedious calculation, and we omit the details and provide a brief sketch. For example, the coefficient of yz in $h_{\bar{X}}$ comes from the contribution of $m_2(zZ, yY)$ with an output $\bar{X}$, which means that we count triangles with corners Z, Y, X in a counter-clockwise order, with weights given by its areas and flat connections. The contribution comes in two (infinite) sums of triangles (which is combined nicely)

$$\sum_{k\geq 0}\left(\lambda^{-1}\right)^{3k+\frac{5}{2}-\frac{3t}{2}} q_0^{(6k+5-3t)^2} + \sum_{k\geq 0}\lambda^{3k+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3t}{2}} q_0^{(6k+1+3t)^2} = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\lambda^{3k+\frac{1+3t}{2}} q_0^{(6k+1+3t)^2}. \tag{5.6}$$

Observe that the (exponent of) holonomy part is proportional to the boundary length of a triangle. The reason for having λ^{-1} in the first term but λ^{+1} in the second is because the orientations of triangles in two sequences are opposite to each other.

As dividing A,B,C by a common factor does not affect the ideal generated by weak Maurer-Cartan relations, we set

$$a = q_0^{-(3t+1)^2}\lambda^{-\frac{1+3t}{2}}\cdot A,\quad b = q_0^{-(3t+1)^2}\lambda^{-\frac{1+3t}{2}}\cdot B,\quad c = q_0^{-(3t+1)^2}\lambda^{-\frac{1+3t}{2}}\cdot C \tag{5.7}$$

which are theta functions in a holomorphic variable u defined as follows.

As in Theorem 5.3 we put $q_{\mathrm{orb}} = q_0^8$ which is the exponentiated symplectic area of $\mathbb{P}^1_{3,3,3}$ (here we put $\mathbf{T} = e^{-1}$), and $e^{2\pi i\tau} := q_{\mathrm{orb}}^3$, where the right hand side is the exponentiated symplectic area of the elliptic curve E. (The dependence of τ on q_{orb} is the inverse mirror map.) We write $\lambda = e^{2\pi i s}$ (recall $\lambda\in U(1)$), and define

$$u := s + \tau\frac{t}{2} + \frac{\tau}{6}. \tag{5.8}$$

Since s and t have periodicity 1 and 2 respectively, u can be thought of as a (holomorphic) coordinate function on $E_\tau := \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}\langle\tau\rangle$.

Proposition 5.6. *The function a,b,c in Equation* (5.7) *are holomorphic functions in the coordinate u on E_τ, and can be expressed as*

$$a(u) = \Theta_0(u,\tau)_3,\quad b(u) = \Theta_2(u,\tau)_3,\quad c(u) = \Theta_1(u,\tau)_3. \tag{5.9}$$

In particular a,b,c are convergent over $\mathbb{C}$. Here, $\Theta_j(u,\tau)_s := \theta\left[\frac{j}{s},0\right](su,s\tau)$ (see appendix for more details).

Proof. See Appendix A.1. □

Such theta functions were also obtained in [AZ06] in a different setting.

Note that a priori the weakly unobstructed relations from Lagrangian Floer theory are only defined around the large volume limit $\tau\to i\infty$. It follows from the above proposition that they are well-defined over the global moduli. We will prove that $(a:b:c)$ satisfies the mirror elliptic curve equation $W_0 = 0$ later.

Consequently, the mirror noncommutative algebra is the Sklyanin algebra with coefficients a,b,c and, at $u_0 := \frac{1}{2}+\frac{\tau}{6}$ (i.e. $t=0$ and $\lambda = 1/2$) the algebra recovers the usual polynomial algebra, since $a(u_0) = -b(u_0)$ and $c(u_0) = 0$. This finishes the proof of (1) of Theorem 5.1.

5.3. **Noncommutative potential.** We next compute the (noncommutative) mirror superpotential $W_{(\lambda,t)}$ for $(\mathbb{L}_t,\lambda)$, by suitable count of (holomorphic) triangles. We pick a representative of the point class of $\mathbb{L}_t$ and we count the number of triangles passing through this representative (hence one triangle can contribute several times). Note that the configuration of Lagrangians in the universal cover changes for various choice of t's (even topologically). Let us first assume $-\frac{1}{3} < t < \frac{1}{3}$ so that the topological type of $\mathbb{L}_t$ remains the same as in Figure 2.

To represent the point class, we put a marked point on each minimal segment of $\mathbb{L}_t$ bounded by two self intersection points. Since we have six such segments, each marked point represents $1/6$ of the point class, i.e. $\frac{1}{6}PD(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}})$. Thus total contribution of a triangle is $\frac{1}{6}$ of the number of the number of marked points, or equivalently, $\frac{1}{6}$ of the number of segments in the boundary of the triangle.

Remark 5.7. *The arrangement of the marked points here is different from that in* [CHLb]*, but the resulting potential for* $t = 0$ *and* $\lambda = -1$ *can be checked to be the same as the one in* [CHLb].

Direct counting gives the following x^3, y^3, z^3-terms in the potential.

$$-\lambda^{\frac{1+3t}{2}}\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right)\lambda^{3k+1}q_0^{(6k+3+3t)^2}\right)(x^3+y^3+z^3) = -\frac{q_0^{(3t+1)^2}\lambda^{\frac{1+3t}{2}}}{6\pi i}\left(c'(u)+\pi i c(u)\right).$$

In actual counting, each coefficient is given as two sums, both of which are taken over $\{k \geq 0\}$ contributed by two sequences of triangles. We apply the same trick as in (5.6) to reduce to the above expression. For example, the k-th triangle has $6k+3$ minimal segments (with end points at self intersections) in one edge whose counting gives rise to $(6k+3)(\frac{1}{6}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}}) = (k+\frac{1}{2})\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}}$.

Likewise, the triangles with X, Y and Z as corners in counterclockwise order contribute

$$-\lambda^{\frac{1+3t}{2}}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(k+\frac{5}{6}\right)\lambda^{3k+2}q_0^{(6k+5+3t)^2} = -\frac{q_0^{(3t+1)^2}\lambda^{\frac{1+3t}{2}}}{6\pi i}\left(b'(u)+\pi i b(u)\right)$$

to the coefficients of zyx, xzy, yxz respectively. (Recall that $m_3(xX, yY, zZ) = zyx\cdot m_3(X, Y, Z)$ according to our convention. See (2.2).)

On the other hand, triangles with X, Y and Z as corners in clockwise order contribute

$$-\lambda^{\frac{1+3t}{2}}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(k+\frac{1}{6}\right)\lambda^{3k}q_0^{(6k+1+3t)^2} = -\frac{q_0^{(3t+1)^2}\lambda^{\frac{1+3t}{2}}}{6\pi i}\left(a'(u)+\pi i a(u)\right)$$

to the coefficients of xyz, zxy, yzx respectively.

If $t \leq \frac{-1}{3}$ or $t \geq \frac{1}{3}$, topological type of $\mathbb{L}$ changes, but we still put one marked point at each minimal segment bounded by self intersection points, and each marked point represents $\frac{1}{N}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}}$ where N is the total number of minimal segments that form $\mathbb{L}_t$. One can check that $N = 3$ for $t = \pm\frac{1}{3}$ and $N = 6$ otherwise, and above three coefficients for $W_{\lambda,t}$ are given as follows:

$$-\frac{q_0^{(3t+1)^2}\lambda^{\frac{1+3t}{2}}}{6\pi i}\left(a'(u)+r\pi i a(u)\right),\quad -\frac{q_0^{(3t+1)^2}\lambda^{\frac{1+3t}{2}}}{6\pi i}\left(b'(u)+r\pi i b(u)\right),\quad -\frac{q_0^{(3t+1)^2}\lambda^{\frac{1+3t}{2}}}{6\pi i}\left(c'(u)+r\pi i c(u)\right)$$

where

$$r = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{for} \quad -1 < t < -\frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & \text{for} \quad t = -\frac{1}{3} \\ 1 & \text{for} \quad -\frac{1}{3} < t < \frac{1}{3} \\ 2 & \text{for} \quad t = \frac{1}{3} \\ 3 & \text{for} \quad \frac{1}{3} < t < 1 \end{cases}.$$

By using weak Maurer-Cartan relations, we obtain the following formula which is valid for all t (i.e. the formula is valid for any topological type of $\mathbb{L}_t$).

Proposition 5.8. *The noncommutative LG superpotential* $W_{(\lambda,t)} \in \mathrm{Sky}(a(\lambda,t), b(\lambda,t), c(\lambda,t))$ *for* $(\mathbb{L}_t, \lambda)$ *is given as*

(5.10)

$$W_{(\lambda,t)} = -\frac{\pi i q_0^{(3t+1)^2}\lambda^{\frac{1+3t}{2}}}{6}\left(a'(u)(xyz+zxy+yzx)+b'(u)(zyx+xzy+yxz)+c'(u)(x^3+y^3+z^3)\right).$$

In particular $W_{(\lambda,t)}$ *is convergent over* $\mathbb{C}$.

As a direct consequence from Theorem 3.8,

Corollary 5.9 ((2) of Theorem 5.1)**.** $W_{(\lambda,t)}$ *given above is a central element of* $\mathrm{Sky}(a(\lambda,t), b(\lambda,t), c(\lambda,t))$.

Historically computer program is used to find centers in these noncommutative algebras, but our method provides a conceptual approach.

5.4. (a,b,c) **and the mirror elliptic curve.** We use a geometric idea to prove that

Theorem 5.10 ((3) of Theorem 5.1)**.** *The coefficients $a(\lambda,t), b(\lambda,t), c(\lambda,t)$ of Maurer-Cartan relations (using the coordinate* (5.8)*) give an embedding $E_\tau \to \mathbb{P}^2$ to the mirror elliptic curve $\check{E} = \{W_0 = 0\}$. In particular, we have*

$$a(\lambda,t)^3 + b(\lambda,t)^3 + c(\lambda,t)^3 - \sigma(q_{\mathrm{orb}})a(\lambda,t)b(\lambda,t)c(\lambda,t) = 0,$$

where $\sigma(q_{\mathrm{orb}})$ is given in Theorem 5.3.

Remark 5.11. *From the theta function expression of $(a(\lambda,t), b(\lambda,t), c(\lambda,t))$, we know that they defines a projective embedding onto a Hesse elliptic curve $\{a^3+b^3+c^3-\gamma abc = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ (See* [Dol, Theorem 3.2]*). The above theorem identifies this with the mirror elliptic curve, namely we have $\gamma = \sigma$.*

Proof. The main idea of the proof is to relate the Maurer-Cartan coefficients $a(\lambda,t), b(\lambda,t), c(\lambda,t)$ with the coefficients of a particular matrix factorization of the mirror potential W_0.

This is based on the following geometric observation.

Lemma 5.12. *Consider a Lagrangian $\mathbb{L}_{3t}$, equipped with a flat $U(1)$ connection with holonomy $\lambda_0 = -1$ with a small $t \neq 0$. Mirror functor $\mathcal{F}^{(\mathbb{L}_0,-1)}$ (as in Section 6.3 of* [CHLb]*) maps $\mathbb{L}_{3t}$ with holonomy λ_0 to a (3×3) matrix factorization*

$$M_0 \cdot M_1 = M_1 \cdot M_0 = W_0 \cdot I_{3\times 3},$$

where M_0 (the matrix with linear terms) is of the form

$$-M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} Ax & Bz & Cy \\ Cz & Ay & Bx \\ By & Cx & Az \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.11}$$

("$-$" in (5.11) *is due to our sign convention* (4.1)*.) Then, we have*

$$(A,B,C) = \big(A(\lambda_0,t), B(\lambda_0,t), C(\lambda_0,t)\big). \tag{5.12}$$

Proof. We first review the computation of the matrix factorization which is essentially the same as the one for the long diagonal in [CHLb], which is the Floer differential on $\mathrm{CF}((\mathbb{L}_0,b),(\mathbb{L}_{3t},\lambda))$ Note that there are six intersection points between $\mathbb{L}_0$ and $\mathbb{L}_{3t}$. The (b-deformed) holomorphic strips from odd generators (between $\mathbb{L}_0$ and $\mathbb{L}_{3t}$) to even generators are all triangles, and that from even to odd generators are all trapezoids. Since two vertices of these strips are inputs and outputs, entries of M_0 consist of linear terms in x, y and z, and those of M_1 are all quadratic, and one can check that M_0 is of the form given in (5.11).

Then, the relation (5.12) can be checked by hand. We only give a few helpful comments, and leave it as an exercise. Consider the vertical Lagrangian $\mathbb{L}_{t,0}$ and its $\mathbb{Z}_3$-rotation images $\mathbb{L}_{t,1}, \mathbb{L}_{t,2}$. If one takes two of these Lagrangians, say $\mathbb{L}_{t,0}$ and $\mathbb{L}_{t,1}$, then it is easy to observe that they are just simple translates of $\mathbb{L}_{0,0}, \mathbb{L}_{0,1}$. Then one can check that the decomposition of the elliptic curve by three lines $(\mathbb{L}_{t,0}, \mathbb{L}_{t,1}, \mathbb{L}_{t,2})$ and that by the three lines $(\mathbb{L}_{0,0}, \mathbb{L}_{0,1}, \mathbb{L}_{3t,2})$ are the same (related by a simple translation). The former is used for Maurer-Cartan relations for $\mathbb{L}_t$ and the latter is used for Matrix Factorization of $\mathbb{L}_{3t}$ with reference $\mathbb{L}_0$. This is the reason why we take $\mathbb{L}_{3t}$ in this lemma. One can check the labeling of corners to show the rest of the assertion. □

Now to finish the proof of the theorem, we take the determinant of the equation $M_0 \cdot M_1 = W_0 \cdot \mathrm{Id}$. Thus $\det(M_0)$ is a cubic polynomial that divides W_0^3. Since W_0 is irreducible, we should have $\det(M_0) = k \cdot W_0$ for some constant $k \neq 0$. Then

$$\det(M_0) = -ABC(x^3+y^3+z^3) + (A^3+B^3+C^3)xyz = k \cdot W_0. \tag{5.13}$$

This shows that $\frac{A^3+B^3+C^3}{ABC} = \sigma$, which implies that $W_0(A,B,C) = 0$ for (λ_0, t) with t small. As, A, B, C are holomorphic functions, this shows that in fact A, B, C satisfy the above equation even for large t and all λ, and this proves the claim. □

5.5. **Relation to the quantization of an affine del Pezzo surface.** Recall that deformation quantization of a (commutative) Poisson algebra is a formal deformation into a noncommutative associative unital algebra whose commutator in the first order is the Poisson bracket.

For any $\phi \in \mathbb{C}[x,y,z]$, there exist a Poisson structure whose brackets on coordinate functions are given by

$$\{x,y\} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}, \{y,z\} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \{z,x\} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}.$$

One can check that ϕ itself Poisson commute with any other element, and hence the above Poisson structure descend to the quotient $\mathbb{C}[x,y,z]/(\phi)$ by the principal ideal generated by ϕ, which is denoted as $\mathscr{B}_\phi$.

Our theory provides the quantization of the affine del Pezzo surface in the sense of [EG10]. We write $v = u - u_0$ so that now $v = 0$ corresponds to the commutative point.

Theorem 5.13. *The family of noncommutative algebra $\mathscr{A}_v/(W_v)$ near $v = 0$ gives a quantization of the affine del Pezzo surface, given by the mirror elliptic curve equation $W_0(x,y,z) = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}^3$.*

Proof. As $v \to 0$, we have

$$\mathscr{A}_v/(W_v) \to \mathbb{C}[x,y,z]/(W_0).$$

So it remains to check that the first order term of the commutator of A_v is equivalent to the Poisson bracket induced by W_0. We may write $a(v)$ as (similarly for $b(v), c(v)$)

$$a(v) = a(0) + a'(0)v + o(v).$$

(Here, $a(0)$ and $a'(o)$ means $a(v)$ and $a'(v)$ evaluated at $v = 0$.) Since $a(0) = -b(0), c(0) = 0$, the Sklyanin algebra relation becomes

$$a(0)(xy - yx) + v(a'(0)xy + b'(0)yx + c'(0)z^2) + o(v) = 0.$$

By Prop. 5.8, $a'(0)xy + b'(0)yx + c'(0)z^2$ is a multiple of $\frac{\partial W_0}{\partial z}$, so the result follows. □

Etingof-Ginzburg [EG10] constructed a deformation quantization of such affine del Pezzo surfaces via different method, but the resulting noncommutative algebra is of the same form. Namely, in [EG10], the deformation quantization of $\mathbb{C}[x,y,z]/(\phi)$ is obtained in a two step process, where first, $\mathbb{C}^3$ with the Poisson structure given by ϕ is deformed into a Calabi-Yau algebra A, and the Poisson center ϕ then gets deformed into a central element Ψ of this algebra A. The Calabi-Yau algebra A is defined as a Jacobi algebra of some superpotential Φ, and the existence of the center Ψ uses a deep theorem of Kontsevich on deformation quantization [Kon03].

In our approach, Φ is given by the spacetime superpotential which is related to the Maurer-Cartan equation, and the central element W is obtained as a worldsheet superpotential, where both Φ and W are obtained by the elementary counting of triangles in elliptic curves.

It is known by [ATdB90, Ste97] that the quotient algebra of Sklyanin algebra by its central element can be written as a homogeneous coordinate ring. In our setting, it can be written as follows.

Theorem 5.14 ([ATdB90, Ste97])**.** *Let W be a non-zero central element of the Sklyanin algebra* $\mathrm{Sky}_3(a,b,c)$. *Then $\mathscr{A}_{(\lambda,t)}/(W_{(\lambda,t)}) = \mathrm{Sky}_3(a,b,c)/(W)$ is isomorphic to the twisted homogeneous coordinate ring*

$$\bigoplus_{m\geq 1} \Gamma(\check{E}, \mathscr{L} \otimes \sigma^* \mathscr{L} \otimes \cdots \otimes (\sigma^{m-1})^* \mathscr{L}),$$

where $\check{E}$ is the mirror elliptic curve, $\mathscr{L}$ is $\mathscr{O}(1)$ and σ is an automorphism of $\check{E}$ given by the group structure of $\check{E}$.

Theorem 5.15 (Theorem 3.6.2 of [EG10])**.** *We have a derived equivalence for each (λ, t)*

$$D^b\mathrm{Coh}(\check{E}) \simeq D^b\mathrm{MF}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathscr{A}_{\mathbb{L}_{(\lambda,t)}}, W_{(\lambda,t)}). \tag{5.14}$$

It is important in the above two theorems that the coefficients (a,b,c) of the Sklyanin algebra satisfies the mirror elliptic curve equation (Theorem 5.10).

Recall that $\mathbb{P}^1_{3,3,3} = [E/\mathbb{Z}_3]$. From the construction to be given in Section 7, we will have an upstair functor from the Fukaya category of E to the *graded* matrix factorization category of $W_{(\lambda,t)}$. (See Proposition 7.31.) In the commutative case (where $(\lambda,t) = (-1,0)$), upstair functor gives an equivalence.

Proposition 5.16. *The $\mathbb{Z}$-graded functor*

$$\mathscr{F}^{\tilde{\mathbb{L}}_0}\mathrm{Fuk}^{\mathbb{Z}}(E) \to MF^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathscr{A}_0, W_0) \tag{5.15}$$

induces a derived equivalence. ($\mathscr{A}_0 = \Lambda[x,y,z]$.)

Proof. Recall that in [CHLb, Theorem 7.24] we have proved that the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded functor gives a derived equivalence from $D\mathrm{Fuk}(\mathbb{P}^1_{3,3,3})$ to $D\mathrm{MF}(\mathscr{A}_0, W_0)$, by showing that the functor takes the split generator $\mathbb{L}_0$ to a split generator which is a wedge-contraction type matrix factorization ξ [Dyc11]. We will show in Proposition 7.31 that the corresponding functor upstairs preserves the $\mathbb{Z}$-gradings. The derived Fukaya category of the torus E is split generated by the three Lagrangian lifts $\mathbb{L}^1, \mathbb{L}^g, \mathbb{L}^{g^2}$ for $\mathbb{Z}_3 = \{1, g, g^2\}$ with gradings given by

$$\theta_0 = \frac{1}{2}, \theta_1 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3}, \theta_2 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3}.$$

Under the mirror functor, they are sent to $\xi, \xi[-\frac{2}{3}], \xi[-\frac{4}{3}]$ respectively, which split-generate the category of graded matrix factorizations $MF^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathscr{A}_0, W_0)$ by Tu [Tu14b, Theorem 6.2]. Hence the functor derives an equivalence. □

By composing the upstair functor with (5.14), we obtain

Corollary 5.17. *There exists a family of functors parametrized by (λ, t)*

$$D^b\mathrm{Fuk}^{\mathbb{Z}}(E) \longrightarrow D^b\mathrm{MF}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathscr{A}_{(\lambda,t)}, W_{(\lambda,t)}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} D^b\mathrm{Coh}(\check{E}). \tag{5.16}$$

We expect that these functors are all equivalent to each other, and in particular equivalent to the commutative one when $(\lambda,t) = (-1,0)$. This is still unknown to us for general (λ,t) since noncommutative matrix factorizations are not well understood yet. In the commutative case of $\mathbb{L}_0$, Lee [Lee] showed that (5.16) agrees with the equivalence constructed by Polishchuk-Zaslow [PZ98] based on our construction.

6. Mirror construction using several Lagrangians and quiver algebras

In this section, we take the reference $\mathbb{L}$ to be a set of Lagrangians, instead of a single Lagrangian immersion. While one could definitely regard their union as a single Lagrangian and carry out the construction in Section 3, this will lose some information and the deformation space would become coarser. Allowing $\mathbb{L}$ to be a set of Lagrangians can capture more information and provide a way to globalize the mirror construction given in Section 3. For instance, one may take $\mathbb{L}$ to be a set of generators of the Fukaya category.

The result of the construction is a quiver Q with relations R, together with a central element W of the quiver algebra with relations $\Lambda Q/R$. Under good conditions, the relations can be obtained as partial derivatives of a cyclic element Φ in the path algebra ΛQ. We will regard $(\Lambda Q/R, W)$ as a generalized mirror of X, in the sense that there exists a natural functor $\mathrm{Fuk}(X) \to \mathrm{MF}(\Lambda Q/R, W)$.

The quiver $Q = Q^{\mathbb{L}}$ is a finite oriented graph, whose vertices correspond to elements in $\mathbb{L}$, and whose arrows correspond to odd-degree immersed generators of $\mathbb{L}$. The path algebra of Q is taken to be the base of the Fukaya subcategory $\mathbb{L}$. Alternatively $\mathbb{L}$ can be associated with an A_∞-*algebra* over the semi-simple ring $\Lambda^\oplus$ [Sei08], which will be explained shortly. The occurrence of idempotents $\pi_i' s$ is a key feature when we use a family of Lagrangians as a reference, and the subalgebra generated by $\pi_i' s$ is isomorphic to $\Lambda^\oplus$. The path algebra of $Q^{\mathbb{L}}$ can be regarded as an algebra over this semi-simple ring.

The relations R of the quiver algebra come naturally from the weakly unobstructed condition on the formal deformations of $\mathbb{L}$. Thus $\Lambda Q/R$ is essentially the space of weakly unobstructed noncommutative deformations of $\mathbb{L}$. As before, the counting of holomorphic discs passing through a generic marked point on each member of $\mathbb{L}$ defines several superpotentials W_i. Then

$$W_{\mathbb{L}} := \sum_i W_i \in \Lambda Q/R.$$

We will show that the centrality theorem continues to hold in this setting, namely the potential $W_{\mathbb{L}}$ defined above lies in the center of $\Lambda Q/R$.

6.1. **Path algebra and the semi-simple ring.** We first construct the quiver $Q^{\mathbb{L}}$ in detail. Let X be a Kähler manifold, and $\mathbb{L} = \{L_1, \ldots, L_k\}$ be a set of spin Lagrangian immersions of X with transverse self-intersections. (In case there is no confusion, L_i may refer to the immersion map, the domain or the image of the immersion, depending on situations.) Suppose that any two Lagrangians in this family intersect transversely with each other. We regard $\bigcup_{1\le i\le k} L_i$ as an immersed Lagrangian.

Definition 6.1. *$Q = Q^{\mathbb{L}}$ is defined to be the following graph. Each vertex v_i of Q corresponds to a Lagrangian $L_i \in \mathbb{L}$. Thus the vertex set is*

$$Q_0^{\mathbb{L}} = \{v_1, \cdots, v_k\}.$$

Each arrow from v_i to v_j corresponds to odd-degree Floer generator in $\mathrm{CF}^\bullet(L_i, L_j)$ *(which is an intersection point between L_i and L_j). In particular for $i = j$, we have loops at v_i corresponding to the odd-degree immersed generators of L_i.*

Q will be sometimes called the endomorphism quiver *of* $\mathbb{L}$.



FIGURE 3. Endomorphism quiver $Q^{\mathbb{L}}$

Given a quiver Q, we can define a path algebra ΛQ spanned by paths in Q. We will use the following convention for the path algebra.

Definition 6.2. *For a quiver Q, with the set of vertices Q_0 and the set of arrows Q_1, we have maps $h, t : Q_1 \to Q_0$ which assign head and tail vertices to each arrow respectively. Each vertex v corresponds to a trivial path π_v (of length zero). A sequence of arrows $p = a_{k-1}a_{k-2}\cdots a_1 a_0$ is called to be a path of length k if*

$$h(a_i) = t(a_{i+1}), \text{ for each } i.$$

We set $h(p) := h(a_{k-1}), t(p) := t(a_0)$, and we call p a cyclic path if $h(p) = t(p)$. We can concatenate two paths p and q to get the path pq if $t(q) = h(p)$. Otherwise, we set $pq = 0$.

Definition 6.3. *A path algebra $\Lambda_0 Q$ over the ring Λ_0 for a quiver Q is defined as follows. As a Λ_0-module $\Lambda_0 Q$ is spanned by paths in Q. The product is defined by linearly extending the concatenation of paths. We take a completion $\widehat{\Lambda_0 Q}$ of $\Lambda_0 Q$ using a filtration defined by the minimal energy of the coefficients of elements in $\Lambda_0 Q$. In fact, all quiver path algebra in this paper will be the completed ones, and we will simply write them as $\Lambda_0 Q$ without the hat notation. We set $\Lambda Q := \Lambda \otimes_{\Lambda_0} \Lambda_0 Q$.*

For a vertex v, π_v is an idempotent in $\Lambda Q^{\mathbb{L}}$. They generates a semi-simple ring $\Lambda^{\oplus}$ defined below and $\Lambda Q^{\mathbb{L}}$ can be regarded as a bimodule over $\Lambda^{\oplus}$.

Definition 6.4. *The ring $\Lambda^{\oplus}$ is defined as*

$$\Lambda^{\oplus} = \Lambda\pi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda\pi_k, \tag{6.1}$$

with $\pi_i \cdot \pi_i = \pi_i$ and $\pi_i \cdot \pi_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

The enlargement of the Novikov field Λ into the semi-simple ring $\Lambda^{\oplus}$ was used by Seidel to regard an A_∞-category of the family $\mathbb{L}$ as an A_∞-algebra. Namely, we have a $\Lambda^{\oplus}$-bimodule

$$\mathscr{C} = \mathscr{F}(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L}) := \bigoplus_{i,j} \mathscr{F}(L_i, L_j),$$

where $\mathscr{F}(L_i, L_j) = \mathrm{Span}_{\Lambda}(L_i \cap L_j)$ for $i \neq j$ and $\mathscr{F}(L_i, L_i) = \mathrm{CF}^\bullet(L_i \times_\iota L_i)$, and the module structure is given by

$$\pi_i \cdot \mathscr{C} \cdot \pi_j = \mathscr{F}(L_i, L_j).$$

Then, the tensor product of $\mathscr{C}$ over $\Lambda^{\oplus}$ boils down to composable morphisms and can be identified as

$$\mathscr{C}^{\otimes r} = \bigoplus_{i_1, \cdots, i_k} \mathscr{F}(L_{i_1}, L_{i_2}) \otimes_\Lambda \cdots \otimes_\Lambda \mathscr{F}(L_{i_{k-1}}, L_{i_k}).$$

In this setting, $\mathscr{C}$ is said to be unital if each $\mathrm{CF}(L_i, L_i)$ has a unit $\mathbf{1}_{L_i}$ and if $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}} := \oplus \mathbf{1}_{L_i}$ becomes a unit of $\mathscr{C}$.

6.2. **Mirror construction.** As in Section 2.2, first we perform a base change for the A_∞-algebra:

$$\tilde{A}^{\mathbb{L}} := \Lambda Q^{\mathbb{L}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda^{\oplus}} \mathrm{CF}(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L}).$$

Due to the bimodule structure, an expression $pX_e := p \otimes X_e$ for a path p and $X_e \in \mathrm{CF}(L_i, L_j)$ is non-zero if and only if $t(p) = i$. We use Definition 2.4 to extend the A_∞-structure on $\mathrm{CF}(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L})$ to $\mathscr{A}(Q, \mathbb{L}; \Lambda^{\oplus})$.

Denote the formal variable in ΛQ associated to each arrow e of Q by x_e, and denote the corresponding odd-degree immersed generator in $\mathrm{CF}(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L})$ by X_e. Now take the linear combination

$$b = \sum_e x_e X_e \in \tilde{A}^{\mathbb{L}}.$$

As in Definition 3.1, $\deg x_e := 1 - \deg X_e$ so that b has degree one. In particular $\deg x_e$ is even. We define nc-weak Maurer-Cartan relations in the following way (assuming the Fukaya category $\mathscr{C}$ is unital).

Definition 6.5. *The coefficients P_f of the even-degree generators X_f of $\mathrm{CF}(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L})$ (other than the fundamental classes $\mathbf{1}_{L_i}$) in*

$$m_0^b = m(e^b) = \sum_i W_i \mathbf{1}_{L_i} + \sum_f P_f X_f$$

are called the nc-weak Maurer-Cartan relations. Let R be the completed two-sided ideal generated by P_f. Then define the noncommutative ring

$$\mathscr{A} := \Lambda Q / R.$$

$W_{\mathbb{L}} = \sum_i W_i$ is called the worldsheet superpotential of $\mathbb{L}$.

We regard $\mathscr{A}$ to be the space of noncommutative weakly unobstructed deformations of $\mathbb{L}$. Instead of working on $\tilde{A}^{\mathbb{L}} = \Lambda Q^{\mathbb{L}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda^{\otimes}} \mathrm{CF}(\mathbb{L},\mathbb{L})$, we define $\mathscr{A} = \Lambda Q^{\mathbb{L}}/R$ as above and work on

$$A^{\mathbb{L}} = \mathscr{A} \widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda^{\otimes}} \mathrm{CF}(\mathbb{L},\mathbb{L}).$$

Now, the induced A_∞-structure on $A^{\mathbb{L}}$ satisfies

$$m_0^b = W_{\mathbb{L}} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}} = \sum_i W_i \cdot \mathbf{1}_{L_i} \in \mathscr{A} \widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda^{\otimes}} \mathrm{CF}(\mathbb{L},\mathbb{L}) \tag{6.2}$$

where $W_{\mathbb{L}} = \sum_i W_i$ and $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}} := \sum_i \mathbf{1}_{L_i}$. We have $W_i = W_{\mathbb{L}} \cdot \pi_i$ where π_i is the idempotent corresponding to the vertex v_i (which is just the trivial path at v_i). Geometrically W_i counts the holomorphic polygons bounded by $\mathbb{L}$ passing through a generic marked point in L_i.

$(\mathscr{A}, W)$ is called a *generalized mirror* of X, and we will construct a natural mirror functor from $\mathrm{Fuk}(X)$ to $\mathrm{MF}(\mathscr{A}, W)$.

6.3. **Centrality and the spacetime superpotential.** As in the construction for a single Lagrangian, the worldsheet superpotential $W_{\mathbb{L}}$ constructed in our scheme is automatically central. The proof is similar and we include it here for readers' convenience.

Theorem 6.6 (Centrality)**.** *The worldsheet superpotential $W_{\mathbb{L}} \in \mathscr{A}$ given in Definition 6.5 is central.*

Proof. Over $\mathscr{A}$, we have $m_0^b = W_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}} = \sum_i W_i \mathbf{1}_{L_i}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = m \circ \hat{m}(e^b) &= m(e^b, \hat{m}(e^b), e^b) \\ &= m_2(b, \sum_i W_i \mathbf{1}_{L_i}) + m_2(\sum_i W_i \mathbf{1}_{L_i}, b) \\ &= \sum_{i,e} \left(W_i x_e \cdot m_2(X_e, \mathbf{1}_{L_i}) + x_e W_i \cdot m_2(\mathbf{1}_{L_i}, X_e) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,e} \left(W_i x_e \cdot \delta_{t(e),v_i}) - x_e W_i \cdot \delta_{v_i,h(e)} \right) X_e \\ &= \sum_e (W_{\mathbb{L}} \cdot x_e - x_e \cdot W_{\mathbb{L}}) X_e. \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{vw} = 1$ if $v = w$ and zero otherwise. □

In good situations the quiver algebra $\mathscr{A}$ can be neatly written in the form of the Jacobi algebra with respect to a spacetime superpotential $\Phi_{\mathbb{L}} \in \Lambda Q$. Recall that the Jacobi algebra of a quiver Q with a cyclic potential Φ in the path algebra is defined as follows:

Definition 6.7. *Let Q be a quiver and $\Phi \in \Lambda Q$ be cyclic. The Jacobi algebra* $\mathrm{Jac}(Q,\Phi)$ *is defined as*

$$\mathrm{Jac}(Q,\Phi) = \frac{\Lambda Q}{(\partial_{x_e}\Phi : e \in E)}$$

where x_e are generators of the path algebra corresponding to the arrows of Q, partial derivatives ∂_{x_e} are defined in (3.2)*, and $(\partial_{x_e}\Phi : e \in E)$ denotes the completed two sided ideal generated by $\partial_{x_e}\Phi$.*

Definition 3.5 and Proposition 3.7 for the spacetime superpotential $\Phi = \Phi_{\mathbb{L}}$ extends naturally to the current setting. The proof is exactly the same and hence omitted.

Proposition 6.8. *Partial derivatives $\partial_{x_e}\Phi$ for $e \in E$ are noncommutative weak Maurer-Cartan relations. Suppose* $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$ *is odd. If further every $L_i \in \mathbb{L}$ is a Lagrangian sphere equipped with the standard height function, then*

$$\mathscr{A} = \mathrm{Jac}(Q,\Phi).$$

6.4. **The mirror functor.** In this section, we construct an A_∞-functor

$$\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{L}} : \mathrm{Fuk}(X) \to \mathrm{MF}(Q^{\mathbb{L}}, \Phi_{\mathbb{L}}; W_{\mathbb{L}}). \tag{6.3}$$

The construction is essentially the same as the one given in Section 4, except that now we are working over the semi-simple ring $\Lambda^\oplus$. We give a detailed description of the object level functor $(\mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{L}})_0$ which gives a concrete manifestation of the role of $\Lambda^\oplus$.

Recall that we have $A^{\mathbb{L}} = \mathscr{A} \widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda^\oplus} \mathrm{CF}(\mathbb{L},\mathbb{L})$ and $\mathscr{A} = \Lambda Q^{\mathbb{L}}/R$, where R denotes the ideal generated by weak Maurer-Cartan relations. Then the object level correspondence

$$\mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{Fuk}(X)) \to \mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{MF}(W))$$

is defined by sending an unobstructed Lagrangian U to the following projective $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded $\mathscr{A}$-module with an odd endomorphism δ_U.

$$\mathscr{F}(U) := (\mathscr{A} \otimes_{\Lambda^\oplus} \mathrm{CF}(\mathbb{L}, U), \delta) \cong \left(\bigoplus_i \bigoplus_{v \in L_i \cap U} \mathscr{A} \cdot \pi_i, \delta \right) \tag{6.4}$$

where $\Lambda^\oplus$-modules structure on $\mathrm{CF}(\mathbb{L}, U)$ is defined by $\pi_i \cdot v = 1$ for $v \in L_i \cap U$ and $\pi_i \cdot v = 0$ otherwise. In the last identification, π_i is the idempotent corresponding to the vertex v_i so that $\mathscr{A} \cdot \pi_i$ consists of (classes of) paths which start from the vertex v_i.

The $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading naturally comes from the standard Lagrangian Floer theory. For $v \in \mathbb{L} \cap U$, $\delta(v) = (-1)^{\deg v - 1} m_1^{b,0}$ which counts holomorphic strips bounded by $\mathbb{L}$ and U. This defines δ by A-linearity.

Proposition 6.9. *$\mathscr{F}(U)$ defined above is a matrix factorization of $(\mathscr{A}, W_{\mathbb{L}})$, namely $\delta^2 = W \cdot \mathrm{Id}$.*

Proof. For $v \in L_i \cap U$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^2(v) &= (-1)^{|v|}(-1)^{|v|+1} m_1^{b,0} \circ m_1^{b,0}(v) \\ &= m_2^{b,b,0}(m_{0,\mathbb{L}}^b, v) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k m_2(W_j \mathbf{1}_{L_j}, v) \end{aligned}$$

by the property of the unit. Since $v \in L_i \cap U$, $\pi_j \cdot v = 0$ for $j \neq i$ so that

$$\sum_j m_2(W_j \mathbf{1}_{L_j}, v) = W_i \cdot m_2(\mathbf{1}_{L_i}, v) = W_i \cdot v.$$

Using the same property again, we have

$$W_i \cdot v = (W_1 \pi_1 + \cdots + W_k \pi_k) \cdot v = W \cdot v$$

and hence $\delta^2 v = W \cdot v$. □

The rest of the construction of $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{L}}$ is similar to that in Section 4 and we do not repeat here. We finish the section with the proof of the injectivity of our functor restricted to $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{L}, U)$ for any $L \in \mathrm{Fuk}(X)$ which is a generalization of Theorem 4.9.

Theorem 6.10 (Injectivity)**.** *If the A_∞-category is unital, then the mirror A_∞ functor $\mathscr{F}^{\mathbb{L}}$ is injective on $H^\bullet(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{L}, U)$ (and also on $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{L}, U)$) for any U.*

Proof. The only difference is that now we are working over the semi-simple ring $\Lambda^{\oplus}$. Recall that $\mathscr{F}(U) = (\mathscr{A} \otimes_{\Lambda^{\oplus}} \mathrm{CF}(\mathbb{L}, U), (-1)^{\deg(\cdot)} m_1^{b,0}(\cdot))$. For a morphism $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{F}(\mathbb{L}), \mathscr{F}(U))$, we define $\Psi(\phi)$ by

$$\Psi(\phi) := \phi(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}})|_{b=0} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \phi(\mathbf{1}_{L_i})|_{b=0} \in \mathrm{CF}(\mathbb{L}, U) = \oplus_{i=1}^{k} \mathrm{CF}(L_i, U)$$

which is a chain map by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.9.

Now, for $p \in L_i \cap U (\subset \mathbb{L} \cap U)$,

$$\left(\Psi \circ \mathscr{F}_1^{\mathbb{L}}\right)(p) = \left(\mathscr{F}_1^{\mathbb{L}}(p)(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}})\right)|_{b=0} = \left(m_2^{b,0,0}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}}, p)\right)|_{b=0} = m_2^{0,0,0}(\mathbf{1}_{L_i}, p) = p$$

which implies that Ψ is a right inverse of $\mathscr{F}_1^{\mathbb{L}}$. □

7. Finite group symmetry and graded mirror functors

In this section we discuss the noncommutative mirror construction for a Kähler manifold with a finite group symmetry. This is in parallel with the commutative construction in Section 5 of [CHLb]. One advantage in the noncommutative setup here is that the group needs not to be Abelian. Proposition 7.13 describes the mirror in terms of the mirror quotient geometry. Proposition 7.11 gives the mirror B-side category. If in addition the manifold is Calabi-Yau, then the mirror is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded and the functor respects the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading (Proposition 7.31).

7.1. **Kähler manifolds with finite group symmetry.** Let $(\widetilde{X}, \omega)$ be a Kähler manifold where ω denotes a Kähler form. Suppose we have a finite group G acting on $\widetilde{X}$ such that for each $g \in G$, $g^*\omega = \omega$. Then $X = \widetilde{X}/G$ is a Kähler orbifold. At this point we *do not assume that G is Abelian.*

Let $\mathbb{L} = \bigcup_i L_i \subset X^0$ be a compact spin oriented weakly unobstructed immersed Lagrangian with clean self-intersections, where $X^0 := (X - \{G - \text{fixed points}\})/G$, and assume that each L_i lifts to a smooth compact unobstructed Lagrangian submanifold $\tilde{L}_i^1 \subset \widetilde{X}$ (and we fix this choice of lifting). We have $|G|$ choices of a lifting, which are denoted as $\mathbb{L}^g := g \cdot \mathbb{L}^1$. The union $\bigcup_g \mathbb{L}^g$ is denoted as $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}$. By assumption $\mathbb{L}^g$ intersects with $\mathbb{L}^h$ cleanly for $g \neq h$. We assume that Fukaya A_∞-category of $\widetilde{X}$ whose objects are Lagrangian submanifolds in X^0 including the objects $\mathbb{L}$, is defined and strictly G-equivariant (i.e. we have $m_k(gw_1, \cdots, gw_k) = gm_k(w_1, \cdots, w_k)$ for any $g \in G$) and unital. Fukaya category of X is defined as G-invariant part of the Fukaya category of $\widetilde{X}$ for simplicity.

We carry out the construction described in Section 6 for $\mathbb{L} \subset X$ downstairs and obtain $(\mathscr{A}, W)$ where $\mathscr{A} = \Lambda Q/R$ and W is a central element of $\mathscr{A}$. We have a functor $\mathscr{F} : \mathrm{Fuk}(X) \to \mathrm{MF}(\mathscr{A}, W)$. Similarly we can carry out the mirror construction upstairs for $\tilde{\mathbb{L}} \subset \widetilde{X}$. The aim is to build up an explicit relation between the mirror and the functor of $\widetilde{X}$ upstairs and that of X downstairs.

7.1.1. *Action by character group.* We show that there exists a canonical action of $\hat{G}$ on $\mathscr{A}$, where $\hat{G} = \mathrm{Hom}(G, U(1))$ denotes the character group of G. Moreover we have $W \in \mathscr{A}^{\hat{G}}$, the $\hat{G}$-invariant subalgebra. When G is Abelian, the $\hat{G}$ quotient $(\mathscr{A}^G, W)$ is essentially the mirror of $\widetilde{X}$. (See Section 7.1.4 for the precise mirror category.) On the other hand taking the character group of G will lose information when G is non-Abelian. We will see that the mirror of $\widetilde{X}$ in general is given by a formal dual group quotient (Definition 7.8) of the mirror of X.

First we make the following simple but important observation.

Lemma 7.1. *There is a canonical function* $\mathfrak{f}$ *from the set of immersed generators of* $\mathbb{L}$ *to* G*. The map has the property that it maps a loop in* Q *to* $1 \in G$*.*

Proof. Fix the smooth lift $\mathbb{L}^1 \subset \widetilde{X}$ of $\mathbb{L} \subset X$. Then an immersed generator x corresponds to an intersection belonging to $\mathbb{L}^1 \cap \mathbb{L}^g$ for some $g \in G$ (as an element $\mathrm{CF}^\bullet(\mathbb{L}^1, \mathbb{L}^g)$). This gives the required map $\mathfrak{f} : x \mapsto g$. Note that the choice of lifting does not matter: if we take other lift $\mathbb{L}^h$, then x corresponds to $h \cdot (\mathbb{L}^1 \cap \mathbb{L}^g) = \mathbb{L}^h \cap \mathbb{L}^{hg}$, and x is defined to be mapped to $h^{-1} \cdot (hg) = g$.

From the definition it is clear that a loop in Q is mapped to $1 \in G$. □

Definition 7.2. *We define an action of $\hat{G}$ on ΛQ as follows. Let $\chi \in \hat{G}$, and $x_1 \dots x_p \in \Lambda Q$, where $g_i = \mathfrak{f}(x_i)$, which can be regarded as a character on $\hat{G}$. Then*

$$\chi \cdot x_1 \dots x_p := \chi(g_1) \dots \chi(g_p) x_1 \dots x_p \tag{7.1}$$

which extends linearly to give an action of $\hat{G}$ on ΛQ.

Proposition 7.3. *$\hat{G}$ acts on each weakly unobstructed relation by an overall $\mathbb{C}^\times$-scaling. Thus the two-sided ideal R generated by the weakly unobstructed relations remains invariant under $\hat{G}$, and hence the action of $\hat{G}$ descends to $\mathscr{A} = \Lambda Q / R$. Moreover $W \in \Lambda Q / R$ is invariant under $\hat{G}$.*

Proof. Consider each term $P_{\bar{X}} \cdot \bar{X}$ of $m_0^{(\mathbb{L},b)}$ where $\bar{X}$ is an even-degree morphism. [2] Fix the smooth lift $\mathbb{L}^1$ of $\mathbb{L}$. Then $\bar{X}$ corresponds to certain morphism from $\mathbb{L}^1$ to $\mathbb{L}^g$ for some $g \in G$. Then $\chi \cdot P_{\bar{X}} = \chi(g) P_{\bar{X}}$ for all $\chi \in \hat{G}$. Thus $\hat{G}$ acts on each coefficient of m_0^b by multiplication by a constant. Moreover when $\bar{X}$ is the unit of a branch L_i for some i, then it corresponds to $g = 1$ and hence the constant is $\chi(g) = 1$. Thus W is invariant under $\hat{G}$. □

7.1.2. *Formal dual group quotient of an LG model.* The mirror of the geometry $\widetilde{X}$ upstairs should be given by a quotient of the mirror $(\mathscr{A}, W)$ of X downstairs by the 'dual group' of G. When G is Abelian, its dual is simply its character group. However its dual is not known when G is non-Abelian. Nevertheless we can formally define the dual group quotient in the language of quiver algebra.

The essential information is a function from the set of arrows of Q to G. When G is Abelian, the function is equivalent to an action of the character group $\hat{G}$ on the path algebra of Q by scaling the generators. In the context of mirror symmetry, the function is given by Lemma 7.1 from the mirror A-side.

Definition 7.4 (Formal dual group action)**.** *Let Q be a quiver and $\mathscr{A} = \Lambda Q / \langle p_1, \dots, p_K \rangle$ be a path algebra with relations. A formal dual group action of G on $\mathscr{A}$ is a function $\mathfrak{f}$ from the set of arrows of Q to G (which induces a map from the set of paths to G) such that for each l, each term of the relation p_l is mapped to the same $g_l \in G$.*

An element $W \in \mathscr{A}$ is said to be invariant under the formal dual group action if it has a representative in ΛQ whose every term is mapped to $1 \in G$.

Proposition 7.5. *A formal dual group action on $\mathscr{A}$ induces an action of the character group $\hat{G}$ on $\mathscr{A}$. Moreover W is invariant under $\hat{G}$ if it is invariant under the formal dual group action.*

Proof. A character χ acts on each generator x of $\mathscr{A}$ by $\chi \cdot x = \chi(\mathfrak{f}(x)) x$. By definition for the relations P_i, $\chi \cdot P_i = c P_i$ for some $c \in U(1)$, and hence the action on $\mathscr{A}$ is well-defined. The last statement is also clear from definition. □

Now we define the quiver $Q\#G$ which covers Q and is like a product of Q with G. It plays an important role in defining the dual group quotient.

[2] In Section 5 and 8, $\bar{X}$ is used to denote the even-degree complementary generator to an odd-degree immersed generator X. Here, it refers to an arbitrary even-degree generator, but in general, such complementary generators can have either even or odd degrees depending on the dimension of the Lagrangian.

Definition 7.6. *Given a quiver Q and a formal dual group action $\mathfrak{f}$ of G on its path algebra, define the quiver $Q\#G$ as follows. The number of vertices in $Q\#G$ is $|G|$ times the number of vertices in Q. Each vertex is labeled as v^g, where v is a vertex in Q and $g \in G$. The number of arrows in $Q\#G$ from u^{g_1} and v^{g_2} is defined as the number of arrows x in Q from u to v with $\mathfrak{f}(x) = g_1^{-1}g_2$. Each corresponding arrow in $Q\#G$ is labeled by x^{g_1} (whose source vertex is u^{g_1}).*

There is a canonical correspondence between paths in $Q\#G$ and that in Q.

Definition 7.7. *Fix $g \in G$. For a path $x_k \dots x_1$ in Q, define a path in $Q\#G$ to be $x_k^{g_k} \dots x_2^{g_2} x_1^{g_1}$, where $g_1 = g$ and $\mathfrak{f}(x_i) = g_i^{-1} g_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ By extending linearly it gives a map $\Lambda Q \to \Lambda(Q\#G)$.*

Moreover we have a canonical map $\Lambda(Q\#G) \to \Lambda Q$ by forgetting the group elements, namely

$$x_k^{g_k} \dots x_2^{g_2} x_1^{g_1} \mapsto x_k \dots x_1.$$

Now we define the formal dual G-quotient of $(\mathscr{A}, W)$.

Definition 7.8. *Let Q be a quiver and $\mathscr{A} = \Lambda Q / \langle P_1, \dots, P_K \rangle$ be a path algebra with relations. Suppose there is a formal dual group action $\mathfrak{f}$ on $\mathscr{A}$ by G. Then we define the quotient to be the algebra $\hat{\mathscr{A}} = \Lambda(Q\#G) \big/ \langle P_i^g : g \in G, i = 1, \dots, K \rangle$, where P_i^g are the lifts of P_i given in Definition 7.7.*

If further we have $W \in \mathscr{A}$ which is invariant under the action, define $\hat{W} := \sum_{g \in G} W^g$ where W_i^g are the images of W_i under the maps given in Definition 7.7.

Proposition 7.9. *In the above definition, if W is a central element of $\mathscr{A}$, then $\hat{W}$ is a central element of $\hat{\mathscr{A}}$.*

Proof. We need to prove that $x^g \cdot \sum_{g'} W^{g'} = \left(\sum_{g''} W^{g''} \right) \cdot x^g$ for all x^g upstairs. For the right hand side, only the term with $\mathfrak{f}(x) = g^{-1}g''$ survives. Since W is invariant, each term in $W^{g'}$ (which is a path in $Q\#G$) has its final vertex to be $v^{g'}$ for some v. Thus for the left hand side, only the term with $g' = g$ survives. Thus the left hand side is $x^g \cdot W^g$ and the right hand side is $W^{g''} \cdot x^g$ where $\mathfrak{f}(x) = g^{-1}g''$. The initial vertex is u^g and the final vertex is $v^{g''}$ for both sides, for some u and v.

Downstairs we have $x \cdot W = W \cdot x$. Lifting this by the group element g, we obtain $x^g \cdot W^g = W^{g''} \cdot x^g$ as desired. □

Now we give a description of $\mathrm{MF}(\hat{\mathscr{A}}, \hat{W})$ from the geometry $(\mathscr{A}, W)$ downstairs as follows.

Definition 7.10. *$\mathscr{A}\#G$ is defined to be the category whose set of objects is given by $Q_0 \times G$ (which may not be Abelian), where Q_0 denotes the vertex set of Q. We denote the objects by $A_{(v,g)}$. The morphism space $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}\#G}(A_{(v_1,g_1)}, A_{(v_2,g_2)})$ is defined as the subspace of $\mathscr{A}$ spanned by paths $x_p \dots x_1$ in Q from v_2 to v_1 with*

$$g_2 \mathfrak{f}(x_1) \dots \mathfrak{f}(x_p) g_1^{-1} = 1. \tag{7.2}$$

The category $\mathrm{Tw}(\mathscr{A}\#G, W)$ consists of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded twisted complexes, which are objects of the form

$$\left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} A_{(v(i),g(i))}[\sigma_i], \delta \right)$$

where $\sigma_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, δ is an odd-degree endomorphism of $\bigoplus_{i \in I} A_{(v(i),g(i))}[\sigma_i]$ satisfying $\delta^2 = W \cdot \mathrm{Id}$. The morphism space from $\left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} A_{(v(i),g(i))}[\sigma_i], \delta_1 \right)$ to $\left(\bigoplus_{k \in K} A_{(u(k),f(k))}[\tau_k], \delta_2 \right)$ is defined as

$$\bigoplus_{i,k} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}\#G}(A_{(v(i),g(i))}, A_{(u(k),f(k))})[\tau_k - \sigma_i]$$

equipped with a differential d defined by $du = \delta_2 \circ u - (-1)^{\deg u} u \circ \delta_1$.

Proposition 7.11. $\mathrm{MF}(\hat{\mathscr{A}}, \hat{W})$ *is equivalent to* $\mathrm{Tw}(\mathscr{A}\#G, W)$.

Proof. An object in $\mathrm{MF}(\hat{\mathscr{A}}, \hat{W})$ is an $\hat{\mathscr{A}}$-module of the form $\bigoplus_{i\in I} P_{v(i)^{g(i)}}[\sigma_i]$ together with an odd endomorphism $\hat{\delta}$ with $\hat{\delta}^2 = \hat{W}$, where $\sigma_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ and P_{v^g} is the projective $\hat{\mathscr{A}}$-module $\hat{\mathscr{A}} \cdot v^g$ which is spanned by all paths in $Q\#G$ starting from v^g (recall that vertices of $Q\#G$ are labeled by v^g). The morphism space from $\left(\bigoplus_{i\in I} P_{v(i)^{g(i)}}[\sigma_i], \delta_1\right)$ to $\left(\bigoplus_{k\in K} P_{u(k)^{f(k)}}[\tau_k], \delta_2\right)$ is

$$\bigoplus_{i,k} \mathrm{Hom}(P_{v(i)^{g(i)}}, P_{u(k)^{f(k)}})[\tau_k - \sigma_i]$$

where $\mathrm{Hom}(P_{v^g}, P_{u^f})$ is spanned by all paths starting from u^f to v^g.

We define the twisted complex in $\mathrm{Tw}(\mathscr{A}\#G)$ corresponding to $\bigoplus_{i\in I} P_{v(i)^{g(i)}}[\sigma_i]$ to be $\bigoplus_{i\in I} A_{(v(i),g(i))}[\sigma_i]$. We need to identify $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}\#G}(A_{(v,g)}, A_{(u,f)})$ with $\mathrm{Hom}(P_{v^g}, P_{u^f})$. Given a path $x_p \dots x_1$ in Q from u to v and the group element $f \in G$, by Definition 7.7 we have a path $x_p^{g_p} \dots x_1^{g_1}$ in $Q\#G$ from u^f to $v^{g_{p+1}}$, where $g_1 = f$, $\mathfrak{f}(x_i) = g_i^{-1} g_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$. Thus $g_{p+1} = g$ if and only if $f\mathfrak{f}(x_1)\dots\mathfrak{f}(x_p)g^{-1} = 1$.

From the above identification between the morphism spaces, the endomorphism $\hat{\delta}$ upstairs corresponds to an endomorphism δ of $\bigoplus_{i\in I} A_{(v(i),g(i))}[\sigma_i]$. From the identification between paths upstairs and downstairs by Definition 7.7, it is clear that $\hat{\delta}^2 = \hat{W}$ if and only if $\delta^2 = W$. Thus the two categories are equivalent. □

7.1.3. *The mirror and the functor upstairs.* Now we carry out the mirror construction upstairs for $\tilde{\mathbb{L}} \subset \widetilde{X}$ and identify it with the formal dual group quotient $(\hat{A}, \hat{W})$ defined in the previous subsection.

Upstairs we have a quiver $Q\#G$ whose vertices correspond to the components of $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}$ and whose arrows correspond to the odd-degree immersed generators of $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}$. It is clear from definition that

Proposition 7.12. *The endomorphism quiver $\hat{Q}$ of $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}$ equals to $Q\#G$, where Q is the endomorphism quiver of $\mathbb{L}$ and the function $\mathfrak{f}$ in Definition 7.6 is taken to be the one given in Lemma 7.1.*

Then we consider the weakly unobstructed relations and worldsheet superpotential. By using the correspondence between holomorphic polygons bounded by $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}$ upstairs and those bounded by $\mathbb{L}$ downstairs, one easily obtain the following propositions.

Proposition 7.13. *Let $P_{\bar{X}} \in \Lambda Q$ be the weakly unobstructed relations in the definition of $\mathscr{A}$, where $\bar{X}$ runs over the even-degree generators of $\mathbb{L}$ other than the fundamental classes of L_i. Then the weakly unobstructed relations for $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}$ are given by $P^g_{\bar{X}}$ which are the lifting of $P_{\bar{X}} \in \Lambda\hat{Q}$ by $g \in G$ given in Definition 7.7. Thus the quiver algebra with relations upstairs is given by $\hat{\mathscr{A}} = \Lambda\hat{Q}/\langle P^g_{\bar{X}}\rangle$.*

Let $W \in \mathscr{A}$ be the worldsheet superpotential for $\mathbb{L} \subset X$. Then the worldsheet superpotential upstairs for $\tilde{\mathbb{L}} \subset \widetilde{X}$ is given by $\hat{W} = \sum_g W^g \in \hat{\mathscr{A}}$.

Proof. The weakly unobstructed relations for $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}$ are given by counting holomorphic polygons with output $\bar{X}^g$. The moduli space of stable holomorphic polygons in $\widetilde{X}/G$ with inputs $(X_1, \dots, X_k)$ and the output $\bar{X}$ is isomorphic to the moduli space of stable discs in $\widetilde{X}$ with the corresponding inputs $(X_1^{g_1}, \dots, X_k^{g_k})$ and the output $\bar{X}^g$, where $g_1 = g$ and $g_{x_i} = g_i^{-1} g_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$. Thus the counting with output $\bar{X}^g$ equals to $P^g_{\bar{X}}$.

Similarly by considering holomorphic discs with output $\mathbf{1}^g_i$ (i.e. those passing through Poincaré dual of $\mathbf{1}^g_i$) instead of $\bar{X}^g$, we obtain that the superpotential for $\widetilde{X}$ is $\sum_g W^g$. □

In conclusion, we have a mirror functor $\mathrm{Fuk}(\widetilde{X}) \to \mathrm{MF}(\hat{\mathscr{A}}, \hat{W}) = \mathrm{Tw}(\mathscr{A}\#G, W)$ upstairs.

7.1.4. *Abelian case: $\hat{G}$-equivariant matrix factorizations.*

Proposition 7.14. *Suppose G is Abelian. The action of $\hat{G}$ on the path algebra of Q by a $U(1)$-scaling on each generator x is equivalent to a formal dual group action of G.*

Proof. Given the action of $\hat{G}$, for each generator $x \in \mathscr{A}$ we have $\chi \cdot x = \mathfrak{f}(\chi)x$ where $\mathfrak{f}(\chi) \in U(1)$ for every $\chi \in \hat{G}$. This defines a character of $\hat{G}$. Since G is Abelian, $\hat{\hat{G}} = G$ and hence it defines an element $g_x \in G$. Conversely given a function $\mathfrak{f}$ from the arrow set of Q to G, we have the action of χ by $\chi \cdot x = \chi(\mathfrak{f}(x)) \cdot x$. □

Definition 7.15. *Suppose G is Abelian. The category of $\hat{G}$-equivariant matrix factorizations is defined as* $\mathrm{MF}_{\hat{G}}(\mathscr{A}, W) := \mathrm{Tw}(\mathscr{A}\#G, W)$.

Intuitively speaking, the objects in $\mathrm{MF}_{\hat{G}}(\mathscr{A}, W)$ are endomorphisms δ on (complexes of) orbi-bundles over the quotient geometry described by the invariant subalgebra $\mathscr{A}^{\hat{G}}$ with $\delta^2 = W$.

Proposition 7.16. *Suppose G is Abelian. The morphism space* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}\#G}(A_{(v_1,h_1)}, A_{(v_2,h_2)})$ *is given by the invariant subspace of $v_2 \cdot \mathscr{A} \cdot v_1$ under the (h_1^{-1}, h_2)-twisted action of $\hat{G}$. The twisted action of $\chi \in \hat{G}$ on a generator $x_1 \dots x_p$ is defined as*

$$\chi \cdot_{(h_1^{-1},h_2)} (x_1 \dots x_p) := \chi(h_1^{-1} h_2 \mathfrak{f}(x_1) \dots \mathfrak{f}(x_p)) x_1 \dots x_p.$$

Proof. Since G is Abelian, Condition 7.2 is equivalent to $\chi(h_1^{-1} h_2 \mathfrak{f}(x_1) \dots \mathfrak{f}(x_p)) = 1$ for all χ.

Thus $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}\#G}(A_{(v_1,g_1)}, A_{(v_2,g_2)})$ admits the above alternative description. □

In the case when the quiver Q has only one vertex and $G = \mathbb{Z}_d$, the definition is the same as that given in [CT13, AAE+13]. By construction we have a functor from $\mathrm{Fuk}(\widetilde{X})$ to $\mathrm{MF}_{\hat{G}}(\mathscr{A}, W)$.

7.2. **Calabi-Yau manifolds with finite-group symmetry.** Let $(\widetilde{X}, \omega, \Omega)$ be a Calabi-Yau manifold, where ω denotes a Kähler form and Ω denotes a holomorphic volume form[3]. Suppose we have a finite group G acting on $\widetilde{X}$ such that for each $g \in G$, $g^*\omega = \omega$ and $g^*\Omega = c_g\Omega$ for some $c_g \in U(1)$. Then $X = \widetilde{X}/G$ is a Kähler orbifold, while the Calabi-Yau form Ω does not necessarily descend to $\widetilde{X}/G$. Let m be the smallest integer such that $c_g^m = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

Let $\mathbb{L} = \bigcup_i L_i \subset X^0$ be a compact spin oriented weakly unobstructed immersed Lagrangian with clean self-intersections, where $X^0 := (X - \{G - \text{fixed points}\})/G$, and assume that each L_i lifts to a smooth compact unobstructed Lagrangian submanifold $\tilde{L}_i^1 \subset \widetilde{X}$ (and we fix this choice of lifting). We have $|G|$ choices of a lifting, which are denoted as $\mathbb{L}^g := g \cdot \mathbb{L}^1$. The union $\bigcup_g \mathbb{L}^g$ is denoted as $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}$. By assumption $\mathbb{L}^g$ intersects with $\mathbb{L}^h$ cleanly for $g \neq h$. We also assume that each $\tilde{L}_i^1$ has zero Maslov class, such that it can be equipped with a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading with respect to Ω.

Downstairs we have constructed a functor $\mathscr{F}$ from the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Fukaya category of X to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded category of (noncommutative) matrix factorizations of the superpotential $W \in \mathscr{A} = \Lambda Q/R$ associated to $\mathbb{L}$ (Note that by definition the objects in $\mathrm{Fuk}(X)$ lie in X^0). Upstairs we have defined a functor $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$ from the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Fukaya category of $\widetilde{X}$ to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded category of (noncommutative) $\hat{G}$-equivariant matrix factorizations of $(\mathscr{A}^{\hat{G}}, W)$, where $\mathscr{A}^{\hat{G}}$ denotes the $\hat{G}$-invariant subalgebra of $\mathscr{A}$.

In this section, we will equip both sides with $\frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}$-gradings for X and enhance $\mathscr{F}$ to a $\frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}$-graded functor (Proposition 7.23). Moreover, by studying the relation between the fractional grading of X and the integer grading of $\widetilde{X}$, we will define a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading on the category of equivariant matrix factorizations of $(\mathscr{A}^{\hat{G}}, W)$ (Definition 7.27) and enhance $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$ to a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded functor (Proposition 7.31).

[3]We do not need the metric condition $\omega^n = c\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}$ for a constant $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

$\mathbb{Z}$-graded matrix factorizations was introduced by Orlov [Orl09], which can be regarded as matrix factorizations on $\mathbb{C}^d/\mathbb{Z}_d$. The formulation we introduced in Section 7.2.2 is similar to that in Calderaru-Tu [CT13] when $G = \mathbb{Z}_d$ and the quiver Q consists of only one vertex. Also fractional grading in such a case was explained by Abouzaid et al. [AAE+13] for the mirror symmetry of cyclic covers of a punctured sphere.

7.2.1. *Fractional grading downstairs.* The fractional grading on Fuk(X) is defined as follows. Consider $\Omega^{\otimes m}$ on $\widetilde{X}$ which is invariant under G, namely $g^*\Omega^{\otimes m} = \Omega^{\otimes m}$.

Definition 7.17. *A $\frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}$-graded immersed Lagrangian in X^0 is an immersed Lagrangian L equipped with a phase function $\theta_L : \tilde{L} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Omega^{\otimes m}(T_p\tilde{L}) = \mathbf{e}^{\pi\mathbf{i}\theta_L(p)}$ (where $\tilde{L}$ denotes the normalization of L).*

L is called to be a special Lagrangian if it can be equipped with a constant phase function.

Definition 7.18. *Let S be a clean intersection between two $\frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lagrangians submanifold L_1 and L_2. The degree of $\mathbf{1}_S$, the fundamental class of S as a morphism from L_1 to L_2, is defined as*

$$\deg^{1/m}(\mathbf{1}_S) := \frac{1}{m}(\theta_{L_2}(p) - \theta_{L_1}(p) + \angle^m(\widehat{L_2L_1})|_p) \in \frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z} \tag{7.3}$$

where $p \in S$ is any chosen point, $\pi\angle^m(\widehat{L_2L_1})|_p$ is the phase angle of the positive-definite path $\widehat{L_2L_1}$ from T_pL_2 to T_pL_1 measured by $\Omega^{\otimes m}(p)$.

Note that the definition is independent of the choice of p since all quantities involved are continuous in p while the whole expression is a rational number.

Proposition 7.19. *Suppose L is a $\frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}$-graded immersed special Lagrangian in X^0. Then the degree of $\mathbf{1}_S$ as a morphism from one branch L_1 to another branch L_2 at the clean self-intersection S is given by $\angle(\widehat{L_2L_1})$, where $\pi\angle(\widehat{L_2L_1})$ is the phase angle of the positive-definite path $\widehat{L_2L_1}$ from L_2 to L_1 at any point in S measured by Ω*[4].

Proof. Since L is special, at each self-intersection point, the $\frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}$-gradings of the two branches are equal. Then by Equation 7.3, $\deg^{1/m}(\mathbf{1}_S) = \frac{1}{m}(\theta_{L_2} - \theta_{L_1} + \theta^m(\widehat{L_2L_1})) = \frac{1}{m}\angle^m(\widehat{L_2L_1}) = \angle(\widehat{L_2L_1})$.

□

Now let Fuk$^{\frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}}(X)$ be the full subcategory of Fuk(X) whose objects are $\frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}$-graded. Then the morphism spaces are $\frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}$-graded.

Proposition 7.20. *The A_∞-multiplications m_k has degree $2-k$ under the $\frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}$ grading.*

This can be proved from [Sei08] as in [Sei11].

Let's go back to the immersed Lagrangians $\mathbb{L} = \bigcup_i L_i \subset X^0$ that we begin with. Since it is assumed that the lifting $\mathbb{L}^1 \subset \widetilde{X}$ is equipped with a grading θ with respect to Ω, $\mathbb{L}$ can also be equipped with a grading $m\theta$ with respect to $\Omega^{\otimes m}$ (and every lifting $\mathbb{L}^g$ is equipped with the same grading). Thus $\mathbb{L}$ is $\frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}$-graded.

Recall that we consider formal deformations $b = \sum_i x_i X_i$ of $\mathbb{L}$ where X_i are odd-degree generators (under the canonical $\mathbb{Z}_2$ grading) and $x_i \in \Lambda Q$.

Definition 7.21. *Define a grading on the path algebra ΛQ generated by x_i by* $\deg x_i := 1 - \deg^{1/m} X_i$.

By the above definition $b = \sum_i x_i X_i$ has degree one. Note that $\deg x_i > 0$ if $\deg X_i > 1$, in which case a homogeneous polynomial must have finitely many terms.

[4]Note that $\angle(\widehat{L_2L_1})$ is well-defined since the phase angles measured by Ω and $g^*\Omega = \mathbf{e}^{-\pi\mathbf{i}\alpha_g}\Omega$ are the same for any g.

Proposition 7.22. *Under the above grading on $x_i \in \frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}$, the weakly unobstructed relations in R are homogeneous. Hence the grading descends to $\mathscr{A} = \Lambda Q/R$. Moreover W has degree* 2.

Proof. The weakly unobstructed relations are coefficients of generators other than 1_{L_i} in m_0^b, and in particular they are homogeneous. Since $b = \sum_i x_i X_i$ has degree one, m_0^b has degree 2. Then W_i has degree 2 as $\mathbf{1}_{L_i}$ has degree zero, and hence $W = \sum_i W_i$ has degree 2. □

On the other side, we consider the category $\mathrm{MF}^{\frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}}(\mathscr{A}, W)$ of $\frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}$-graded matrix factorizations of W, which consists of $\frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathscr{A}$-modules M together with a degree-one differential δ satisfying $\delta^2 = W \cdot \mathrm{Id}$.

Proposition 7.23. *$\mathscr{F}$ defines a functor* $\mathrm{Fuk}^{\frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}}(X) \to \mathrm{MF}^{\frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}}(\mathscr{A}, W)$.

Proof. Since b has degree 1, $m_k^{(\mathbb{L},b),L_1,\dots,L_k}$ has degree $2-k$ for every k. In particular the matrix factorization $\delta = m_1^{(\mathbb{L},b),L}$ corresponding to L has degree 1, and the functor on the morphism level given by $m_2^{(\mathbb{L},b),L_1,L_2}$ which has degree zero preserves grading. The higher parts also have the correct degrees. □

7.2.2. *Category of graded matrix factorizations upstairs.* In this subsection we work purely on a graded B-side setting. Namely let W be a central element of a quiver algebra with relations $\mathscr{A} = \Lambda Q/R$, and a formal dual group action of G on $\mathscr{A}$ (denoted by $x \mapsto g_x$) such that W is invariant (see Definition 7.4). Recall that we have the formal dual group quotient $(\hat{\mathscr{A}}, \hat{W})$ from Section 7.1.3.

We fix the following additional grading information. From the mirror construction this information is provided by Definition 7.21.

Definition 7.24. *A grading on the formal dual G-quotient $(\hat{\mathscr{A}}, \hat{W})$ is a choice of a character $G \to U(1)$ together with $\deg x \in \mathbb{Q}$ for each generator x of ΛQ such that the character maps g_x to $\mathbf{e}^{\pi \mathbf{i} \deg x}$. Moreover W is required to be homogeneous of degree 2 under the grading.*

The character maps each g to $\mathbf{e}^{\pi \mathbf{i} \alpha_g}$ for a unique $\alpha_g \in [0,2)$. In particular we have $\alpha_{g_x} \equiv \deg x \mod 2\mathbb{Z}$ for each generator $x \in \mathscr{A}$.

Proposition 7.25. *An element in* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}\#\hat{G}}(A_{(v,g)}, A_{(u,h)})$ *(as a series) has every term with* $\deg \equiv -(\alpha_h - \alpha_g) \mod 2\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Recall from Definition 7.10 that an element in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}\#\hat{G}}(A_{(v,g)}, A_{(u,h)})$ has every term $x_p \dots x_1$ with $h g_{x_1} \dots g_{x_p} g^{-1} = 1$. Hence $e^{\pi \mathbf{i}(\alpha_h - \alpha_g + \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_{g_i})} = 1$. Thus

$$\alpha_h - \alpha_g + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_{g_i} \equiv \alpha_h - \alpha_g + \deg(x_1 \dots x_p) \equiv 0 \mod 2\mathbb{Z}.$$

□

Definition 7.26. *For a homogenous polynomial $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}\#\hat{G}}(A_{(v,g)}, A_{(u,h)})$, its twisted degree is defined as*

$$\widetilde{\deg} f := \deg f + (\alpha_h - \alpha_g) \in 2\mathbb{Z}.$$

From Proposition 7.11, $\mathrm{MF}(\hat{\mathscr{A}}, \hat{W})$ is identified with $\mathrm{Tw}(\mathscr{A}\#\hat{G})$. Now we define its $\mathbb{Z}$-graded enhancement $\mathrm{MF}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A, W) = \mathrm{Tw}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathscr{A}\#\hat{G})$ [5] The objects and morphism spaces are equipped with $\mathbb{Z}$-grading rather than $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading, and the differential is required to have degree one rather than odd degree.

[5] More precisely $\mathrm{MF}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A, W)$ should be denoted as $\mathrm{MF}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\hat{\mathscr{A}}, \hat{W})$, since it is the graded category of the formal dual group quotient. To match with the notations in existing literature and for simplicity, we use the notation $\mathrm{MF}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A, W)$ instead.

Definition 7.27. *The category* $\mathrm{MF}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathscr{A}, W) = \mathrm{Tw}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathscr{A}\#\hat{G})$ *consists of twisted complexes, which are objects of the form* $\left(\bigoplus_{i\in I} A_{(v(i),g(i))}[\sigma_i], \delta\right)$ *where* δ *is an endomorphism of* $\bigoplus_{i\in I} A_{(v(i),g(i))}[\sigma_i]$ *satisfying* $\delta^2 = W\cdot \mathrm{Id}$. *The graded morphism space is*

$$\mathrm{Hom}\left(\bigoplus_{i\in I} A_{(v(i),g(i))}[\sigma_i], \bigoplus_{k\in K} A_{(u(k),h(k))}[\tau_k]\right) = \bigoplus_{i,k} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}\#\hat{G}}(A_{(v(i),g(i))}, A_{(u(k),h(k))})[\tau_k - \sigma_i]$$

where on the right hand side, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}\#\hat{G}}(A_{(v(i),g(i))}, A_{(u(k),h(k))})$ *is a graded vector space by using the above twisted degree, and* $[\tau_k - \sigma_i]$ *means to shift this grading by* $\tau_k - \sigma_i$. *The differential* δ *above is required to have degree one with respect to the above grading.*

Note that $W\cdot \mathrm{Id}$ has degree two and δ has degree one, and hence the equality $\delta^2 = W\cdot \mathrm{Id}$ matches with the grading.

7.2.3. *Graded functor upstairs.* Now we show that the functor upstairs respects the gradings on $\mathrm{Fuk}(\widetilde{X})$ and $\mathrm{MF}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathscr{A}, W)$. Recall that for each $g\in G$, we have $g^*\Omega = \mathbf{e}^{\pi\mathbf{i}\alpha_g}\Omega$ for some $\alpha_g \in [0,2)$.

We have equipped the lifting $\mathbb{L}^{g=1}$ with the grading θ with respect to Ω. Since $g^*\Omega = \mathbf{e}^{\pi\mathbf{i}\alpha_g}\Omega$, another lifting $\mathbb{L}^g$ can be equipped with the grading $\theta + \alpha_g$.

The following two lemmas relate the gradings upstairs and downstairs.

Lemma 7.28. *Suppose an* Ω*-graded Lagrangian of* $L\subset \widetilde{X}$ *intersects* $\mathbb{L}^g$ *at a point* S *transversely. Then*

$$\deg S = \deg^{1/m} S - \alpha_g$$

where S *is identified as a morphism from* $\mathbb{L}^g$ *to* L *in* $\mathrm{Fuk}(\widetilde{X})$ *on the left hand side, and as a morphism from* $\mathbb{L}$ *to* L *in* $\mathrm{Fuk}(X)$ *on the right hand side.*

Proof. It follows from the equalities

$$\deg^{1/m} S = \frac{1}{m}\left(m\theta_L(S) - m\theta(S) + m\angle(\widehat{LL^g})\right) = \theta_L(S) - \theta(S) + \angle(\widehat{LL^g})$$

and

$$\deg S = \theta_L(S) - (\theta(S) + \alpha_g) + \angle(\widehat{LL^g})$$

where θ_L denotes the Ω-grading of L, θ is the Ω-grading of the lifting $\mathbb{L}^{g=1}$, and $\angle(\widehat{LL^g})$ denotes the phase angle of the positive-definite path $\widehat{LL^g}$ measured by Ω at S. □

Lemma 7.29. *Suppose* $S\subset \widetilde{X}$ *is a clean intersection between* $\mathbb{L}^g$ *and* $\mathbb{L}^h$ *for* $g\neq h\in G$. *Then*

$$\deg(\mathbf{1}_S) = \deg^{1/m}(\mathbf{1}_S) + (\alpha_h - \alpha_g)$$

where $\mathbf{1}_S$ *is regarded as a morphism in* $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{L}^g, \mathbb{L}^h)$ *of* $\mathrm{Fuk}(\widetilde{X})$ *and as an endomorphism in* $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{L},\mathbb{L})$ *of* $\mathrm{Fuk}(X)$ *on the left and right hand sides respectively.*

Proof. Pick a point in S, which corresponds to $p\in\mathbb{L}^g$ and $q\in\mathbb{L}^h$. Then

$$\deg(\mathbf{1}_S) = (\theta(q) + \alpha_h) - (\theta(p) + \alpha_g) + \angle(\widehat{\mathbb{L}^h\mathbb{L}^g})|_{(p,q)}$$

and

$$\deg^{1/m}(\mathbf{1}_S) = \frac{1}{m}(m\theta(q) - m\theta(p) + m\angle(\widehat{\mathbb{L}^h\mathbb{L}^g}))|_{(p,q)} = \theta(q) - \theta(p) + \angle(\widehat{\mathbb{L}^h\mathbb{L}^g}|)_{(p,q)}.$$

Thus the equality follows. □

For the category of matrix factorization, recall from Definition 7.24 that the grading information consists of a character of G and a degree $\deg x \in \mathbb{Q}$ for each generator x of ΛQ. We take the character of G to be the one defined by $g^*\Omega = \mathbf{e}^{\pi \mathbf{i} \alpha_g}\Omega$ for $\alpha_g \in [0,2)$, and we take deg to be the one defined by Definition 7.21. The following lemma verifies the compatibility between the character and the degree.

Lemma 7.30. $\alpha_{g_x} \equiv \deg x \mod 2\mathbb{Z}$ *for each generator* x.

Proof. x corresponds to an immersed generator X of $\mathbb{L}$, and by definition $\deg x = 1 - \deg^{1/m} X$. Lifting to upstairs, X is lifted to a morphism from $\mathbb{L}^1$ to $\mathbb{L}^{g_x}$. By Lemma 7.29, $\deg X = \deg^{1/m} X + \alpha_{g_x}$. Moreover $\deg X$ is odd since we only take odd-degree deformations in our construction. It follows that $\deg x - \alpha_{g_x} = 1 - \deg X$ is even. □

Proposition 7.31. $\mathcal{F}$ *defines a graded functor* $\mathrm{Fuk}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\widetilde{X}) \to \mathrm{MF}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathscr{A}, W)$ *where* $\mathrm{Fuk}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\widetilde{X})$ *is the* $\mathbb{Z}$*-graded Fukaya category of* $\widetilde{X}$.

Proof. Let $U_1, \dots, U_k \subset \widetilde{X}$ be Ω-graded Lagrangians intersecting each other transversely and also intersecting $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}$ transversely. Since downstairs $m_k^{(\mathbb{L},b),U_1,\dots,U_k}$ has degree $2-k$, we have

$$\deg^{1/m} m_k^{(\mathbb{L},b),U_1,\dots,U_k}(\iota, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}) = 2 - k + \deg^{1/m}(\iota) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \deg^{1/m}(a_i).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} m_k^{(\mathbb{L},b),U_1,\dots,U_k}(\iota, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}) &= \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} m_{p+k}^{\mathbb{L},\dots,\mathbb{L},U_1,\dots,U_k}(b, \dots, b, \iota, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}) \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|I|=p} x_{i_p} \dots x_{i_1} m_{p+k}^{\mathbb{L},\dots,\mathbb{L},U_1,\dots,L_k}(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_p}, \iota, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\deg(x_{i_p} \dots x_{i_1}) + 2 - k - p + \sum_{l=1}^{p} \deg^{1/m} X_{i_l} = 2 - k$$

for consecutive morphisms $X_{i_l} \in \mathrm{Hom}(L^{g_{i_{l-1}}}, L^{g_{i_l}})$, $l = 1, \dots, p$, contributing to $m_k^{(\mathbb{L},b),U_1,\dots,U_k}$.

Since $\deg^{1/m} X_{i_l} = \deg X_{i_l} - (\alpha^{g_{i_l}} - \alpha^{g_{i_{l-1}}})$, we have

$$\widetilde{\deg}(x_{i_p} \dots x_{i_1}) := \deg(x_{i_p} \dots x_{i_1}) + (\alpha^{g_{i_0}} - \alpha^{g_{i_p}}) = \sum_{l=1}^{p} (1 - \deg X_{i_l}) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$$

where the last expression is even because each $\deg X_{i_l}$ is odd (we always choose odd-degree deformations). Then for the degree upstairs,

$$\begin{aligned} &\deg(x_{i_p} \dots x_{i_1}) m_{p+k}^{\mathbb{L},\dots,\mathbb{L},U_1,\dots,U_k}(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_p}, \iota, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}) \\ =&\widetilde{\deg}(x_{i_p} \dots x_{i_1}) + 2 - (p+k) + \sum_{l=1}^{p} \deg X_{i_l} + \deg \iota + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \deg a_j \\ =&\deg f + 2 - p - k + \sum_{l=1}^{p} \deg^{1/m} X_{i_l} + \deg \iota + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \deg a_j \\ =&2 - k + \deg \iota + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \deg a_j. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $m_k^{(\tilde{\mathbb{L}},b),U_1,\dots,U_k}$ also has degree $2-k$ upstairs since we use the twisted degree $\widetilde{\deg}$.

For an Ω-graded Lagrangian $U \subset \widetilde{X}$ which intersects $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}$ transversely, the functor $\mathscr{F}$ maps it to $\mathscr{F}(L) = \bigoplus_{g\in G}\bigoplus_{p\in\mathbb{L}^g\cap U} A_g[\deg p]$ together with $m_1^{(\tilde{\mathbb{L}},b),U}$, where $p \in \mathbb{L}^g \cap U$ is identified as a morphism from $\mathbb{L}^g$ to U. We need to show that $m_1^{(\tilde{\mathbb{L}},b),U}$ belongs to $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{F}(U),\mathscr{F}(U))$ in the sense defined in Section 7.2.2, and it has degree one. From the above calculation, the component f of $m_1^{(\tilde{\mathbb{L}},b),U}$ which maps $\bigoplus_{p\in\mathbb{L}^g\cap U} A_g[\deg p]$ to $\bigoplus_{q\in\mathbb{L}^h\cap U} A_h[\deg q]$ has $\deg f + (\alpha^h - \alpha^g) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ and hence $m_1^{(\tilde{\mathbb{L}},b),U}$ belongs to $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{F}(U),\mathscr{F}(U))$. Also the above shows that $m_1^{(\tilde{\mathbb{L}},b),U}$ has degree one.

Similarly, the functor on morphism level $\mathrm{Hom}(U_1,U_2) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{F}(U_1),\mathscr{F}(U_2))$ is defined by $m_2^{(\tilde{\mathbb{L}},b),U_1,U_2}$ and hence has degree 0, and the higher part $\mathscr{F}_k : \mathrm{Hom}(U_1,U_2)\otimes\ldots\otimes\mathrm{Hom}(U_{k-1},U_k) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{F}(U_1),\mathscr{F}(U_k))$ is defined by $m_k^{(\tilde{\mathbb{L}},b),U_1,\ldots,U_k}$ and hence has degree $2-k$. □

8. 4-PUNCTURED SPHERES AND THE PILLOWCASE

In this section we apply our theory to construct the mirror of the elliptic orbifold $E/\mathbb{Z}_2$, where $\mathbb{Z}_2$ acts on $\mathbb{C}$ by $z \mapsto -z$ and the action descends to an elliptic curve E. Topologically the quotient is a sphere, and there are four orbifold points which are locally isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}_2$. Thus the orbifold is denoted as $\mathbb{P}^1_{2,2,2,2}$, which is also known as the pillowcase.

We begin with a set of Lagrangian immersions $\mathbb{L}_0 := \{L_1, L_2\}$ in $\mathbb{P}^1_{2,2,2,2}$ as shown in Figure 5 and apply the construction in Section 6. The following shows the main result.

Theorem 8.1. *The generalized mirror of $E/\mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{P}^1_{2,2,2,2}$ corresponding to $\mathbb{L}_0$ is given by $(\mathscr{A}_0, W_0)$ where*

1. *Q is the directed graph with two vertices v_1, v_2, two arrows $\{y,w\}$ from v_1 to v_2 and two arrows $\{x,z\}$ from v_2 to v_1.*
2. *$\mathscr{A}_0 = \mathscr{A}(Q,\Phi_0)$ is the noncommutative resolution of the conifold $\frac{\Lambda Q}{(\partial\Phi_0)}$, where $\Phi_0 := xyzw - wzyx$.*
3. $$W_0 = \phi(q_{\mathrm{orb}}^{\frac{1}{4}})((xy)^2+(xw)^2+(zy)^2+(zw)^2+(yx)^2+(wx)^2+(yz)^2+(wz)^2) + \psi(q_{\mathrm{orb}}^{\frac{1}{4}})(xyzw+wzyx)$$
   lies in the center of $\mathscr{A}_0$, where ϕ and ψ are given in Theorem 8.7 and $q_{\mathrm{orb}} = \exp\left(-\int_{\mathbb{P}^1_{2,2,2,2}}\omega\right)$ is the Kähler parameter.
4. *$\psi(q_{\mathrm{orb}}^{\frac{1}{4}})\left(\phi(q_{\mathrm{orb}}^{\frac{1}{4}})\right)^{-1}$ equals to the mirror map of $\mathbb{P}^1_{2,2,2,2}$.*
5. *We have $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded, and $\mathbb{Z}$-graded A_∞-functors*
$$\mathscr{F}^{\mathbb{L}_0} : \mathrm{Fuk}(\mathbb{P}^1_{2,2,2,2}) \to \mathrm{MF}(\mathscr{A}_0, W_0), \quad \mathscr{F}^{\mathbb{L}_0} : \mathrm{Fuk}^{\mathbb{Z}}(E) \to \mathrm{MF}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathscr{A}_0, W_0).$$

In the later part of this section, we deform $\mathbb{L}_0$ to $\mathbb{L}_t$, and the generalized mirror is then deformed to $(\mathscr{A}_t, W_t)$. In this way we obtain an interesting class of noncommutative algebras with central elements.

Theorem 8.2. *There is a T^2-family $(\mathbb{L}_t,\lambda)$ of Lagrangians decorated by flat $U(1)$-connections for $(t-1) \in \mathbb{R}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda \in U(1)$ such that*

1. *the corresponding mirror noncommutative algebras $\mathscr{A}_{(\lambda,t)}$ takes the form*
$$\mathscr{A}_{(\lambda,t)} := \frac{\Lambda Q}{\left(\partial\Phi_{(\lambda,t)}\right)}, \Phi = a(\lambda,t)\,xyzw + b(\lambda,t)\,wzyx + \frac{1}{2}c(\lambda,t)\,((wx)^2+(yz)^2) + \frac{1}{2}d(\lambda,t)\,((xy)^2+(zw)^2)$$
   ($\mathscr{A}_{(1,0)}$ is the same as $\mathscr{A}_0$ in Theorem 8.1 (2))

(2) *Coefficients* $(a : b : c : d)$ *defines an embedding* $T^2 \to \mathbb{P}^3$ *onto the complete intersection of two quadrics given as follows. For* $[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4] \in \mathbb{P}^3$ *and* $\sigma = \frac{\psi}{\phi}$,

$$x_1 x_3 = x_2 x_4$$
$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 + \sigma x_1 x_3 = 0.$$

This is isomorphic to the mirror elliptic curve $\check{E}$ *given by Hesse cubic in Theorem 5.1.*

(3) *The family of noncommutative algebra* $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda,t}/(W_{\lambda,t})$ *near* $t = 0, \lambda = 1$ *gives a quantization of the complete intersection given by above two quadratic equations in* $\mathbb{C}^4$ *in the sense of* [EG10].

8.1. **Mirror of the four-punctured sphere.** More general case of punctured Riemann surfaces will be discussed in Section 10. We make it into an independent section to give a nice illustration of our construction and to compactify it as the orbifold $\mathbb{P}^1_{2,2,2,2}$.

Let p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 be four distinct points in $\mathbb{P}^1$. We take p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 to lie in the real locus $\mathbb{RP}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1$. This fixes the complex structure of $X = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}$. The Kähler structure on X is given by the restriction of the Fubini-Study metric ω on $\mathbb{P}^1$ (which can be rescaled). We have the anti-symplectic involution ι defined by $[z_1 : z_2] \mapsto [\overline{z_1} : \overline{z_2}]$ on X.

To carry out our construction, we fix the Lagrangian immersion $\mathbb{L}_0$ as depicted in Figure 5, which consists of two circles L_1 and L_2. Note that $L_1 \cup L_2$ is invariant under the anti-symplectic involution ι. There is a quadrilateral contained in the upper hemisphere $\{[z_1 : z_2] \in \mathbb{P}^1 : \mathrm{Im}(z_1/z_2) > 0\} \subset X$ bounded by $L_1 \cup L_2$, and let $q_d = \exp(-A)$ where A denotes its area. There is also a quadrilateral contained in the lower hemisphere $\{[z_1 : z_2] \in \mathbb{P}^1 : \mathrm{Im}(z_1/z_2) < 0\} \subset X$ bounded by $L_1 \cup L_2$ which has the same area due to the anti-symplectic involution.

Equip L_1 and L_2 with the orientations indicated in Figure 5 and the trivial spin structure. There are four immersed points which are denoted as X, Y, Z, W using counterclockwise convention. We denote the corresponding odd-degree immersed generators also by X, Y, Z, W, and the corresponding even-degree immersed generators by $\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}$. X and Z are morphisms from L_2 to L_1, while Y and W are morphisms from L_1 to L_2. Thus

Lemma 8.3 ((1) of Theorem 8.1)**.** *The corresponding endomorphism quiver* $Q = Q^{\mathbb{L}}$ *in Definition 6.1 is given as in (a) of Figure 4.*



FIGURE 4. Dimer structure on the endomorphis quiver Q

Let $\widehat{\Lambda Q}$ be the completed path algebra of Q which is generated by x, y, z, w, and consider the infinitesimal deformation $b = xX + yY + zZ + wW$. The A_∞-algebra $(\mathrm{CF}^*(L_1 \cup L_2), (m_k)_{k=0}^\infty)$ is deformed by b to $(\mathrm{CF}^*(L_1 \cup L_2), (m_k^b)_{k=0}^\infty)$. A basis of $\mathrm{CF}^*(L_1 \cup L_2)$ is given by

$$\{X, Y, Z, W, \bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}, \mathbf{1}_{L_1}, \mathbf{1}_{L_2}, T_{L_1}, T_{L_2}\},$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{L_i}$ and T_{L_i} are the fundamental class and point class of L_i respectively (for $i = 1, 2$). They can be represented by the maximum and minimum points of a Morse function, and here we take the Morse

function to be the standard height function on the circle L_i. $\mathbf{1}_{L_i}$ for $i = 1,2$ serve as units of the Floer complex of L_i. T_{L_i} for $i = 1,2$ are marked as crosses in Figure 5.

The only holomorphic polygons contributing to m_0^b are the two quadrilaterals contained in the upper and lower hemispheres mentioned above. Hence we have the following.

Proposition 8.4. *For $X = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}$ and an odd-degree immersed deformation $b = xX + yY + zZ + wW$ of the immersed Lagrangian $L_1 \cup L_2$, we have*

(8.1)

$$m_0^b = q_d\left((xyz - zyx)\bar{W} + (yzw - wzy)\bar{X} + (zwx - xwz)\bar{Y} + (wxy - yxw)\bar{Z} + (xyzw\mathbf{1}_{L_1} + yxwz\mathbf{1}_{L_2})\right)$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{L_i}$ denotes the unit corresponding to the Lagrangian L_i for $i = 1,2$ respectively.

According to our construction scheme given in Section 6, we quotient the path algebra $\widehat{\Lambda Q}$ by the weakly unobstructed relations and define W to be the sum of the coefficients of $\mathbf{1}_{L_i}$ in m_0^b. The weakly unobstructed relations are given by the coefficients of even-degree generators other than $\mathbf{1}_{L_i}$ in m_0^b. Note that the weakly unobstructed relations can be obtained as cyclic derivatives of the spacetime superpotential $\Phi = xyzw - wzyx$. Thus we obtain the mirror given below.

Theorem 8.5. *The generalized mirror of $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}$ is the noncommutative Landau-Ginzburg model $(\mathscr{A}_0, W^{punc})$, where $\mathscr{A}_0$ is the algebra stated in Theorem 8.1, and W^{punc} is the central element of $\mathscr{A}_0$ defined by*

$$W^{punc} = xyzw + wzyx.$$

From the relations we have $xyzw = yzwx$, $wzyx = xwzy$ and so on. The quiver Q in this case can be embedded into a torus in the way depicted by (b) of Figure 4. It gives a dimer which will be discussed in Section 10 in detail.

The algebra $\mathscr{A}_0$ is a noncommutative crepant resolution of the conifold Y [AK06, Section 5.1]. Namely, the derived category of left $\mathscr{A}_0$-modules is equivalent to the derived category of coherent sheaves over the commutative crepant resolution of the conifold. Below we provide a brief review and establish explicit relations between the coordinates of $\mathscr{A}_0$ and Y.

The conifold is the affine variety

$$Y = \{(Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_4) \in \mathbb{C}^4 : Z_1 Z_3 = Z_2 Z_4\}$$

which has an isolated singularity at the origin. It admits a crepant resolution by the total space of the vector bundle $\hat{Y} = \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$, which can be written as the GIT quotient $(\mathbb{C}^4 - V)/\mathbb{C}^\times$, where (x, y, z, w) are the standard coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^4$, $V = \{x = z = 0\}$, and $\mathbb{C}^\times$ acts by $\lambda \cdot (x, y, z, w) = (\lambda x, \lambda^{-1} y, \lambda z, \lambda^{-1} w)$. [6] The resolution map $\hat{Y} \to Y$ is given by $Z_1 = xy, Z_2 = yz, Z_3 = zw, Z_4 = wx$.

The variables x, z have degree 1 and can be regarded as generating sections of the line bundle $\mathscr{O}(1)$ over $\hat{Y}$. Similarly y, w have degree -1 and can be regarded as generating sections of the line bundle $\mathscr{O}(-1)$ over $\hat{Y}$. The trivial line bundle $\mathscr{O}$ has generating sections $Z_1 = xy, Z_2 = yz, Z_3 = zw, Z_4 = wx$.

$\mathscr{T} = \mathscr{O} \oplus \mathscr{O}(1)$ forms an exceptional collection of the derived category of coherent sheaves over $\hat{Y}$. The morphism spaces of $\mathscr{T}$ are represented by the quiver Q. Moreover, since multiplications of the sections x, y, z, w are commutative, it follows that the relations between morphisms are exactly given by the cyclic derivatives of $\Phi = xyzw - wzyx$.

As a result, the derived category of left $\mathscr{A}_0$-modules is equivalent to the derived category $D^b(\hat{Y})$. Given an $\mathscr{A}_0$-module M, we obtain the complex $\mathscr{T} \otimes_{\mathscr{A}_0} M$ which is the corresponding object in $D^b(\hat{Y})$. The reverse functor is given by $Hom(\mathscr{T}, -)$.

[6] Here we use the same notations for the coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^4$ and the generators of the quiver Q, since there is a natural correspondence between them, by identifying them as sections of $\mathscr{O}(1)$ and $\mathscr{O}(-1)$ over $\hat{Y}$.



FIGURE 5. The universal cover of $\mathbb{P}^1_{2,2,2,2}$. The bold lines show the lifts of the equator of $\mathbb{P}^1_{2,2,2,2}$, and the dotted lines show the lifts of the Lagrangians L_1 and L_2.

The superpotential $W \in \mathscr{A}_0$ in Theorem 8.5 can be lifted to a function over $\hat{Y}$, which is given as $W = 2Z_1Z_3 = 2Z_2Z_4$.

In conclusion, the constructed mirror of the punctured sphere X is equivalent to $(\hat{Y}, Z_1Z_3)$, which agrees with the one used in [AAE+13].

8.2. **Mirror of the pillowcase.** Now we construct the mirror of the elliptic orbifold $\mathbb{P}^1_{2,2,2,2}$, which is obtained by compactifying the punctured sphere $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}$ by four $\mathbb{Z}_2$-orbifold points. We also denote these four orbifold points by p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4. Again we have the anti-symplectic involution ι on $\mathbb{P}^1_{2,2,2,2}$.

The elliptic orbifold $\mathbb{P}^1_{2,2,2,2}$ is the quotient of an elliptic curve $E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ by $\mathbb{Z}_2$, where $\Lambda \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{C}$ and $[1] \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ acts by $[z] \mapsto -[z]$ on E. Since we focus on the Kähler structures, let's fix the complex structure of E, say taking $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\langle 1, i\rangle \subset \mathbb{C}$. The universal cover of E and the lifts of the Lagrangians L_1, L_2 (given in the previous subsection) are depicted by Figure 5.

We use the formal deformation parameter $b = xX + yY + zZ + wW$ for $\mathbb{L}_0 = L_1 \cup L_2$ as in the previous section. In particular we have the same quiver Q and its path algebra $\widehat{\Lambda Q}$. On the other hand, the obstruction term m_0^b is different since there are more holomorphic polygons in $\mathbb{P}^1_{2,2,2,2}$. In general the weakly unobstructed relations could become much more complicated. It turns out that in this particular case, the weakly unobstructed relations remain to be the same. The main reason is that the immersed Lagrangian $\mathbb{L}_0$ is taken to be invariant under the anti-symplectic involution ι. (In 8.4, we will study the case where the symmetry breaks.)

Lemma 8.6. *The weakly unobstructed relations for $\mathbb{L}_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^1_{2,2,2,2}$ are the cyclic derivatives of $\Phi = xyzw - wzyx$.*

Proof. The weakly unobstructed relations are given by the coefficients of $\bar{W}, \bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}$ in m_0^b. We just consider $\bar{W}$ and the others are similar.

The polygons contributing to m_0^b appear in pairs due to the anti-symplectic involution ι, and the polygons in each pair have the same area and opposite signs. Moreover the corresponding monomials for each

pair are related to each other by reversing the order (since the anti-symplectic involution flips the orientation of a polygon). As a result, the coefficient of $\bar{W}$ takes the form $f(q_d)(xyz-zyx)$ where $f(q_d) = q_d + \dots$ is a non-zero series in q_d. Thus it gives the weakly unobstructed relation $xyz \sim zyx$.

Hence we conclude that the weakly unobstructed relations remain to be the same as those for the four-punctured sphere, namely $xyz \sim zyx, yzw \sim wzy, zwx \sim xwz, wxy \sim yxw$. □

Then we directly compute the worldsheet superpotential $W = W_1 + W_2$, where W_i are the coefficients of $\mathbf{1}_{L_i}$ for $i = 1,2$ They are computed by counting holomorphic polygons bounded by $\mathbb{L}$ with corners at the immersed generators X, Y, Z, W, which are quadrilaterals in this case, see Figure 5. More precisely, we count the number of $T_i = PD(\mathbf{1}_{L_i})$ on the boundary of such polygons. We omit the detailed computations here and only state the result as below.

Theorem 8.7 ((2) and (3) of Theorem 8.1)**.** *The generalized mirror of $\mathbb{P}^1_{2,2,2,2}$ is $(\mathscr{A}_0, W_0)$, where*

$$W_0 = \phi(q_d)((xy)^2 + (xw)^2 + (zy)^2 + (zw)^2 + (yx)^2 + (wx)^2 + (yz)^2 + (wz)^2) + \psi(q_d)(xyzw + wzyx) \tag{8.2}$$

which is a central element of $\mathscr{A}_0$, and

$$\phi(q_d) = \sum_{k,l\geq 0}^{\infty} (4k+1) q_d^{(4k+1)(4l+1)} + \sum_{k,l\geq 0}^{\infty} (4k+3) q_d^{(4k+3)(4l+3)},$$
$$\psi(q_d) = \sum_{k,l\geq 0}^{\infty} (k+l+1) q_d^{(4k+1)(4l+3)}.$$

As explained in the previous subsection, $\mathscr{A}_0$ is a noncommutative resolution of the conifold. We can lift W_0 to be a holomorphic function on the commutative resolution $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$, which is given by

$$W_0 = 2\left(\phi(q_d)(Z_1^2 + Z_2^2 + Z_3^2 + Z_4^2) + \psi(q_d) Z_1 Z_3\right).$$

By a simple change of coordinates on (Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_4), we can change the expression to

$$W^{\text{open}} = Z_1^2 + Z_2^2 + Z_3^2 + Z_4^2 + \frac{\psi(q_d)}{\phi(q_d)} Z_1 Z_3.$$

Thus the mirror can be equivalently stated as $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1), W^{\text{open}})$.

The quotient construction by $\mathbb{Z}_2$ given in Section 7 can be applied to obtain the generalized mirror $(\hat{A}_0, \hat{W}_0)$ of the covering elliptic curve E. Recall from Definition 7.8 that $\hat{\mathscr{A}_0} = \Lambda(Q\#\mathbb{Z}_2)/\langle P_i^g : g \in G, i = 1, \dots, K\rangle$, where P_i^g are the lifts of the weakly unobstructed relations P_i. Moreover $\hat{W}_0$ is the lift of W_0 downstairs. (Lifting is defined in Definition 7.7.) It is easy to obtain the following.

Proposition 8.8. *The generalized mirror of E corresponding to $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}_0$ is $(\hat{\mathscr{A}_0}, \hat{W}_0)$, where $\hat{\mathscr{A}_0}$ is the quiver algebra $\Lambda(Q\#\mathbb{Z}_2)$ quotient by relations*

$$w^{[i+1]} z^{[i+1]} y^{[i]} \sim y^{[i+1]} z^{[i+1]} w^{[i]}, z^{[i+1]} y^{[i]} x^{[i]} \sim x^{[i+1]} y^{[i]} z^{[i]},$$
$$x^{[i+1]} w^{[i]} z^{[i]} \sim z^{[i+1]} w^{[i]} x^{[i]}, y^{[i+1]} x^{[i+1]} w^{[i]} \sim w^{[i+1]} x^{[i+1]} y^{[i]}$$

for $i = 0, 1$, where $Q\#\mathbb{Z}_2$ is the quiver in Figure 6 with vertices labeled by $(i, [j]) \in \{0,1\} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, and with arrows labeled by $x^{[i]}, y^{[i]}, z^{[i]}, w^{[i]}$ for (where the upper-index $[i] \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ indicates the second component of the head vertex). Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{W}_0 =& \phi(q_d) \sum_{i=0,1} \Big(x^{[i]} y^{[i+1]} x^{[i+1]} y^{[i]} + x^{[i]} w^{[i+1]} x^{[i+1]} w^{[i]} + z^{[i]} y^{[i+1]} z^{[i+1]} y^{[i]} + z^{[i]} z^{[i+1]} z^{[i+1]} w^{[i]} \\ &+ y^{[i+1]} x^{[i+1]} y^{[i]} x^{[i]} + w^{[i+1]} x^{[i+1]} w^{[i]} x^{[i]} + y^{[i+1]} z^{[i+1]} y^{[i]} z^{[i]} + w^{[i+1]} z^{[i+1]} w^{[i]} z^{[i]} \Big) \\ &+ \psi(q_d) \sum_{i=0,1} \Big(x^{[i]} y^{[i+1]} z^{[i+1]} w^{[i]} + w^{[i+1]} z^{[i+1]} y^{[i]} x^{[i]} \Big).\end{aligned}$$



FIGURE 6. Endomorphism quiver of $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}_0$

8.3. **Open mirror theorem.** We compare the above worldsheet superpotential W_0 with the family

$$W^{\mathrm{mir}} := Z_1^2 + Z_2^2 + Z_3^2 + Z_4^2 + \sigma Z_1 Z_3$$

parametrized by σ. By direct computation, the critical locus of W^{mir} is the zero section $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$ instead of a point. Unfortunately the Frobenius structure on the universal deformation space of W^{mir} is not known since Saito's theory is not yet known for non-isolated singularities.

On the other hand, W^{mir} descends to the quotient of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$ by $\mathbb{Z}_2$, which is the total space of canonical line bundle $K_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}$. The critical locus of W^{mir} in $K_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}$ is the elliptic curve $\{W^{\mathrm{mir}} = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, where $(x : z, y : w)$ are the standard homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. It can also be embedded into $\mathbb{P}^3$ via Segre embedding

$$x_1 = xy, x_2 = xw, x_3 = zw, x_4 = zy. \tag{8.3}$$

Then we obtain the following family of elliptic curves, which are complete intersections

$$\{x_1 x_3 = x_2 x_4\} \cap \{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 + \sigma x_1 x_3 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^3.$$

The flat coordinate q of moduli of elliptic curves is classically well-known, and can be found explicitly by embedding the above family into $\mathbb{P}^2$. This gives a way to obtain the flat coordinate q_{orb} of W^{mir}, which is related to q by $q = q_{\mathrm{orb}}^2$.

Explicitly the change of coordinates between σ and q is given by

$$\sigma(q) = \frac{\eta^{12}(q)}{\eta^8(q^2)\eta^4(q^{\frac{1}{2}})}$$

where $\eta(q) = q^{1/24}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)$ is the Dedekind η function.

Now we can compare W^{open} with W^{mir}. The following can be verified by direct calculations.

Theorem 8.9 ((4) of Theorem 8.1)**.**

$$W^{\mathrm{open}}(q_d) = W^{\mathrm{mir}}(\sigma(q))$$

where $q_{\mathrm{orb}} = q_d^4$, *and* $q = q_{\mathrm{orb}}^2 = q_d^8$.

As a consequence, the elliptic curve family defined by the complete intersection

$$\left\{ W^{\mathrm{open}} = Z_1^2 + Z_2^2 + Z_3^2 + Z_4^2 + \frac{\psi(q^{\frac{1}{8}})}{\phi(q^{\frac{1}{8}})} Z_1 Z_3 = 0 \right\} \cap \{Z_1 Z_3 = Z_2 Z_4\} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$$



FIGURE 7. Translation to obtain $\mathbb{L}_t$

equals to the Hesse elliptic curve family

$$\{x^3+y^3+z^3+\left(-3-\left(\frac{\eta(q^{\frac{1}{3}})}{\eta(q^3)}\right)^3\right)xyz\}\subset\mathbb{P}^2$$

given in Theorem 5.3. This is a natural expectation from mirror symmetry, since both are the mirror elliptic curve family.

More explicitly, we can state the above in terms of the j-invariant. The j-invariant of the elliptic curve family

$$\{((xy)^2+(xw)^2+(zy)^2+(zw)^2)+\sigma xyzw=0\}\subset\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1$$

is given by [LZ15]

$$j(\sigma)=\frac{\left(\sigma^4-16\sigma^2+256\right)^3}{\sigma^4\left(\sigma^2-16\right)^2} \tag{8.4}$$

which can be obtained by constructing an explicit isomorphism between the Hesse elliptic curve $\{x^3+y^3+z^3+sxyz=0\}$ and the above family. Then we have

$$j\left(\frac{\psi(q_d)}{\phi(q_d)}\right)=\frac{1}{q}+744+196884q+21493760q^2+864299970q^3+\dots$$

(recall that $q=q_d^8$) equals to the j-function in flat coordinate.

8.4. **Deformation of the reference Lagrangian $\mathbb{L}$.** In the rest of this section, we consider a geomtric deformation $\mathbb{L}_t$ of $\mathbb{L}_0$, and the mirror construction will produce a family of noncommutative algebras. The weak Maurer-Cartan relations become much more complicated. Miraculously we will show that coefficients in the relations determines a point in the mirror elliptic curve. The construction here is parallel to that in Section 5 for $\mathbb{P}^1_{3,3,3}$.

We define $\mathbb{L}_t$ as follows. Let l be the length of minimal $xyzw$-rectangle for the original reference Lagrangian $\mathbb{L}=\mathbb{L}_0$. We translate L_1 by lt to get $(L_1)_t$ while L_2 being fixed. (See Figure 7.) As in 5.2, we also deform the flat line bundle on $(L_1)_t$ to have holonomy λ, and we represent this flat bundle by the trivial line bundle on $(L_1)_t$ together with a flat connection whose parallel transport is proportional to the length of a curve. The line bundle on L_2 remains unchanged with trivial holonomy.

We now consider the (noncommutative) mirror induced by formal deformation of $(\mathbb{L}_t := (L_1)_t \cup L_2, \lambda)$ with $b = xX + yY + zZ + wW$. For $(\mathbb{L}_t, \lambda)$, the coefficients of $\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}$ in m_0^b are given as

$$\begin{array}{rcl} h_{\bar{X}} & = & A\,yzw + B\,wzy + C\,wxw + D\,yxy \\ h_{\bar{Y}} & = & A\,zwx + B\,xwz + C\,zyz + D\,xyx \\ h_{\bar{Z}} & = & A\,wxy + B\,yxw + C\,yzy + D\,wzw \\ h_{\bar{W}} & = & A\,xyz + B\,zyx + C\,xwx + D\,zwz. \end{array} \tag{8.5}$$

These relations generate the ideal $R_{(\lambda,t)}$ of the weak Maurer-Cartan relations in $\widehat{\Lambda Q}$ (with Q given as in Figure 4). Corresponding spacetime potential Φ is given by

$$\Phi = A\,(xyzw) + B\,(wzyx) + \frac{1}{2}C\,((wx)^2 + (yz)^2) + \frac{1}{2}D\,((xy)^2 + (zw)^2).$$

Thus the mirror algebra $\mathscr{A}_{(\lambda,t)}$ arising from $(\mathbb{L}_t, \lambda)$ can is the Jacobi algebra of Φ, $\mathscr{A}_{(\lambda,t)} = \frac{\Lambda Q}{(\partial \Phi_{(\lambda,t)})}$. This proves (1) of Theorem 8.2.

One can express A, B, C and D in terms of theta series with deformation parameters (λ, t). By direct counting from the picture, we see that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A(\lambda,t) & = & \displaystyle\sum_{k,l\geq 0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-2l-\frac{1}{2}} q_d^{(4k+1-2t_1)(4l+1-2t_2)} - \sum_{k,l\geq 0}^{\infty} \lambda^{2l+\frac{3}{2}} q_d^{(4k+3+2t_1)(4l+3+2t_2)} \\ B(\lambda,t) & = & \displaystyle\sum_{k,l\geq 0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-2l-\frac{3}{2}} q_d^{(4k+3-2t_1)(4l+3-2t_2)} - \sum_{k,l\geq 0}^{\infty} \lambda^{2l+\frac{1}{2}} q_d^{(4k+1+2t_1)(4l+1+2t_2)} \\ C(\lambda,t) & = & \displaystyle\sum_{k,l\geq 0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-2l-\frac{1}{2}} q_d^{(4k+3-2t_1)(4l+1-2t_2)} - \sum_{k,l\geq 0}^{\infty} \lambda^{2l+\frac{3}{2}} q_d^{(4k+1+2t_1)(4l+3+2t_2)} \\ D(\lambda,t) & = & \displaystyle\sum_{k,l\geq 0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-2l-\frac{3}{2}} q_d^{(4k+1-2t_1)(4l+3-2t_2)} - \sum_{k,l\geq 0}^{\infty} \lambda^{2l+\frac{1}{2}} q_d^{(4k+3+2t_1)(4l+1+2t_2)}. \end{array} \tag{8.6}$$

For instance, holomorphic polygons with small areas for the coefficients A, B, C and D are shown in Figure 8.

Recall $q_{orb} = q_d^4$, and set $q_{orb}^2 = e^{2\pi i \tau}$, $\lambda = e^{2\pi i s}$. Up to a common factor, A, B, C, D are holomorphic functions in a variable $u := -s - \tau\frac{t}{2} - \frac{1}{4}$ which can be thought of as a coordinate on $E_\tau := \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\langle\tau\rangle)$. To see this, we multiply $K = \theta_0 (K')^{-1}$ to A, B, C, D to get

$$KA = \frac{\theta[0,0](2u,2\tau)}{\theta\left[\frac{3}{4},0\right](2u,2\tau)}, \quad KB = -i\,\frac{\theta[0,0](2u,2\tau)}{\theta\left[\frac{1}{4},0\right](2u,2\tau)}, \quad KC = i\,\frac{\theta\left[\frac{2}{4},0\right](2u,2\tau)}{\theta\left[\frac{1}{4},0\right](2u,2\tau)}, \quad KD = \frac{\theta\left[\frac{2}{4},0\right](2u,2\tau)}{\theta\left[\frac{3}{4},0\right](2u,2\tau)} \tag{8.7}$$

where $K' := \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{\frac{\pi i \tau}{2}} \theta'((2\tau+1)/2, 2\tau)$ and $\theta_0 := \theta\left[\frac{3}{4},0\right](-1/2, 2\tau)$. The proof of these identities will be given in Appendix A.2. Denote the above four functions KA, KB, KC, KD by a, b, c, d. By our choice of the holomorphic coordinate u, $u_0 := -\frac{1}{4}$ gives back the algebra $\mathscr{A}_0$ studied in Section 8.2. Namely, $a(u_0) = -b(u_0)$ and $c(u_0) = d(u_0) = 0$.

It is easy to see that a, b, c, d define a map $(a : b : c : d) : E_\tau \to \mathbb{P}^3$. Indeed, it gives an embedding of E_τ into $\mathbb{P}^3$ whose proof will be given in Appendix A.3. The image of this map in $\mathbb{P}^3$ is a complete intersection of two quadrics, one of which is $\{ac + bd = 0\}$. One can check this relation directly from the expression (8.7). Defining equation of the other quadric can be also found explicitly as follows.

We apply the same trick as in Section 5 to obtain a matrix factorization of $W (= W_{\mathbb{L}_0})$ one of whose matrices has a, b, c, d as coefficients. Namely, we translate L_1 further by $2t$ and compute the mirror matrix factorization of $L := (L_1)_{2t}$ which is by definition $\mathrm{CF}(\mathbb{L}_0, L)$ with $m_1^{b,0}$. Here, we set the flat connection on L to have trivial holonomy ($\lambda = 1$) and only t varies. So, both $\mathbb{L}_0$ and L have trivial holonomies in what follows.



FIGURE 8. Correspondence between {polygons contributing to a, b, c, d} and {holomorphic strips contributing to $P_{odd\to even}$}

Note that L intersects only one branch, L_2, of $\mathbb{L}_0$. Thus, we restrict ourselves to the subalgebra $\pi_2 \cdot \left(\widehat{\Lambda Q}/R_0\right)\cdot \pi_2$ of $\widehat{\Lambda Q}/R_0$ which are generated by four loops based at π_2. We write these four loops as

$$\alpha = yz, \quad \beta = wz, \quad \gamma = wx, \quad \delta = yx.$$

It is easy to see that these variables commute to each other. For example,

$$\alpha\beta = yzwz \overset{(8.1)}{=} wzyz = \beta\alpha.$$

One can deduce $\alpha\gamma = \beta\delta$ similarly so that

$$\pi_2 \cdot \left(\widehat{\Lambda Q}/R_0\right)\cdot \pi_2 \cong \mathbb{C}[\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta]/\alpha\gamma - \beta\delta$$

which defines a conifold in $\mathbb{C}^4$.

Also, the potential W reduces to

$$\underline{W}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta) = \phi(\alpha^2+\beta^2+\gamma^2+\delta^2) + \psi\alpha\gamma \tag{8.8}$$

Finally, L intersects L_2 at four points denoted by p_1, p_2 with odd degree and q_1, q_2 with even degree (see Figure 8), and our functor produces a 2 by 2 matrix factorization of $\underline{W}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta)$ over the commutative algebra $\mathbb{C}[\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta]/\alpha\gamma-\beta\delta$ (after taking $\pi_2\cdot(-)\cdot\pi_2$)

$$P_{odd\to even}\cdot P_{even\to odd} = \underline{W}\cdot Id_2. \tag{8.9}$$

One can see from Figure 8 that there is an obvious one-to-one correspondence between "polygons for a, b, c, d (or A, B, C, D)" and "holomorphic strips contributing to $P_{odd\to even}$", which is area preserving. In the figure, holomorphic strips for $\mathrm{CF}(\mathbb{L}_0, L)$ are arranged along the diagonal dashed line representing L. By direct computation we get

$$P_{odd\to even} = \begin{matrix} \\ q_1 \\ q_2 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} \begin{matrix} p_1 & \quad p_2 \end{matrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} awx+cyz & byx+dwz \\ bwz+dyx & ayz+cwx \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a\gamma+c\alpha & b\delta+d\beta \\ b\beta+d\delta & a\alpha+c\gamma \end{pmatrix}$$

(up to scaling) where a, b, c, d above are evaluated at $(\lambda, t) = (1, t)$. Since we are now working over the commutative algebra, it makes sense to take the determinant of the both sides of the equation 8.9,

$$\det P_{odd\to even} = ac(\alpha^2+\gamma^2) - bd(\beta^2+\delta^2) + (a^2+c^2-b^2-d^2)\alpha\gamma$$

which should be a constant multiple of $\underline{W}$ by the degree reason. Comparing with (8.8), we see that

$$ac+bd = 0, \quad a^2+c^2-b^2-d^2-\frac{\psi}{\phi}ac = 0$$

hold for $(\lambda, t) = (1, t)$. As a, b, c, d are holomorphic functions in $s + \frac{\tau t}{2}$, this relations extend to hold over whole E_τ.

We conclude that for all $(\lambda, t) \in E_\tau$, $(\tilde{a} : b : \tilde{c} : \tilde{d}) := (-ia : b : ic : -d) \in \mathbb{P}^3$ lies on the complete intersection

$$\{\tilde{a}\tilde{c} - b\tilde{d} = 0\} \cap \{\tilde{a}^2 + b^2 + \tilde{c}^2 + \tilde{d}^2 + (\psi/\phi)\tilde{a}\tilde{c} = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$$

which is precisely the mirror elliptic curve in subsection 8.3.

For later use, we provide the formula of the worldsheet potential $W_{(\lambda,t)}$ (only whose first component will be given). Since we have two branches L_1 and L_2,

$$W_{(\lambda,t)} = (W_{(\lambda,t)})_1 \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}_1} + (W_{(\lambda,t)})_2 \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}_2}.$$

By the similar computation as in Section 5.3, one can check that the coefficients of W_1 are u-derivatives of A, B, C, D:

(8.10)

$$\begin{aligned} (W_{(\lambda,t)})_1 &= \left(\tfrac{K}{4\pi i}a'(u) + \tfrac{1}{8}A(u)\right)(xyzw + zwxy) + \left(\tfrac{K}{4\pi i}b'(u) + \tfrac{1}{8}B(u)\right)(xwzy + zyxw) \\ &\quad + \left(\tfrac{iK}{4\pi i}c'(u) + \tfrac{1}{8}C(u)\right)(xwxw + zyzy) + \left(\tfrac{iK}{4\pi i}d'(u) + \tfrac{1}{8}D(u)\right)(xyxy + zwzw) \\ &= \tfrac{K}{4\pi i}\left(a'(u)(xyzw + zwxy) + b'(u)(xwzy + zyxw) + c'(u)((xw)^2 + (zy)^2) + d'(u)((xy)^2 + (zw)^2)\right) \end{aligned}$$

where we used the weak Maurer-Cartan relations to get the simpler expression in the second line.

In order to obtain the similar result for W_2, one needs to deform the second Lagrangian L_2 as well so that a, b, c, d now become two variable functions. Then the coefficients of W_2 will be partial derivatives of a, b, c, d with respect to the second variable. We omit this computation here as it will not be used in this paper.

8.5. **Relation to the quantization of an intersection of two quadrics in $\mathbb{C}^4$.** In parallel with Section 5.5, we relate the algebra $\mathscr{A}_{(\lambda,t)}$ obtained in the previous section with a deformation quantization of a hypersurface in the conifold.

We first restrict $\mathscr{A}_{(\lambda,t)}$ to the subalgebra generated by the loops based at the first vertex of Q ((a) of Figure 4). Let us denote this algebra by $\underline{\mathscr{A}}$. We take $x_1 := xy, x_2 := xw, x_3 := zw, x_4 := zy$ to be the generators of $\underline{\mathscr{A}}$ similarly to (8.3). Multiplying a suitable variable to front or back of the original relations (8.5), we obtain

relations among the generating loops x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} K\cdot xh_{\bar{X}} & = & a\,x_1x_3 + b\,x_2x_4 + c\,x_2^2 + d\,x_1^2 \\ K\cdot h_{\bar{Y}}y & = & a\,x_3x_1 + b\,x_2x_4 + c\,x_4^2 + d\,x_1^2 \\ K\cdot zh_{\bar{Z}} & = & a\,x_3x_1 + b\,x_4x_2 + c\,x_4^2 + d\,x_3^2 \\ K\cdot h_{\bar{W}}w & = & a\,x_1x_3 + b\,x_4x_2 + c\,x_2^2 + d\,x_3^2. \end{array} \tag{8.11}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} K\cdot zh_{\bar{X}} & = & a\,x_4x_3 + b\,x_3x_4 + c\,x_3x_2 + d\,x_4x_1 \\ K\cdot h_{\bar{Y}}w & = & a\,x_3x_2 + b\,x_2x_3 + c\,x_4x_3 + d\,x_1x_2 \\ K\cdot xh_{\bar{Z}} & = & a\,x_2x_1 + b\,x_1x_2 + c\,x_1x_4 + d\,x_2x_3 \\ K\cdot h_{\bar{W}}y & = & a\,x_1x_4 + b\,x_4x_1 + c\,x_2x_1 + d\,x_3x_4. \end{array} \tag{8.12}$$

The subalgebra $\underline{\mathscr{A}}$ of $\mathscr{A}$ is obviously the quotient of $\mathbb{C}\{x_4, x_3, x_2, x_1\}$ by the ideal generated by these eight relations. Recall that a, b, c, d are functions in $u = -s - \tau\frac{t}{2} - \frac{1}{4}$. To emphasize the dependence of $\underline{\mathscr{A}}$ in u, we write $\underline{\mathscr{A}}_u$ from now on.

Note that $\underline{\mathscr{A}}_{u_0}$ represents the commutative conifold since $a(u_0) = -b(u_0)$ and $c(u_0) = d(u_0) = 0$:

$$\underline{\mathscr{A}}_{u_0} \cong \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]/x_1x_3 - x_2x_4. \tag{8.13}$$

Thus one may view $\underline{\mathscr{A}}_u$ as a noncommutative conifold. Let $f = x_1x_3 - x_2x_4$ be the defining equation of the conifold.

If we are given another function g on $\mathbb{C}^4$, we can define a Poisson structure in the following way.

$$\{x_i, x_j\} = \zeta\frac{dx_i \wedge dx_j \wedge df \wedge dg}{dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3 \wedge dx_4} = \zeta\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_l} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_k}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_l}\right). \tag{8.14}$$

where (i, j, k, l) is equivalent to $(1, 2, 3, 4)$ up to an even permutation. ζ in (8.14) will be some constant in our case though it could be a more complicated function in general. Such a structure is in fact a special case of certain higher brackets among functions called the *Nambu bracket*. (See for e.g. [OR02].) Choice of g will be fixed shortly.

One can check that (8.14) satisfies a Jacobi relation, and f and g lie in the Poisson center. Therefore it descends to the quotient algebra $\mathscr{B}_{f,g} = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]/\langle f, g\rangle$ which is a coordinate ring of a hypersurface in the conifold defined by $g = 0$. Now we provide some relation between our $\underline{\mathscr{A}}_u/W_u$ and the deformation quantization of $\mathscr{B}_{f,g}$ associated with the Poisson structure (8.14).

First, we make the following specific choice of the second function g in (8.14). Restricted to the loops based at the first vertex of Q, world sheet potential $(W_{(\lambda,t)})_1$ (8.10) can be written as

$$W_u := \frac{K}{4\pi i}\left(a'(u)(x_1x_3 + x_3x_1) + b'(u)(x_2x_4 + x_4x_2) + c'(u)(x_2^2 + x_4^2) + d'(u)(x_1^2 + x_3^2)\right) \tag{8.15}$$

Compared with Theorem 8.7, this should reduce to

$$g := \phi(q_d)(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2) + \psi(q_d)x_1x_3$$

at $u = u_0$, which we denote by g. Thus, if we set $v = u - u_0$ (so that $v = 0$ gives the commutative conifold (8.13)) and expand a, b, c, d in terms of v, we have

$$a(v) = a(0) + a'(0)v + O(v), \quad b(v) = b(0) + b'(0)v + O(v), \quad c(v) = 2\zeta\phi v + O(v), \quad d(v) = 2\zeta\phi v + O(v)$$

with $a'(0) + b'(0) = \zeta\psi$ for some constant ζ. Here, $a(0)$ and $a'(0)$ are evaluated at $v = 0$ (i.e. $u = u_0$) and similar for b, c, d.

Theorem 8.10. *The family of noncommutative algebra $\mathscr{A}_v/(W_v)$ near $v = 0$ gives a quantization of the complete intersection given by two quadratic equations $f = 0$ and $g = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}^4$ in the sense of* [EG10].

We remark that $\{f = 0\} \cap \{g = 0\}$ defines the mirror elliptic curve in $\mathbb{P}^3$ after projectivization.

Proof. As $v \to 0$, we have

$$\underline{\mathscr{A}}_v/(W_v) \to \mathbb{C}[x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4]/\langle f,g\rangle.$$

So it remains to check that the first order term of the commutator of A_v is equivalent to the Poisson bracket induced by f and g with the formula (8.14). The first equation in (8.12) gives rise to

$$x_3x_4 - x_4x_3 = v\left(a'(0)x_4x_3 + b'(0)x_3x_4 + c'(0)x_3x_2 + d'(0)x_4x_1\right) + o(v) \tag{8.16}$$

On the other hand, the Poisson bracket between x_3 and x_4 from (8.14) is given by

$$\{x_3,x_4\} = \zeta\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}\right) = \zeta\left(\psi x_3\cdot x_4 + 2\phi(x_3\cdot x_2 + x_4\cdot x_1)\right),$$

which equals to the first order term in the right hand side of (8.16) up to commuting of x_3 and x_4 since $a'(0)+b'(0) = \zeta\psi$. Similarly, the first order commutator between x_i and x_{i+1} for other i's agrees with the Poisson bracket of the corresponding variables.

Lastly, the remaining two commutators can be computed from (8.11). Considering the difference between two equations in (8.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &a\,x_3x_1 - a\,x_1x_3 + c\,x_4^2 - c\,x_2^2 = 0\\ &b\,x_4x_2 - b\,x_2x_4 + d\,x_3^2 - d\,x_1^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{8.17}$$

By the same trick, the above implies

$$x_1x_3 - x_3x_1 = v(c'(0)x_4^2 - c'(0)x_2^2) + o(v) = v\zeta(2\phi x_4^2 - 2\phi x_2^2) + o(v)$$

$$x_2x_4 - x_4x_1 = v(d'(0)x_3^2 - d'(0)x_1^2) + o(v) = v(2\phi x_3^2 - 2\phi x_1^2) + o(v)$$

whose first order terms in v coincide with $\zeta\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_l} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_k}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_l}\right)$ for $(k,l) = (4,2)$ and $(k,l) = (3,1)$, respectively. □

From the relations (8.12) and (8.17), we can capture some information on noncommutative deformation of $\mathbb{C}^4$ (or that of $\mathbb{P}^3$ regarded as a graded algebra). Note that at the commutative point $v = 0$, these six relations ((8.12) and (8.17)) boil down to the commutators among four variable x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4. Therefore, the algebra A_v defined by

$$A_v := \frac{\mathbb{C}\{x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4\}}{\left\langle \begin{array}{ll} ax_4x_3 + bx_3x_4 + cx_3x_2 + dx_4x_1, & ax_3x_2 + bx_2x_3 + cx_4x_3 + dx_1x_2\\ ax_2x_1 + bx_1x_2 + cx_1x_4 + dx_2x_3, & ax_1x_4 + bx_4x_1 + cx_2x_1 + dx_3x_4\\ ax_3x_1 - ax_1x_3 + cx_4^2 - cx_2^2, & bx_4x_2 - bx_2x_4 + dx_3^2 - dx_1^2 \end{array} \right\rangle}$$

can be thought of as a noncommutative deformation of $\mathbb{C}^4$.

Observe that if we further quotient out A_v by the equation

$$C_1 := ax_1x_3 + bx_2x_4 + cx_2^2 + dx_1^2,$$

then we can recover the noncommutative conifold $\underline{\mathscr{A}}_v$. ($C_1$ is the first equation in the relation (8.11), but other three are equivalent to this in A_v.) Set C_2 to be the (pre)image of $W_v(\in \underline{\mathscr{A}}_v)$ in A_v defined by the same equation (8.15). From the proof of Theorem 8.10, we see that six relations in A_v determine the Poisson bracket on $\mathbb{C}[x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4]$ 8.14 with $f = C_1, g = C_2$.

If we equip A_v with the grading by $\deg x_i = 1$ $(i = 1,2,3,4)$, it may also be regarded as a deformation of the homogeneous coordinate ring of $\mathbb{P}^3$. We conjecture that A_v is isomorphic to the 4-dimensional Sklyanin algebra, which was known as a noncommuative $\mathbb{P}^3$ having 2-dimensional center (see for instance [Smi94]).

Conjecture 8.11. *A_v is isomorphic to the 4-dimensional Sklyanin algebra (noncommuative $\mathbb{P}^3$). In addition, C_1 and C_2 are central in A_v, which generate the whole center of A_v.*

9. Extended mirror functor

In this section we construct an extended noncommutative Landau-Ginzburg model (A, d, W), which is a curved dg-algebra (see for instance [KL03, Seg13]), from the Lagrangian Floer theory of $\mathbb{L}$. We also construct an A_∞-functor from the Fukaya category of X to the category of curved dg-modules of (A, d, W). In this sense (A, d, W) is a generalized mirror of X (and it is the mirror in the ordinary sense when the functor induces an equivalence between the corresponding derived categories).

The construction uses Lagrangian deformations in all degrees (instead of odd-degree immersed deformations only). More concretely, it uses $m_k^{(\mathbb{L},\tilde{b}),\dots}$ where $\tilde{b}$ parametrizes all Lagrangian deformations. In practice it is much more difficult to compute (A, d, W) and the full general form of (A, d, W) does not appear much in the study of mirror symmetry. More frequently used is its simplified forms. For instance when $d = 0$, it reduces to a curved algebra which we use throughout this paper. When $W = 0$ it reduces to dg algebras. For a Calabi-Yau threefold with certain additional grading assumptions on the reference Lagrangian $\mathbb{L}$ (see Proposition 9.10), the construction produces Ginzburg algebra (with $W = 0$), which is explicit and was used in [Smi] for studying stability conditions on Fukaya category of certain local Calabi-Yau threefolds.

9.1. **Extended Landau-Ginzburg mirror.** Suppose $\mathbb{L} = \bigcup_i L_i$ has transverse self-intersections. In general the Lagrangian Floer theory is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded. When we have a holomorphic volume form on the ambient space X and $\mathbb{L}$ is graded, then the Lagrangian Floer theory is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded. We work with either case in this paper. (In the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded case all degrees below take value in $\mathbb{Z}_2$.)

Let $\{X_e\}$ be the set of odd-degree immersed generators of $\mathbb{L}$. As explained in Section 6, we have a corresponding endomorphism quiver $Q = Q^{\mathbb{L}}$ whose vertices are one-to-one corresponding to L_i and whose arrows are one-to-one corresponding to the generators X_e. The completed path algebra is denoted as $\widehat{\Lambda Q}$.

Definition 9.1 (Extended quiver)**.** *Let $\{X_f\}$ be the set of even-degree immersed generators. Moreover take a Morse function on each L_i and let $\{T_g\}$ be the set of their critical points* other than *the maximum points (which correspond to the fundamental classes $\mathbf{1}_{L_i}$). The extended quiver $\tilde{Q}$ is defined to be a directed graph whose vertices are one-to-one corresponding to $\{L_i\}$, and whose arrows are one-to-one corresponding to $\{X_e\} \cup \{X_f\} \cup \{T_g\}$.*

Recall that m_0^b takes the form

$$m_0^b = \sum_i W_i \mathbf{1}_{L_i} + \sum_f P_f X_f + \sum_g P_g T_g.$$

$W = \sum_i W_i \in \widehat{\Lambda Q}/R$ is a central element, where R is the two-sided ideal generated by P_f and P_g. As explained in Section 6, we obtain a Landau-Ginzburg model $(\widehat{\Lambda Q}/R, W)$.

Proposition 9.2. *Suppose X is Calabi-Yau and $\mathbb{L}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded such that* $\deg X_e \geq 1$ *for all odd-immersed generators X_e. Then $W \equiv 0$.*

Proof. Since $\deg X_e \geq 1$, $\deg x_e \leq 0$ for all e, and hence $\deg W_i \leq 0$. But $\deg W_i = 2$. Thus $W \equiv 0$. □

Now we consider extended deformations in directions of X_f and T_g.

Definition 9.3. *Take*

$$\tilde{b} = \sum_e x_e X_e + \sum_f x_f X_f + \sum_g t_g T_g.$$

Define $\deg x_e = 1 - \deg X_e$, $\deg x_f = 1 - \deg X_f$ *and* $\deg t_g = 1 - \deg T_g$ *such that $\tilde{b}$ has degree one.*

The deformation parameter $\deg x_e$ is always even, but the other parameters may not be. Hence we need to modify the sign rule of (2.2) in the definition 2.4 to the following.

$$m_k(f_1 e_{i_1}, f_2 e_{i_2}, \cdots, f_k e_{i_k}) := (-1)^{\epsilon} f_k f_{k-1} \cdots f_2 f_1 \cdot m_k(e_{i_1}, \cdots, e_{i_k}). \tag{9.1}$$

where ϵ is obtained from the usual Koszul sign convention. For example, if f_j is the dual variable of e_{i_j} for all $j = 1, \dots k$, then we have

$$m_k(f_1 e_{i_1}, f_2 e_{i_2}, \cdots, f_k e_{i_k}) := (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^{k} \deg f_j} f_k f_{k-1} \cdots f_2 f_1 \cdot m_k(e_{i_1}, \cdots, e_{i_k}), \tag{9.2}$$

since one can pull out $f_k, f_{k-1}, \dots, f_1$ one at a time and by assumption $f_j e_{i_j}$ will have (shifted) degree 0. One can check that this defines an A_∞-structure.

Then $m_0^{\tilde{b}}$ will additionally have odd-degree part, i.e., it is of the form

$$m_0^{\tilde{b}} = \sum_i W_i \mathbf{1}_{L_i} + \sum_e P_e X_e + \sum_f P_f X_f + \sum_g P_g T_g.$$

The constructions in previous sections generalize to this setting, to give the noncommutative ring A modulo the relations (P_e, P_f, P_g), and an extended A_∞ functor to matrix factorizations of an extended potential function $\widetilde{W}$.

Alternatively, we explain a construction which gives a curved dg-algebra (A, d), and an A_∞-functor to the category of curved dg-modules over $(A, d, \widetilde{W})$.

We will construct a curved dg-algebra from the extended deformations.

Definition 9.4 ([KL03])**.** *A curved dg-algebra is a triple (A, d, F), where A is a graded associative algebra, d is a degree-one derivation of A, and $F \in A$ is a degree 2 element such that $dF = 0$ and $d^2 = [F, \cdot] = F(\cdot) - (\cdot)F$.*

If $d^2 = 0$ (or equivalently F is central), a curved dg-algebra structure gives rise to a noncommutative Landau-Ginzburg model on its d-cohomology with the cohomology class $[F]$ as a (worldsheet) superpotential. One can easily check that a dg-modules over A (Definition 9.11 below) boils down to a matrix factorization of $[F]$ over $H(A)$ if $d^2 = 0$.

Now take the path algebra $\Lambda\tilde{Q}$. It carries a natural curved dg structure as follows.

Definition 9.5. *Define the extended worldsheet superpotential to be $\tilde{W} = \sum_i \tilde{W}_i$, where $\tilde{W}_i$ are the coefficients of $\mathbf{1}_{L_i}$ in $m_0^{\tilde{b}}$. Define a derivation d of degree one on $\Lambda\tilde{Q}$ by*

$$d(a_e x_e + b_f x_f + c_g t_g) = a_e P_e + b_f P_f + c_g P_g$$

for $a_e, b_f, c_g \in \Lambda$, and extend it using Leibniz rule. (Our sign convention is $d(xy) = x\,dy + (-1)^{\deg y}(dx)y$.)

Then the A_∞-relations for $\mathbb{L}$ can be rewritten as follows. The case when $\tilde{W} = 0$ appears in a lot of existing literature.

Proposition 9.6. *$d\tilde{W} = 0$ and $d^2 = [\tilde{W}, \cdot]$. In other words $(\Lambda\tilde{Q}, d, \tilde{W})$ is a curved dg algebra.*

Remark 9.7. *It is well-known (see* [KS09]*) that A_∞-algebra structure on V is equivalent to a codifferential $\hat{d}$ on the tensor coalgebra TV. And if V is finite dimensional (as in our case), then one can take its dual algebra $(TV)^*$ with a differential $\tilde{d}$ (with $\tilde{d}^2 = 0$). We may regard $\{x_e, x_f, x_g\}$ as dual generators of the dual algebra $(TV)^*$, but this formalism has one more generator $x_{\mathbf{1}}$, which is not introduced in our extended mirror functor. The desired identities can be obtained from $\tilde{d}^2 = 0$ by setting $x_{\mathbf{1}} = 0$. But we show the direct proof below due to signs.*

Proof. Consider a deformed A_∞-structure $\left\{m_k^{\tilde{b}}\right\}_{k\geq 0}$ (see Definition 2.3), and the first A_∞-equation:

$$m_1^{\tilde{b}}(m_0^{\tilde{b}}) = 0.$$

From the definition, we have $m_0^{\tilde{b}} = \tilde{W}\mathbf{1} + \sum_X (dx)X$ for X are elements in $\{X_e\}\cup\{X_f\}\cup\{T_g\}$. Hence we have

$$m_1^{\tilde{b}}(\tilde{W}\cdot\mathbf{1}) + m_1^{\tilde{b}}(\sum_X (dx)X) = 0.$$

The first term becomes (writing $\tilde{b} = \sum_X xX$)

$$m_2(\tilde{W}\cdot\mathbf{1},\tilde{b}) + m_2(\tilde{b},\tilde{W}\cdot\mathbf{1}) = \sum_X (x\tilde{W} - \tilde{W}x)X = -\sum [\tilde{W},x]X.$$

The second term can be written as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} m_1^{\tilde{b}}\left(\sum_X (dx)X\right) &= \sum_{k,i,X_j,X} m_{k+1}(x_1X_1,\cdots,(dx)X,x_iX_i,\cdots x_kX_k) \\ &= \sum_{k,i,X_j,X} (-1)^{|x_{i-1}|+\cdots+|x_1|} x_k\cdots x_i(dx)\cdots x_1 m_{k+1}(X_1,\cdots,X,\cdots X_k) \\ &= \sum_{X_j,X} d(x_k,\ldots,x_1)m_{k+1}(X_1,\cdots,X,\cdots X_k) \\ &= d(m_0^{\tilde{b}}) = d(\tilde{W}\mathbf{1} + \sum_X (dx)X) \\ &= d(\tilde{W})\mathbf{1} + \sum_X (d^2x)X \end{aligned} \tag{9.3}$$

Here, the degree of the dual variable x_j is the negative of the shifted degree of the corresponding generator X_j. Since the whole sum vanishes, we have $d\tilde{W} = 0$ and $d^2x - [\tilde{W},x] = 0$ for all x. □

The following proposition and its proof is an extended version of Proposition 9.2.

Proposition 9.8. *When X is Calabi-Yau, and $\mathbb{L}$ is graded such that* $\deg X_e, \deg X_f, \deg T_g \geq 1$, *we have $\tilde{W} = 0$. Thus $(\Lambda\tilde{Q}, d)$ is a dg algebra.*

Proof. Since $\deg X \geq 1$ for $X \in \{X_e\}\cup\{X_f\}\cup\{T_g\}$, $\deg x \leq 0$, and hence $\deg \tilde{W}_i \leq 0$. But $\deg \tilde{W}_i = 2$. Thus $\tilde{W} \equiv 0$. □

In general it is difficult to write down $(\Lambda\tilde{Q}, d, \tilde{W})$ explicitly since it requires computing all m_k's for $\mathbb{L}$. On the other hand, for Calabi-Yau threefolds with grading assumptions (see below), a lot of terms automatically vanish by dimension reason, and $(\Lambda\tilde{Q}, d, \tilde{W})$ can be recovered from the quiver Q with potential Φ (and $\tilde{W} = 0$). The resulting dg algebra $(\Lambda\tilde{Q}, d)$ is known as Ginzburg algebra.

Definition 9.9 ([Gin])**.** *Let (Q,Φ) be a quiver with potential. The Ginzburg algebra associated to (Q,Φ) is defined as follows. Let $\tilde{Q}$ be the doubling of Q by adding a dual arrow $\bar{e}$ (in reversed direction) for each arrow e of Q and a loop based at each vertex of Q. Define the grading on the path algebra $\Lambda\tilde{Q}$ as*

$$\deg x_e = 0, \deg x_{\bar{e}} = -1, \deg t_i = -2$$

where t_i corresponds to the loop at a vertex. Define the differential d by

$$d\,t_i = \sum_e \pi_{v_i}\cdot[x_e, x_{\bar{e}}]\cdot\pi_{v_i}, \;\; d\,x_{\bar{e}} = \partial_{x_e}\Phi, \;\; d\,x_e = 0$$

where π_{v_i} denotes the constant path at the vertex v_i. Then the dg algebra $(\Lambda\tilde{Q}, d)$ is called the Ginzburg algebra.

Proposition 9.10. *Suppose X is a Calabi-Yau threefold, L_i are graded Lagrangian spheres (equipped with a Morse function with exactly one maximum point and one minimum point) such that* $\deg X$ *equals to either one or two for all immersed generators X. Then $(\Lambda\tilde{Q}, d, \tilde{W})$ produced from the above construction is the Ginzburg algebra associated to (Q,Φ).*

Proof. Since each branch L_i is a sphere and we take the standard height function to be the Morse function which has exactly one maximum point and one minimum point, T_i are the minimal points of the Lagrangian spheres L_i with $\deg T_i = 3$. Moreover there is an one-to-one correspondence between $\{X_e\}$ and $\{X_f\}$, and each pair is denoted as $(X_e, X_{\bar{e}})$. As a result, the extended quiver $\tilde{Q}$ is the doubling of Q in Definition 9.9. We have $\deg x_e = 0$, $\deg x_{\bar{e}} = -1$ and $\deg t_i = -2$. Since all elements in $\Lambda\tilde{Q}$ has $\deg \leq 0$, dx_e which has degree one must vanish. Moreover $dx_{\bar{e}}$ has degree zero, and hence must be a series in $\{x_e\}$. Thus the coefficient of $x_{\bar{e}}$ in $m_0^{\tilde{b}}$ is the same as that in m_0^b, which is $\partial_{x_e}\Phi$. As a consequence $dx_{\bar{e}} = \partial_{x_e}\Phi$. Finally only constant disc can contribute to the coefficient of T_i in $m_0^{\tilde{b}}$. Moreover since dt_i has degree -1, each term has exactly one $x_{\bar{e}}$ factor. Thus each term must take the form $x_e x_{\bar{e}}$ or $x_{\bar{e}} x_e$ which is a path from v_i back to itself. As a result $dt_i = \sum_e \pi_{v_i} \cdot [x_e, x_{\bar{e}}] \cdot \pi_{v_i}$. (The sign difference between $x_e x_{\bar{e}}$ and $x_{\bar{e}} x_e$ follows from the cyclicity of $(m_2(x_e, x_{\bar{e}}), \mathbf{1}_{L_i})$ and the property of unit $\mathbf{1}_{L_i}$.) □

9.2. **Extended mirror functor.** In this subsection we define an A_∞-functor from $\mathrm{Fuk}(X)$ to the dg category of curved dg modules of $(\Lambda\tilde{Q}, d, \tilde{W})$ constructed in the last subsection. In this sense $(\Lambda\tilde{Q}, d, \tilde{W})$ can be regarded as a generalized mirror of X.

Curved dg-modules were well studied in existing literature and the definition is as follows.

Definition 9.11. *A curved dg-module over a curved dg-algebra (A, d, F) is a pair (M, d_M) where M is a graded A-module and d_M is a degree one linear endomorphism of M such that*

$$d_M(am) = a(d_M m) + (-1)^{\deg m}(da)m \tag{9.4}$$

and $d_M^2 = F$.

Note that our convention for d and d_M is "differentiating from the right", and so the sign is defined as above. The same sign convention applies below.

Definition 9.12. *Given two curved dg-modules (M, d_M) and (N, d_N), the morphisms from M to N form a graded vector space* $\mathrm{Hom}_A(M,N)$ *with a degree-one differential defined as*

$$d_{M,N} f := d_N \circ f - (-1)^{\deg f}(f \circ d_M)$$

with $d_{M,N}^2 = 0$. Thus the category of curved dg-modules is a dg category.

The construction of the functor is similar to the one in Section 6.4. Namely, we consider intersections between an object L of $\mathrm{Fuk}(X)$ with the reference Lagrangian $\mathbb{L}$ and their Floer theory to construct the functor. Here since we consider extended deformations of $\mathbb{L}$, we need to take account of extra contributions from the even-degree immersed generators X_f and also the critical points T_g. Also we need to be careful with signs since we use deformations of all degrees (instead of just odd degrees).

Let U be a Lagrangian which intersects transversely with $\mathbb{L}$. Given $Y \in U \cap \mathbb{L}$, as in Section 6.4, we want to define the differential acting on Y to be

$$m_1^{\tilde{b},0}(Y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_{n+1}(\tilde{b}, \ldots, \tilde{b}, Y) = \sum_n \sum_{(X_1,\ldots,X_n)} (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|} x_n \ldots x_1 m_{n+1}(X_1, \ldots, X_n, Y).$$

Due to the sign difference in dg-category and A_∞-category, we define the dg-module structure as follows.

Definition 9.13. *Let $P_v := \Lambda\tilde{Q}\cdot\pi_v$, the left $\Lambda\tilde{Q}$-module consisting of all paths of $\tilde{Q}$ beginning at a vertex v (we read from right to left). Given a Lagrangian U (which intersects transversely with $\mathbb{L}$), the corresponding module is defined as $M = \mathscr{F}(U) = \bigoplus_i \bigoplus_{Y\in U\cap L_i} P_{v_i}\langle Y\rangle$. Then the differential d_M is defined by*

$$d_M Y = (-1)^{\deg Y} m_1^{\tilde{b},0}(Y)$$

and extending to the whole module by linearity and Leibniz rule (9.4).

Proposition 9.14. *$d_M^2 = \tilde{W}$ and hence (M, d_M) is a curved dg-module.*

Proof. We start by noting that $(d_M)^2 \neq (m_1^{\tilde{b},0})^2$ as we need to take d of the coefficient by Leibniz rule in the case of $(d_M)^2$. We need to prove $d_M(d_M(Y)) = \tilde{W}\cdot Y$ for any $Y \in \mathbb{L}\cap U$. We will use the following deformed curved A_∞-bimodule equation:

$$(m_1^{\tilde{b},0})^2 + m_2^{\tilde{b},\tilde{b},0}(m_0^{\tilde{b}}(1), Y) = 0,$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} -(m_1^{\tilde{b},0})^2 &= m_2(\tilde{W}\mathbf{1}, Y) + m_2^{\tilde{b},\tilde{b},0}(\sum_X (dx)X, Y) \\ &= \tilde{W}\cdot Y + \sum_{k,X_i,X} m_{k+2}(x_1X_1,\cdots,(dx)X,\cdots,x_kX_k, Y) \\ &= \tilde{W}\cdot Y + \sum_{k,X_i,} d(x_k\cdots x_1) m_{k+1}(X_1,\cdots,X_k, Y) \end{aligned}$$

where the last identify is explained in (9.3). Now we can prove the desired identity as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} d_M^2(Y) &= d_M((-1)^{|Y|} m_1^{\tilde{b},0}(Y)) \\ &= (-1)^{|Y|}(-1)^{|Y|+1}(m_1^{\tilde{b},0})^2(Y) - \sum_{X_i,k} d(x_k\cdots x_1) m_{k+1}(X_1,\cdots,X_k, Y) \\ &= \tilde{W}\cdot Y. \end{aligned}$$

□

In particular, when $\tilde{W} = 0$ (which is usually the case in Calabi-Yau situation), Lagrangians are transformed to dg-modules over $\Lambda\tilde{Q}$, which can be identified as dg representations of $\tilde{Q}$. Typically these representations have infinite dimensions since they consist of all paths of $\tilde{Q}$. Taking the cohomologies of d_M, one obtains (graded) quiver representations.

The functor on (higher) morphisms is defined as follows. Given unobstructed Lagrangians $U_1,\ldots,U_k$ which intersect transversely with each other and also with $\mathbb{L}$, we define a map $\mathrm{Hom}(U_1,U_2)\otimes\ldots\otimes\mathrm{Hom}(U_{k-1},U_k)\to \mathrm{Hom}(M_{U_1}, M_{U_k})$ by sending $Y\in M_{U_1}$ to

$$m_k^{\tilde{b},0,\cdots,0}(Y,\cdot,\ldots,\cdot) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} m_{k+l}(\tilde{b},\ldots,\tilde{b},Y,\cdot,\ldots,\cdot) = \sum_n \sum_{(X_i)} (-1)^{\sum_j |x_j|} x_n\ldots x_1 m_{k+n}(X_1,\ldots,X_n,Y,\cdot,\ldots,\cdot) \in M_{U_k}.$$

Again the signs have to be modified to make it to be an A_∞-functor.

Definition 9.15. *Given unobstructed Lagrangians $U_1,\ldots,U_k$ which intersect transversely with each other and also with $\mathbb{L}$, we define a map* $\mathrm{Hom}(U_1,U_2)\otimes\ldots\otimes\mathrm{Hom}(U_{k-1},U_k)\to\mathrm{Hom}(M_{U_1},M_{U_k})$ *by*

$$\mathscr{F}(A_1\otimes\ldots\otimes A_{k-1})(Y) := (-1)^{(|Y|+1)\cdot\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(|A_i|+1)} m_k^{\tilde{b},0,\cdots,0}(Y, A_1,\cdots,A_{k-1}).$$

Theorem 9.16. *The above definition of $\mathscr{F}$ gives an A_∞-functor* $\mathrm{Fuk}(X)\to\mathrm{cdg}(\tilde{W})$ *where* $\mathrm{cdg}(\tilde{W})$ *is the dg category of curved dg modules of $(\Lambda\tilde{Q}, d, \tilde{W})$.*

Proof. The proof is almost the same as that of Theorem 2.18 [CHLb] together with an argument that is used in the proof of Proposition 9.14, and we leave the proof as an exercise. □

10. Mirror construction for punctured Riemann surface

In [Boc], Bocklandt considered a pair of "mirror" dimers Q and $Q^\vee$ equipped with perfect matchings, which are embedded in two different Riemann surfaces Σ and $\Sigma^\vee$ (with the number of punctures ≥ 3). He combinatorially defined a category from Q which was proven to be isomorphic to the wrapped Fukaya category of $\Sigma - Q_0$, and found an embedding into the category of matrix factorizations associated to $Q^\vee$. In this way, Bocklandt generalized the construction of Abouzaid et al. [AAE+13] of the homological mirror symmetry for punctured spheres.

In this section, inspired by the work of Bocklandt, we apply our construction developed in Section 6 to punctured Riemann surfaces and recover the result of [Boc], and also generalize them. In particular, our approach gives a geometric explanation of the mysterious combinatorial construction of a mirror dimer in [Boc]. This gives a nice application of the theory developed in this paper.

Definition 10.1. *Let Σ be a closed Riemann surface, with finitely many points $Q_0 = \{p_i\}$. We consider a polygonal decomposition of (Σ, Q_0) with vertices only at Q_0. Choosing orientations of all edges defines a quiver Q with the set of arrows Q_1. The complement $\Sigma \setminus Q$ consists of polygons, and the closure of these open polygons are called faces of Q. We may assume that every vertex has valency at least 3, and each polygon has at least distinct 3 vertices for simplicity. Also by Q we denote the quiver or the associated polygonal decomposition of Σ.*

Theorem 10.2. *We can take a family of Lagrangians $\mathbb{L}$ canonically for a given polygonal decomposition Q of Σ to obtain a noncommutative mirror LG model $(\mathscr{A}, W)$ so that the following holds:*

1. *There exists a graded quiver $Q^{\mathbb{L}}$ (whose arrows are $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded) with a spacetime superpotential Φ such that $\mathscr{A} = \mathrm{Jac}(Q^{\mathbb{L}}, \Phi)$ and we have a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded A_∞-functor*
$$\mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{L}} : \mathrm{Fuk}^{\mathrm{wrap}}(\Sigma \setminus Q_0) \to \mathrm{MF}(\mathrm{Jac}(Q^{\mathbb{L}}, \Phi), W).$$
2. *If Q is a dimer, then the quiver $Q^{\mathbb{L}}$ is also a dimer (with degree zero arrows) embedded in a Riemann surface $\Sigma^\vee$. Here $\Sigma^\vee$ can be constructed from the spacetime superpotential Φ.*
3. *If Q is a zig-zag consistent dimer , then $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{L}}$ defines an $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded derived equivalence*
$$\mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{L}} : D\mathrm{Fuk}^{\mathrm{wrap}}(\Sigma \setminus Q_0) \to \mathrm{Hmf}(\mathrm{Jac}(Q^{\mathbb{L}}), W) \subset \mathrm{HMF}(\mathrm{Jac}(Q^{\mathbb{L}}, \Phi), W).$$
4. *When Q has a perfect matching, then $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{L}}$ defines a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded functor.*
5. *When Q is zig-zag consistent dimer with a perfect matching, $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{L}}$ defines a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded derived equivalence to $\mathrm{Hmf}(\mathrm{Jac}(Q^{\mathbb{L}}), W)$. This $\mathbb{Z}$-graded functor agrees with that of Bocklandt* [Boc].

Remark 10.3. *We can also apply the similar construction to a closed Riemann surface (without punctures).*

Here, $\mathrm{Fuk}^{\mathrm{wrap}}(\Sigma \setminus Q_0)$ is the wrapped Fukaya category of [AS10], and the definitions of a dimer model, and the dg-category $\mathrm{mf}(\mathrm{Jac}(Q^{\mathbb{L}}), W)$ will be given in Definition 10.7, 10.28. Proof of this theorem will occupy the rest of the section.

The result (5) was proved by Bocklandt [Boc] by computing enough of both wrapped Fukaya category (of generating non-compact Lagrangians) and matrix factorizations and proving a uniqueness theorem of A_∞-structures using such computations and $\mathbb{Z}$-grading. Without a perfect matching condition of a dimer (that is a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading), it is difficult to mach A_∞-structures of both sides. On the other hand, in our formulation an A_∞-functor is given canonically, once we determine $\mathbb{L}$ and its Maurer-Cartan equations.

The result (3), (4) are also partly based on the work of Bocklandt. For (3) we use his explicit calculation of basis on B-side for zig-zag consistent dimers to show that it is an equivalence and for (4), we use the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading defined by Bocklandt's explicit choice of vector fields on punctured Riemann surfaces.

Remark 10.4. *Throughout the paper, the endomorphism quiver $Q^{\mathbb{L}}$ (Definition 6.1) was often denoted as Q for simplicity, but just in this section, by Q we denote a quiver embedded in a Riemann surface. We will see that $Q^{\mathbb{L}}$ equals the mirror dimer $Q^\vee$ (as dimers).*

10.1. **Reference Lagrangian $\mathbb{L}$ from a polygonal decomposition.** The reference Lagrangian $\mathbb{L}$ for a given polygonal decomposition Q of Σ will be obtained from the inscribed polygons to every faces of Q. Namely, for each face P of Q, we connect the midpoints of neighboring edges of P to obtain $\check{P}$ which is inscribed to P. See Figure 9 (a).

Definition 10.5. *We may choose $\check{P} \subset P$ for each face P of Q such that when two faces P_1, P_2 share an edge e, then the edges of $\check{P}_1, \check{P}_2$ connect smoothly at the midpoint of e (locally forming a triple intersection of smooth embedded curves). In this way, edges of $\check{P}$ for faces P of Q define a family of (possibly immersed) curves $\mathbb{L} = \{L_1, \cdots, L_k\}$ in $\Sigma \setminus Q$. Each L_i may be also obtained by starting from a mid-point of an edge of Q and traveling to the midpoint of the left neighboring edge of a face, and next the right neighboring edge of the following face and continuing this process (see Figure 10 (a)). Therefore, we call each L_i a* zigzag *Lagrangian.*



FIGURE 9. (a) dimer Q (b) $\mathbb{L} = \{L_1, L_2, L_3\}$ (c) $Q^{\mathbb{L}}$

Note that $\Sigma \setminus Q$ is an exact symplectic manifold, and we can also make $\mathbb{L}$ exact.

Lemma 10.6. *$\mathbb{L}$ can be made an exact Lagrangian by a suitable smooth isotopy.*

Proof. Let α be the fixed Liouville form, $d\alpha = \omega$. For every i we want to suitably deform L_i such that $\int_{L_i} \alpha = 0$. Near a puncture, α is locally given as $rd\theta$ where r goes to ∞ approaching the puncture. Hence the integral of α along a circular arc in counterclockwise (clockwise resp.) direction increases to ∞ (decreases to $-\infty$ resp.) when the arc moves closer to the puncture. Since L_i is a zigzag Lagrangian, it contains arcs in both directions. Hence we can deform L_i near a puncture to achieve $\int_{L_i} \alpha = 0$. □

Let us recall the definition of a dimer Q embedded in a surface Σ.

Definition 10.7. *A quiver in the Definition 10.1 is called a* dimer model *if for each face P of Q, the boundary arrows of Q are either all along with or all opposite to the boundary orientation of ∂P. Hence the set of arrows bounding each face can be regarded as a cycle in Q which we call a* boundary cycle.

Definition 10.8. *The set of boundary cycles of Q is decomposed into two sets Q_2^+ (positive cycles) and Q_2^- (negative cycles). We will call a face of Σ bounded by a cycle in Q_2^+ (resp. Q_2^-) a positive (resp. negative) face.*



(a) zigzag cycle (b) zig and zag rays from a (c) $Q^{\mathbb{L}}$

FIGURE 10. Zigzag Lagrangians

Each arrow in a dimer model Q is contained in exactly one positive cycle and one negative cycle.

For a dimer model Q, the inverse image $\tilde{Q}$ in the universal cover $\tilde{\Sigma}$ of Σ gives rise to a quiver in an obvious way. For a given arrow $\tilde{a}$ of $\tilde{Q}$, a zig ray (zag ray, resp.) is defined as an infinite path in $\tilde{Q}$

$$\cdots \tilde{a}_2 \tilde{a}_1 \tilde{a}_0$$

where $\tilde{a}_0 = \tilde{a}$, $\tilde{a}_{i+1}\tilde{a}_i$ is a part of a positive boundary cycle for even i and is a part of a negative boundary cycle for odd i. A zig or zag ray projects down to a cycle in the path algebra of Q which we will call a zigzag cycle. The following lemma is easy to check. (See (a) of Figure 10.)

Lemma 10.9. *For a dimer model Q, there is an one-to-one correspondence between zig-zag Lagrangian of Q and zig-zag cycle in the path algebra of Q.*

10.2. **Floer theory for $\mathbb{L}$ and its Maurer-Cartan space for a dimer Q.** We will apply our formalism to the set $\mathbb{L}$ of zigzag Lagrangians. Let us first discuss the case of a dimer Q, in which we can directly apply the result of section 6. For a quiver Q which is not a dimer, we will consider somewhat extended deformation theory to construct $Q^{\mathbb{L}}$ later.

Lagrangians in $\mathbb{L}$ are compact exact Lagrangians in $\Sigma \setminus Q_0$, and we have an A_∞-algebra $\mathrm{CF}^\bullet(\mathbb{L},\mathbb{L})$ following [Sei11]. Here, Lagrangians are oriented in a canonical way, following the orientation of the corresponding zigzag cycles.

Lemma 10.10. *The endomorphism quiver $Q^{\mathbb{L}}$ (in the Definition 6.1) for a dimer Q is described as follows. Its vertices $Q_0^{\mathbb{L}} = \{v_1, \cdots, v_k\}$ corresponds to zigzag Lagrangians $\mathbb{L} = \{L_1, \cdots, L_k\}$. There exist an one-to-one correspondence between the set of arrows $Q_1^{\mathbb{L}}$ and Q_1, given by the following rule. For an arrow $e \in Q_1$, there exist a (dual) arrow $x_e \in Q_1^{\mathbb{L}}$ of degree zero from a zag ray of e to the zig ray of e.*

Proof. An arrow of $Q_1^{\mathbb{L}}$ is given by an odd degree intersection between L_i and L_j. Recall that the generator p in $\mathrm{CF}^\bullet(L_i, L_j)$ is odd (resp. even) if at $T_p\Sigma$, one can rotate by an angle less than π, the oriented unit tangent vector of L_i in a counter-clockwise (resp. clockwise) direction to obtain the oriented unit tangent vector of L_j. Since Q is a dimer, for each edge e, we have an odd degree morphism X_e from the zag ray L_i of e to the zig ray L_j of e. See the Figure 10 (b). □

From now on, we will identify $Q_1^{\mathbb{L}}$ and Q_1 using this Lemma.

Due to the punctures, and to our choice of Lagrangian $\mathbb{L}$, the following lemma is immediate

Lemma 10.11. *The only non-trivial holomorphic polygon with boundary on $\mathbb{L}$ is given by the polygon $\check{P}$ inscribed to each face P of Q (see Definition 10.5).*

Following section 6, we set the Maurer-Cartan element $b = \sum_{e \in Q_1} x_e X_e$ and obtain

$$m_0^b = \sum_i W_i \mathbf{1}_{L_i} + \sum_e P_e \bar{X}_e$$

where P_e is counting discs with insertions of b's with an output $\bar{X}_e$, and W_i is counting discs U with output to be the minimum point of L_i. By definition $\mathscr{A}_{\mathbb{L}}$ is the (completed) path algebra of $Q^{\mathbb{L}}$ quotient by P_e and $W_{\mathbb{L}} = \sum_i W_i$. Since we work in the exact setting, we can work over $\mathbb{C}$-coefficient rather than the Novikov ring Λ_0.

From now on, a holomorphic polygon $\check{P}$ contributing to m_0^b will be said to be *positive*, denoted as $\check{P}_+$ if the induced boundary orientation match with that of $\mathbb{L}$, and *negative*, denoted as $\check{P}_-$ otherwise.

We will put non-trivial spin structure on $\mathbb{L}$ to match our construction to the combinatorial mirror description of Bocklandt [Boc].

Definition 10.12. *Assign a spin structure on $\mathbb{L}$ by placing a marked point on one of the edges of every negative k-gon $\check{P}$ for odd k.*

Recall that a marked point may be thought of as a place where trivialization of tangent bundle is twisted. Thus the sign of a holomorphic disc with boundary on $\mathbb{L}$ passing through k marked points (for spin structures) will have an additional sign twist by $(-1)^k$.

Lemma 10.13. *The coefficients P_e can be defined as partial derivative $\partial_e \Phi$ of the spacetime superpotential Φ. With the spin structure given in Definition 10.12, we have*

$$\Phi = \sum_{\check{P}_+} (\textit{boundary word of } \check{P}_+) - \sum_{\check{P}_-} (\textit{boundary word of } \check{P}_-)\Big)$$

Here $|\check{P}|$ is the number of vertices in the polygon $\check{P}$, and a boundary word of $\check{P}$ is recorded in clockwise direction starting from any point (it is well-defined in the cyclic quotient).

Proof. Since the only non-trivial holomorphic polygons bounded by $\mathbb{L}$ come from the polygons $\check{P}$, it only remains to check the sign. A positive polygon contributes with a positive sign, and the negative k-gon contributes with $(-1)^{k-1}$ according to the sign convention of [Sei11], but we added further twisting by $(-1)^k$. Therefore, any negative polygon $\check{P}_-$ always contribute with the negative sign. □

For example, the spacetime potential Φ for the dimer Q in Figure 9 is given by

$$\Phi = x_a x_b x_e x_c x_d - x_a x_e x_d x_c x_b.$$

The Lagrangian Floer potential W can be also computed combinatorially as follows.

Lemma 10.14. *The potential W as an element in $\mathscr{A}_{\mathbb{L}} = \mathrm{Jac}(Q^{\mathbb{L}}, \Phi)$ can be written as*

$$W = \sum_{i=1}^{k} W_i$$

where W_i is the boundary word of the unique polygon $\check{P}$ passing through the minimum $T_i \subset L_i$. Here, the word is recorded clockwise starting from T_i (but T_i itself is not recorded) and with the spin structure given in Definition 10.12, all signs of W_i's are positive.

Proof. It is enough to show that the signs of all holomorphic polygons contributing to $W_{\mathbb{L}}$ are positive. A positive polygon contribute positively. A negative k-gon contributing to m_k with the output $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{L}}$ contributes as $(-1)^k$ with the standard spin structure on $\mathbb{L}$. Hence, the spin structure of Definition 10.12 additionally contributes $(-1)^k$ to the negative k-gon counting, and hence even the negative k-gon is counted positively. □

The Lagrangian Floer potential for the example drawn in Figure 9 can be computed as

$$W = x_c x_d x_e x_a x_b + x_b x_c x_d x_e x_a + x_d x_e x_a x_b x_c,$$

where the minimum T_i is represented by $\star$ in the picture.

10.3. **Relation to the mirror dimer model $Q^\vee$ of** [Boc]**.** We show that the combinatorial mirror dimer construction of Bocklandt [Boc] can be recovered from our construction $(Q^{\mathbb{L}}, \Phi, W)$ (with the spin structure given in Definition 10.12).

We first recall the definition of the mirror quiver $Q^\vee$.

Definition 10.15. [Boc] *The dual quiver $Q^\vee$ of Q is defined by the following data:*

(1) The vertices of $Q^\vee$ are zig-zag cycles in Q.
(2) The sets of arrows for Q and $Q^\vee$ are the same. $a \in Q_1$ gives the arrow of $Q^\vee$ with $h(a)$ the cycle coming from the zig ray and $t(a)$ that from the zag ray.

From Lemma 10.10, we have

Corollary 10.16. *The dual quiver $Q^\vee$ can be identified with $Q^{\mathbb{L}}$.*

The dual dimer $Q^\vee$ embeds into a surface $\Sigma^\vee$. Combinatorial construction of $\Sigma^\vee$ was given by physicists Feng-He-Kennaway-Vafa [FHKV08].

Definition 10.17. *The dual dimer $Q^\vee$ is embedded in the surface $\Sigma^\vee$ such that*

(1) The positive faces of $Q^\vee$ are those of Q in Σ;
(2) The negative faces of $Q^\vee$ are the negative faces of Q in reverse order.

i.e. $\Sigma^\vee$ may be obtained by cutting the Σ along the arrows of Q, and flipping negative faces, and reverse the direction of arrows in the flipped negative faces, and gluing back the matching arrows of positive and negative faces (forgetting the information of vertices).This defines an involution on the set of dimer models.

Let us explain how to obtain $\Sigma^\vee$ in our geometric construction. Roughly speaking, we patch together holomorphic polygons $\check{P}$'s bounded by $\mathbb{L}$ to obtain $\Sigma^\vee$. But we need to attach $\check{P}$ after suitable modification.

Let F be a face of Q, and denote by $\check{P}_F$ the holomorphic polygon in F bounded by $\mathbb{L}$. Each polygon $\check{P}_F$ will be replaced by a dual polygon $F^\vee$ in the following way. An edge of $\check{P}_F$ is given by Lagrangian L_i, and hence corresponds to a vertex v_i (of the quiver $Q^{\mathbb{L}}$). A vertex of $\check{P}_F$ is given by the intersection point of $CF(L_i, L_j)$ and hence can be replaced by an edge e_{ij} (which are arrows of the quiver $Q^{\mathbb{L}}$). This process provides the dual polygon $F^\vee$, which can be attached to the quiver $Q^{\mathbb{L}}$. If we attach $F^\vee$ to $Q^{\mathbb{L}}$ for each face F of Q, we obtain the surface $\Sigma^\vee$.

Note that this dual polygon $F^\vee$ has the same shape as the face F, in fact, if F is a positive face, edges of F can be identified with edges of $F^\vee$ (but vertices cannot be identified). If F is a negative face, edges of F can be identified with edges of $F^\vee$ but with reverse orientation. Since branches of $\mathbb{L}$ crosses at the edges the polygonal decomposition Q, we need to glue the modifications of the holomorphic polygons via flipping negative faces, which identifies vertices and edges of the neighboring tiles.

Formally, this procedure of attaching 2-cells can be naturally read from the spacetime superpotential Φ (see (3.5)), which records the holomorphic polygons $\check{P}$, and the sign determines the orientation of the cell.

Lemma 10.18. *For each positive term $(r_+)_{cyc}$ in Φ, we glue a polygonal face to $Q^{\mathbb{L}}$ along the edges of (r_+). For each negative term $-(r_-)_{cyc}$ in Φ, we glue a polygonal face to $Q^{\mathbb{L}}$ along the edges of (r_-) in the reverse order (See Figure 9 (b)). Then the resulting Riemann surface can be identified with $\Sigma^\vee$.*

We leave the proof of the lemma as an exercise. It is interesting that the "space"-time superpotential Φ contains additional information about the mirror "space".

From Lemma 10.13, it is easy to identify combinatorial Jacobi algebra of the mirror dimer $Q^\vee$ with the Jacobi algebra of $Q^{\mathbb{L}}$ from Φ.

Corollary 10.19. *With the spin structure given in Definition 10.12, we have*

$$\mathrm{Jac}(Q^{\mathbb{L}}, \Phi) = \mathrm{Jac}(Q^\vee).$$

Namely, for any edge $e \in Q_1^\vee = Q_1^{\mathbb{L}}$, a Jacobi relation $\partial_e \Phi$ becomes the relation $r_e := r_{e,+} - r_{e,-}$ where $e \cdot r_{e,+}$ is the unique positive cycle in $Q^\vee$ containing e, and $e \cdot r_{e,-}$ is the unique negative cycle containing e.

Hence, our formalism explains why Jacobi relation appears in the mirror quiver algebra in [Boc].

Lemma 10.20. *With the spin structure given in Definition 10.12, the potential W of Lemma 10.14 agrees with a combinatorial central element l, defined in* [Boc]

$$l := \sum_{v \in Q_0^\vee} C_v \tag{10.1}$$

where for each $v \in Q_0^\vee$, C_v is an a cycle starting from v.

Therefore, the generalized mirror $(\mathscr{A}_{\mathbb{L}}, W_{\mathbb{L}})$ coincides with the Landau-Ginzburg model $(\mathrm{Jac}(Q^\vee), l)$.

Proof. A vertex $v \in Q_0^\vee$ corresponds to a Lagrangian $L_v \in \mathbb{L}$, and a cycle C_v corresponds to a boundary word of a polygon $\check{P}$ with an edge in L_v. But there may be several polygons $\check{P}$ with an edge in L_v. Let P_1, P_2 be the two faces of Q sharing an edge a, and suppose that L_v passes through the mid-point of a. See figure 9. Then it is easy to check that the boundary word of $\check{P}_1$ and that of $\check{P}_2$ are the same up to Jacobi relation of Φ. Hence, by applying this fact several times if necessary, we may assume that $\check{P}$ that corresponds to a cycle C_v meet the minimum T_v of the Morse function on the Lagrangian L_v. Therefore $W_{\mathbb{L}}$ can be identified with l. □

10.4. **The case of a non-dimer Q.** The construction in this case will be a generalized version of that in Section 6, as we also consider even degree immersed generators like in the case of extended mirror functors, but we will not take the full extended directions. We will take only half of immersed generators of $\mathrm{CF}^\bullet(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L}) = \oplus_{i,j} \mathrm{CF}^\bullet(L_i, L_j)$ to form a formal deformation space.

Let us first choose any orientation for each L_i of $\mathbb{L}$. An intersection point $p \in L_i \cap L_j$ at the midpoint of an arrow e of Q_1 gives rise to two generators X_e (odd degree) and $\bar{X}_e$ (even degree) in $\mathrm{CF}^\bullet(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L})$.

Definition 10.21. *Consider a face P of Q, and a corresponding polygon $\check{P}$ bounded by $\mathbb{L}$. For each vertex p of $\check{P}$, we choose an immersed generator Y_e in $\{X_e, \bar{X}_e\}$ using the polygon $\check{P}$: here Y_e corresponds to the intersection $\mathrm{CF}^\bullet(L_a, L_b)$ so that the order of the branch of L_a, L_b at p is counterclockwise with respect to $\check{P}$. We denote by $\bar{Y}_e$ the other generator in $\{X_e, \bar{X}_e\}$. If Q is a dimer, we have $Y_e = X_e$.*

We will only turn on Y_e as a formal deformation direction, since $\bar{Y}_e$ do not play any significant role in the Floer theory of $\mathbb{L}$. Since Y_e is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded, the quiver $Q^{\mathbb{L}}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded.

Definition 10.22. *We define the graded quiver $Q^{\mathbb{L}}$ as follows. Its vertices $Q_0^{\mathbb{L}} = \{v_1, \cdots, v_k\}$ corresponds to zigzag Lagrangians $\mathbb{L} = \{L_1, \cdots, L_k\}$. For each Y_e, we assign an (dual) arrow $x_e \in Q_1^{\mathbb{L}}$ from the zag ray to the zig ray of e of degree*

$$deg(e_p) = 1 - deg(Y_p).$$

Here, zag ray (resp. zig ray) of an arrow e is the Lagrangian which pass through the midpoint of the arrow e and travel to the right (resp. left) side of the arrow.

The following lemma holds by the definition.

Lemma 10.23. *Since each midpoint of an edge in the original quiver Q is an intersection point, there is an obvious one-to-one correspondence between the arrow set of Q and $Q^{\mathbb{L}}$,*

Definition 10.24. *We set*

$$b = \sum_{e \in Q_1} x_e Y_e.$$

As the degree of $x_e = 1 - deg(Y_e)$, b has total degree one.

Now, we may proceed as in 6, to obtain

$$m_0^b = \sum_i W_i \mathbf{1}_{L_i} + \sum_e P_e \bar{Y}_e.$$

By definition $\mathscr{A}_{\mathbb{L}}$ is the (completed) path algebra of $Q^{\mathbb{L}}$ quotient by P_e and $W_{\mathbb{L}} = \sum_i W_i$. The computation of Φ and the central element $W_{\mathbb{L}}$ can be carried out in a similar way as in the case of a dimer. But the main difference from the case of dimers is that first, arrows in $Q^{\mathbb{L}}$ may have non-trivial grading, and second Φ and $W_{\mathbb{L}}$ can be computed as in the Lemma 10.13, 10.14, but signs in the formula will not be simple as dimers.



FIGURE 11. Example of non-dimer

In the non-dimer example of Figure 11, we turn on the half of immersed generators $\{\bar{X}_a, \bar{X}_b, X_c, \bar{X}_d, X_e, \bar{X}_f\}$ as formal deformation. Thus, four variables x_a, x_b, x_d, x_f have odd degrees, and the other two variable x_c and x_e have even degrees. One can directly compute from the picture that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi = &\quad x_a x_f x_c + x_c x_d x_b + x_e x_a x_d + x_e x_f x_b \\ W_{\mathbb{L}} = &\quad x_a x_f x_c + x_c x_a x_f \end{aligned}$$

where $\star$ in the figure represents the location of minimum T_i. Here Φ defines a cyclic potential (in the graded sense).

By applying the (generalized) construction of Section 6, we obtain a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded A_∞-functor $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{L}}$ from the wrapped Fukaya category to the dg-category of matrix factorizations of $W_{\mathbb{L}}$, and this finishes the proof of (1).

10.5. **Perfect matching and $\mathbb{Z}$-grading.** We will show that $\mathbb{L}$ admits a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading when Q has a so-called *perfect matching*, and this also induces the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading for categories and functors.

Definition 10.25. *A perfect matching for a dimer $Q \subset \Sigma$ is a subset $\mathscr{P}$ of Q_1 such that every boundary cycle of a polygon contains exactly one arrow in $\mathscr{P}$.*



FIGURE 12. (a) Perfect matching and the grading of $\mathbb{L}$ (b) Mirror matrix factorization P_e

From a perfect matching, [Boc] constructed a nowhere-vanishing vector field $\mathcal{V}$ on $\Sigma \setminus Q_0$ which essentially plays the role of a Calabi-Yau volume form. Let e be the unique edge of a positive face F^+ which belongs to $\mathscr{P}$. Over F^+, the vector field $\mathcal{V}$ is defined in such a way that its flow trajectories are loops starting and ending at $h(e)$ and turning in counterclockwise direction. Over the negative face F^- which has e as the unique edge in $\mathscr{P}$, the flow trajectories are loops starting and ending at $t(e)$ and turning in clockwise direction. See (a) of Figure 12 for the illustration.

From the vector field $\mathcal{V}$, any Lagrangian L (which is simply a curve) in $\Sigma \setminus Q_0$ has a phase function $L \to U(1)$ which is measuring the angle from $\mathcal{V}(p)$ to T_pL at each $p \in L$. A grading on L is a lift of the phase function to $L \to \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 10.26. *Suppose Q is given a perfect matching. Then each branch of $\mathbb{L}$ admits a grading.*

Proof. As illustrated in (a) of Figure 12, the angle from T_pL_i to $\mathcal{V}(p)$ can be chosen to lie in the interval $(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$, and hence the phase function can be lifted to $\mathbb{R}$. □

Corollary 10.27. *We have an A_∞-functor $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{L}} : \mathrm{Fuk}^{\mathrm{wrap}}(\Sigma \setminus Q_0) \to \mathrm{MF}(\mathrm{Jac}(Q^\vee), W)$ which is graded if there exists a perfect matching on Q.*

10.6. **Mirror functor.** Recall that the wrapped Fukaya category $\mathrm{Fuk}^{\mathrm{wrap}}(\Sigma \setminus Q_0)$ has classical generators $\{U_e\}$ given by the arrows $e \in Q_1$, which are considered as non-compact Lagrangians (see Abouzaid [Abo10]). For simplicity, we will assume that Q is a dimer. We will compute the images of these generators under our mirror functor $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{L}}$, and show that it matches with the result of Bocklandt. We will also show how the morphism $\mathrm{Hom}(U_a, U_b)$ in wrapped Fukaya category maps to that of the corresponding matrix factorizations through our functor too. Note that $\mathrm{Hom}(U_a, U_b)$ is nonzero if and only if the two arrows a and b are incident to the same vertex v in Q.

Using the notation of Corollary 10.19, we define the relevant matrix factorizations of $W_{\mathbb{L}}$.

Definition 10.28. *For any edge $e \in Q_1^{\mathbb{L}}$, a matrix factorization P_e of $(\mathscr{A} = \mathrm{Jac}(Q^{\mathbb{L}}, \Phi), W)$ is defined as follows. The underlying module of P_e is $\mathscr{A} \cdot h(e) \oplus \mathscr{A} \cdot t(e)$. (Recall that $\mathscr{A} \cdot v$ is spanned by paths starting from v.) The matrix factorization is given by*

$$P_e : \mathscr{A} \cdot h(e) \xrightarrow{\cdot e} \mathscr{A} \cdot t(e) \xrightarrow{\cdot r_{e,+}} \mathscr{A} \cdot h(e).$$

We denote by $mf(\mathrm{Jac}(Q^{\mathbb{L}},\Phi),W)$ *the dg-subcategory of* $MF(\mathrm{Jac}(Q^{\mathbb{L}},\Phi),W)$*, generated by* $\{P_e\}_{e\in Q^{\mathbb{L}}}$.

Note that $p\cdot e\cdot r_{e,+}=p\cdot W=W\cdot p$ for any $p\in\mathscr{A}\cdot h(e)$, and $p'\cdot r_{e,+}\cdot e=p'\cdot W=W\cdot p'$ for any $p'\in\mathscr{A}\cdot t(e)$, and hence P_e defined above is a matrix factorization of W.

Proposition 10.29. *We have* $\mathscr{F}(U_e)=P_e$ *for every* $e\in Q_1^{\mathbb{L}}$.

Proof. We compute $\mathscr{F}(U_e)$. To avoid a triple intersection, we slightly perturb U_e (to a dashed curve) as in (b) of Figure 12. U_e intersects two branches $\{L_{h(e)},L_{t(e)}\}$ of $\mathbb{L}$ at exactly two points $p_{h(e)}$ and $p_{t(e)}$. Hence the underlying module of $\mathscr{F}(U_e)$ is $\mathscr{A}\cdot h(e)\oplus\mathscr{A}\cdot t(e)$, where the first and second summands are even and odd respectively by considering the degrees of $p_{h(e)}$ and $p_{t(e)}$.

Let U denote the holomorphic polygon whose boundary cycle is $er_{e,+}$. U_e splits U into two holomorphic strips, one from $p_{h(e)}$ to $p_{t(e)}$ which has a corner at X_e, and another one from $p_{t(e)}$ back to $p_{h(e)}$ which has corners at $X_{e'}$ where e' are arrows contained in $r_{e,+}$. These are the only two strips contributing to $m_1^{b,0}$, and hence the matrix factorization is the one given above. □

Next, we consider a morphism level functor. Bocklandt already has found a class of morphisms between matrix factorizations P_a,P_b when a,b lie on a zig-zag cycle of $Q^\vee$ (caution: this is not Q), which we recall first.

Definition 10.30. *[* [Boc] *] Fix a zigzag cycle in* $Q^\vee$ *and let* a *and* b *be two arrows in the cycle. Without loss of generality suppose it is a zig cycle with respect to* a*. Take a lifting of the arrow* a *to the universal cover and also denote it by* a*, and denote by* $b^{(i)}$ *the liftings of* b *which are contained in the zig ray of* a *for* $i\in\mathbb{Z}$*, where* $b^{(0)}$ *is the first one met by the zig ray. (*$b^{(0)}=a$ *when* $b=a$*.) Let* $a_0\dots a_k$ *be the zig path with* $a_0=a$ *and* $a_k=b^{(i)}$ *(where* k *depends on* i*).* $a_{j+1}a_j$ *for even* j *is a part of a positive cycle* F_j^+ *in* $Q^\vee$*. Hence the complement of* $a_{j+1}a_j$ *in this cycle gives a path from* $h(a_{j+1})$ *to* $t(a_j)$*. By composing all these paths over all even* j*, we obtain a path* opp_1*, which is from* $h(b^{(i)})$ *(*$t(b^{(i)})$*) to* $t(a)$ *when* k *is odd (even). Likewise, we take the complement of* $a_{j+1}a_j$ *for odd* j *in the unique negative cycle* F_j^- *containing it, and compose them together to have a path* opp_2*, which is from* $t(b^{(i)})$ *(*$h(b^{(i)})$*) to* $h(a)$ *when* k *is odd (even).*

For any element p *in* $(P_a)_0=\mathscr{A}\cdot h(a)$*,* $p\cdot\mathrm{opp}_2$ *defines a path in* $(P_b)_1=\mathscr{A}\cdot t(b)$ *(or* $(P_b)_0=\mathscr{A}\cdot h(b)$*) when* k *is odd (or even). Similarly* opp_1 *defines a map from* $(P_a)_1=\mathscr{A}\cdot t(a)$ *to* $(P_b)_0$ *(or* $(P_b)_1$*) when* k *is odd (or even). Thus we get the elements* $\zeta^{(i)}=(\zeta_0^{(i)},\zeta_1^{(i)})$ *in* $\mathrm{Hom}(P_a,P_b)$*, where* $\zeta_0:p\mapsto p\cdot\mathrm{opp}_2$ *and* $\zeta_1:p\mapsto p\cdot\mathrm{opp}_1$.

In the above definition, when k is odd $\zeta^{(i)}$ takes the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}(P_a)_0 & \longrightarrow & (P_a)_1 & & \\ & \searrow^{\zeta_0^{(i)}} & & \searrow^{\zeta_1^{(i)}} & \\ & & (P_b)_1 & \longrightarrow & (P_b)_0\end{array}$$

See the left side of Figure 13 for opp_1 and opp_2.

Using the fact that $(Q^\vee)^\vee=Q$, a zigzag cycle of $Q^\vee$ corresponds to a vertex v in Q. Therefore, the condition on a,b to lie on a a zigzag cycle in $Q^\vee$ corresponds to the fact that the arrows a and b share the vertex v in Q, and therefore, there exist a morphism in wrapped Fukaya category.

We show that generators of $\mathrm{Hom}(U_a,U_b)$ at that puncture are mapped to the above morphisms between matrix factorizations.

Proposition 10.31. *The functor* $\mathscr{F}^{\mathbb{L}}$ *sends the generators of* $\mathrm{Hom}(U_a,U_b)$ *to* $\pm\zeta^{(i)}\in\mathrm{Hom}(P_a,P_b)$.

Proof. Here we only consider the chord α from U_a to U_b which has minimal winding number and assume that $h(a)=t(b)$ is the common vertex of a and b in Q. Then $\deg\alpha$ is odd. The other cases are similar.



FIGURE 13. Holomorphic polygons corresponding to paths opp_1 and opp_2 in $\check{Q}$

Set $\eta := \mathscr{F}_1^{\mathbb{L}}(\alpha)$, the image of the morphism α under our functor. Since $\eta : \big(A\cdot v_{h(a)} \oplus A\cdot v_{t(a)}\big) \to \big(A\cdot v_{h(b)} \oplus A\cdot v_{t(b)}\big)$ is linear over A and so is $\zeta : (A\cdot h(a) \oplus A\cdot t(a)) \to (A\cdot h(b) \oplus A\cdot t(b))$, it suffices to show that

$$\eta(v_{t(a)}) = \zeta(t(a)) \ (= \mathrm{opp}_1, \text{ identified with } \mathrm{opp}_1 \cdot v_{h(b)}) \quad \text{and}$$
$$\eta(v_{h(a)}) = \zeta(h(a)) \ (= \mathrm{opp}_2, \text{ identified with } \mathrm{opp}_2 \cdot v_{t(b)})$$

up to overall sign change. (Recall from the proof of Proposition 10.29 that the isomorphism $P_{L_a} \to P_a$ identifies $v_{h(a)}$ with $h(a)$ and $v_{t(a)}$ with $t(a)$, and does the similar for b.) We only show the first identity "$\eta(v_{t(a)}) = \mathrm{opp}_1$" in detail as the proof for the second identity is essentially identical. Recall that $\eta(v_{t(a)}) = m_2^{b,0,0}(v_{t(a)}, \alpha) = \sum_k m_k(b, \cdots, b, v_{t(a)}, \alpha)$.

By definition of mirror quiver (Definition 10.15), the zigzag cycle containing $a_k a_{k-1} \cdots a_1 a_0$ (with $a_0 = a$ and $a_k = b$) in $Q^\vee$ corresponds to the vertex v in Q, where we used the fact that $Q = (Q^\vee)^\vee$. In the mirror Q of $Q^\vee$, the counter part of the zigzag path $a_0 \cdots a_k$ and opp_i ($i = 1, 2$) in $Q^\vee$ have the following configuration. The positive cycle $\tilde{F}_i^+$ in Q mirror to each positive cycle F_i^+ (for even i) contains the vertex v, while no two such cycles in Q share an arrow. The same happens for the mirror cycles $\tilde{F}_i^-$ to F_i^- (for odd i). If we walk around the vertex v in Q counterclockwise, we meet $\tilde{F}_{k-1}^+, \tilde{F}_{k-2}^-, \tilde{F}_{k-3}^+, \cdots, \tilde{F}_2^+, \tilde{F}_1^-, \tilde{F}_0^+$ in order. The arrow a_i in Q sit between two consecutive cycles $\tilde{F}_i^{\pm}, \tilde{F}_{i-1}^{\mp}$ in this sequence. (See right side of Figure 13).

Now, the path opp_1 in $Q^\vee$ gives rise to a piecewise smooth curve γ contained in $\mathbb{L}$ whose corners lie only on arrows of $\tilde{F}_i^+$ for even i. More precisely, γ turns at each middle point of arrows in $\tilde{F}_i^+$ except a_i and a_{i+1}. (See the dotted curve in upper right diagram in Figure 13.) Observe that γ intersects both L_a and L_b once. Checking the degrees of intersections, we see that they should be $v_{t(a)}$ and $v_{h(b)}$, respectively. Therefore, we have a bounded domain in Σ enclosed by γ and L_a and L_b. Since α is a minimal Hamiltonian chord from L_a to L_b around the puncture v in Σ, it lies in this bounded domain. Thus, after

chopping off the corner around v along α, the domain become a holomorphic disc T_1 contributing to $m_2^{b,0,0}(v_{t(a)},\alpha)$, and obviously there are no other contributions due to punctures. Note that the corners of T_1 along $\mathbb{L}$ is precisely opp_1. Therefore, this disc defines a map

$$\eta : v_{t(a)} \mapsto \pm \mathrm{opp}_1 \cdot v_{h(b)}. \tag{10.2}$$

Similar procedure produces a holomorphic disc T_2 which gives rise to

$$\eta(v_{h(a)}) = \pm \mathrm{opp}_2 \cdot v_{t(b)} (= \pm\zeta(h(a))). \tag{10.3}$$

We finally show that the signs in (10.2) and (10.3) are the same. We divide the computation for the sign difference in the following two steps.

(i) Ignoring special points, the sign of T_1 is positive since its boundary orientation agrees with that of L_a, L_b and $\mathbb{L}$. The boundary orientation of T_2 agree with those of Lagrangians only along L_a and L_b. So, the sign of T_2 is $(-1)^{|\mathrm{opp}_2|}$ where $|\mathrm{opp}_2|$ is the number of arrows contained in opp_2. Observe that

$$|\mathrm{opp}_2| \equiv \sum_i |\tilde{F}_i^-| \mod 2 \tag{10.4}$$

where $|\tilde{F}_i^-|$ is the number of edges of $\tilde{F}_i^-$.

(ii) Now, we take the special points into account. Recall that precisely one special point is placed on the boundary of each negatively oriented polygon (for the potential) with the odd number of edges. Therefore, the sign difference between T_1 and T_2 due to special points is

$$(-1)^{\sum_{|\tilde{F}_i^-|\equiv 1} 1} \tag{10.5}$$

where the sum in the exponent is taken over all $\tilde{F}_i^-$ with the odd number of edges.

It is easy to see that the sign differences (10.4) and (10.5) cancel with each other, which completes the proof. □

In what follows we show that the functor is an embedding assuming that $Q^\vee$ is zigzag consistent using the following result of Bocklandt on matrix factorization category. We first recall the zigzag consistency condition introduced by Bocklandt. He showed that the Jacobi algebra of a zigzag consistent dimer is 3 Calabi-Yau [Boc, Theorem 4.4]. Similar results were obtained by Issii and Ueda [IU11]. For the construction of generalized mirrors and functors we do not need this condition. However, the condition is needed in the B-side to ensure the functor is an embedding (see Section 10.6).

Definition 10.32 (Zigzag consistency [Boc12])**.** *A dimer model is called zigzag consistent if for each arrow e, the zig and the zag rays at e in the universal cover only meet at e.*

Proposition 10.33 ([Boc])**.** *Suppose $Q^\vee$ is zigzag consistent. Then for every pair of arrows a and b, the set $\{\zeta^{(i)} : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ defined in Definition 10.30 forms a basis of the cohomology $H^*(\mathrm{Hom}(P_a,P_b),\delta)$. In particular $H^*(\mathrm{Hom}(P_a,P_b),\delta)$ vanishes when a and b do not share a common vertex in Q.*

As a consequence, when a and b share a common vertex in Q, the induced map on cohomologies $\mathrm{Hom}(U_a,U_b) \to H^*(\mathrm{Hom}(P_a,P_b),\delta)$ is an isomorphism. When a and b do not share a common vertex in Q, the statement remains true since both sides are zero. Hence we have

Corollary 10.34. *Suppose $Q^\vee$ is zigzag consistent. Then the functor $\mathscr{F} : \mathrm{Fuk}(\Sigma - Q_0) \to \mathrm{MF}(\mathrm{Jac}(Q^\vee), W)$ is an embedding.*

11. Mirrors of Calabi-Yau threefolds associated with quadratic differentials

The mirror construction and the natural functor formulated in this paper has important applications to Calabi-Yau threefolds associated to Hitchin systems constructed by Diaconescu-Donagi-Pantev [DDP07]. Using the functor, Fukaya categories of the Calabi-Yau threefolds are transformed to derived categories of certain Calabi-Yau algebras known as the Ginzburg algebras [Gin]. In this paper we will focus on the $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ case. Calabi-Yau algebra has the advantage of being more linear and was rather well-understood in existing literature, see for instance [KS09, KS, CBEG07, LF09]. In particular stability conditions and wall-crossing of Donaldson-Thomas invariants of the Fukaya categories can be understood by using Ginzburg algebras and the functor. Kontsevich-Soibelman [KS] developed a deep theory on the stability structures on Calabi-Yau algebras in dimension three and their relations with cluster algebras.

Recent mathematical studies in this direction were largely motivated by the works of Gaiotto-Moore-Neitzke [GMN10, GMN13b, GMN13a], who studied wall-crossing of BPS states over the moduli spaces of Hitchin systems and the relations with hyper-Kähler metrics. Mathematically the BPS states are stable objects of Fukaya categories of the associated (non-compact) Calabi-Yau threefolds. Later Smith [Smi] computed the Fukaya categories for SL(2) systems, and constructed an embedding by hand from the Fukaya categories to derived categories of Ginzburg algebras. Moreover Bridgeland-Smith [BS15] provided a detailed study of stability conditions of derived categories of Ginzburg algebras. Furthermore Fock-Goncharov [FG06, FG09] provided a combinatorial approach to study $\mathrm{SL}(m)$ (or $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{GL}(m)$) systems for general m using techniques of cluster algebras.

The approach taken in this paper is constructive and functorial. We apply our general construction to produce the noncommutative mirror $\mathscr{A} = \widehat{\Lambda Q}/(\partial\Phi)$. Then it automatically follows from our general theory that there exists a god-given injective functor from the Fukaya category to the mirror derived category (which is in the reverse direction of the embedding by Smith). The functor is the crucial object for studying stability conditions and Donaldson-Thomas invariants for the Fukaya category.

The computations in this section rely essentially on [Smi]. From the viewpoint of this paper, the quiver algebra $\mathscr{A}$ can be regarded as a mirror of X, in the sense that Lagrangian submanifolds of X (symplectic geometry) are transformed to quiver representations (algebraic geometry).

Remark 11.1. *The notations for the superpotentials here are different from that in* [Smi]. *The spacetime superpotential Φ here was denoted by W in* [Smi]. *In this paper W denotes the worldsheet superpotential, which vanishes in this case.*

11.1. **Non-compact Calabi-Yau threefolds associated with quadratic differentials.** We first briefly recall the Calabi-Yau geometry from [DDP07, Smi]. Let S be a closed Riemann surface of genus $g(S) > 0$, with a set $M \neq \emptyset$ of marked points. An $\mathrm{SL}(m,\mathbb{C})$ Hitchin system on (S,P) is the data (E,D,ϕ) where E is a holomorphic vector bundle with a Hermitian metric, D is the metric connection, and $\phi \in \Omega^1(\mathrm{End}E)$ satisfies that $D+\phi$ is a flat connection (with certain asymptotic behavior at the marked points). The set of eigenvalues of the one-form valued skew-Hermitian matrix ϕ produce a spectral curve $\Sigma \subset K_S$, whose defining equation takes the form

$$\eta^m + \sum_{r=2}^{m} \phi_r \cdot \eta^{m-r} = 0 \tag{11.1}$$

for $\eta \in K_S$, where ϕ_r are meromorphic r-differentials, that is sections of $K_S^{\otimes r}$, with poles at P. The projection $K_S \to S$ restricts to a ramified $m:1$ covering map $\Sigma \to S$.

The *associated (non-compact) Calabi-Yau threefold X is a conic fibration* over the total space of K_S with discriminant locus being $\Sigma \subset K_C$. Roughly speaking it is defined by the equation

$$uv = \eta^m + \sum_{r=2}^{m} \phi_r \cdot \eta^{m-r}.$$

To make sense of the above equation, u, v should be taken to be sections of some suitable bundles. Moreover since ϕ_r are meromorphic, u, v are also meromorphic. This means we need to modify the bundles by tensoring the divisor line bundles associated to P. This was carefully carried out by Smith [Smi] for $m = 2$, which is recalled below for the readers' convenience. From now on we focus on the case $m = 2$, and so $\phi = \phi_2$ is a quadratic differential.

Assume that the meromorphic quadratic differential ϕ only has zeroes of order one, and has poles of order two at the set of marked points M. The Calabi-Yau threefold is locally defined by a quadratic equation $uv - \eta^2 = \varphi(z)$ (away from poles) where the quadratic differential on S is locally written as $\phi(z) = \varphi(z)dz^{\otimes 2}$.

In order to make sense of this equation globally, one can consider a rank-two vector bundle V over S with the property that $\det V = K_S(M)$. Denote S^2V to be the symmetric square of V. Any map $V \to V^*$ induces a map $\det V \to \det V^*$. Hence we have the determinant map $\det : S^2V \to (\det V)^{\otimes 2} = K_S(M)^{\otimes 2}$ which is a quadratic function over each fiber of S^2V (and u, v, η above are fiber coordinates of S^2V). Since φ can be identified as a section of $K_S(M)^{\otimes 2}$, the equation

$$\det = \pi^* \varphi$$

makes sense where π is the bundle map $K_S(M)^{\otimes 2} \to S$.

In order to specify the poles of u, v, η and ensure the Calabi-Yau property, Smith made a modification of the bundle S^2V as follows. Let W be a rank 3 vector bundle defined by the exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \to W \to S^2V \to (\iota_M)_* \mathbb{C} \to 0$$

where $(\iota_M)_*\mathbb{C}$ is the skyscraper sheaf supported at the poles of ϕ, and the map $S^2V \to (\iota_M)_*\mathbb{C}$ around each $p \in M$ is defined by $(u, v, \eta) \mapsto u(p)$, where a choice of local trivialization of V at each $p \in M$ is fixed and (u, v, η) are the corresponding local fiber coordinates of S^2V. It essentially means that the local section u is allowed to have a simple pole at p. The map det can be pulled back from S^2V to W and hence the above equation also makes sense for W.

Proposition 11.2 (Lemma 3.5 of [Smi])**.** *$X := \{\det = \pi^*\varphi\} \subset W$ is a Calabi-Yau threefold, namely it has a trivial canonical line bundle.*

We have a natural fibration $X \to S$ which is the restriction of the bundle map $W \to S$. A fiber over $p \in S$ is a smooth conic $u^2 + v^2 + \eta^2 = t$ for $t \neq 0$ when p is neither a zero nor a pole; it is a degenerate conic $u^2 + v^2 + \eta^2 = 0$ when p is a simple zero; it is a disjoint union of two complex planes $\mathbb{C}^2 \coprod \mathbb{C}^2$ defined by $u^2 = c$ (which is a conic with its real locus deleted, as the real locus goes to infinity) when p is a double pole.

11.2. **Generalized mirrors.** Recall that we need to fix a set of Lagrangians in order to construct the generalized mirror. In the current situation the quadratic differential ϕ on S gives a collection of Lagrangian spheres $\{L_i\}$ in X. It is called to be a WKB collection of spheres whose associated A_∞-algebra was computed by [Smi]. It is briefly summarized as follows. See Figure 14a.

It is classically known from the theory of quadratic differentials that a triangulation can be obtained from a quadratic differential. This was a main ingredient in the work of Gaiotto-Moore-Neitzke [GMN13b] and Bridgeland-Smith [BS15].



(A) A triangulation induced from a quadratic differential.

(B) The corresponding quiver Q.

FIGURE 14. On the left, dots are double poles and crosses are simple zeroes of a quadratic differential. The edges of the induced triangulation are shown by dotted lines. The solid lines show the dual graph, which are images of Lagrangian spheres upstairs labeled by L_k. L_k correspond to the vertices v_k of the quiver Q on the right, and arrows in Q correspond to degree-one morphisms among L_k's.

Definition 11.3 (Triangulation induced from quadratic differential)**.** *The triangulation induced from a quadratic differential ϕ is defined as follows. The quadratic differential ϕ defines a horizontal foliation on S, which consists of horizontal trajectories γ such that $\phi|_\gamma$ are real-valued. Assume that ϕ is generically chosen such that it is saddle-free, which means that there is no horizontal trajectory connecting two zeroes. Now consider the finite set of all separating trajectories, which are horizontal trajectories connecting double poles with zeroes. The image divide the surface S into finitely many chambers. In each chamber fix one generic trajectory connecting two double poles. The finite set of all such chosen generic trajectories gives a triangulation of S whose vertices are double poles.*

For simplicity assume that there is no self-folded triangle (see [Smi, Lemma 3.21]). Then the WKB collection of spheres is defined as follows.

Definition 11.4 (WKB collection of spheres)**.** *The WKB collection of Lagrangian spheres $\{L_i\}$ associated to a quadratic differential is defined as follows. There is one simple zero in each triangle of the associated triangulation. Take the embedded dual graph of the triangulation, whose vertices are simple zeroes and whose edges are paths connecting simple zeroes. It gives the so-called Lagrangian cellulation of the Riemann surface S. The edges are called to be matching paths, and each of them corresponds to a Lagrangian sphere in the threefold X. The WKB collection is the set of all these Lagrangian spheres.*

By Lemma 4.4 of [Smi], $\{L_i\}$ are graded such that for each zero of ϕ corresponding to an intersection point of three Lagrangian spheres $(L_{i_1}, L_{i_2}, L_{i_3})$ ordered clockwise around the zero, the morphism from L_{i_p} to $L_{i_{p+1}}$ has degree one and that from $L_{i_{p+1}}$ back to L_{i_p} has degree two (where $p \in \mathbb{Z}_3$).

Intuitively given a matching path, the Lagrangian is obtained by taking union of the real loci of the conic fibers over each point of the matching path. The real loci are two-spheres over interior points of the path, which degenerate to points over the two boundary points of the path, and hence the resulting Lagrangian is topologically a three-sphere.

Following Bridgeland-Smith [BS15], define a quiver Q below. See Figure 14b.

Definition 11.5 (The associated quiver Q)**.** *For each triangle Δ of the triangulation mentioned above, take a triangle Δ' inscribed in Δ. Moreover the edges of Δ' are oriented clockwise. Define a quiver Q which is the*

union of all the inscribed triangles: a vertex of Q is a vertex of one of the inscribed triangles, and an arrow of Q is an oriented edge of an inscribed triangle.

For each zero f of ϕ, define $T(f)$ to be the boundary path (which has length three) of the corresponding inscribed triangle. For each pole p of ϕ, define $C(p)$ to be the corresponding cycle of Q which is counter-clockwise oriented.

Now take $\mathbb{L} = \{L_i\}$ to be the reference Lagrangians and carry out the mirror construction given in Section 6. The following essentially follows from the computations of [Smi].

Theorem 11.6. *The generalized mirror of the non-compact Calabi-Yau threefold X corresponding to the above choice of reference Lagrangians $\mathbb{L} = \{L_i\}$ is given by*

$$\mathscr{A} = \widehat{\Lambda Q}/\langle \partial_e \Phi : e \text{ is an arrow of } Q\rangle$$

(with the worldsheet superpotential $W \equiv 0$) where Q is defined in Definition 11.5 and

$$\Phi := \sum_{zero\, f} T(f) - \sum_{pole\, p} n_p \mathbf{T}^{A_p} C(p) + \sum_{k\in K} n_k \mathbf{T}^{A(U_k)} U_k,$$

$n_p, n_k \in \mathbb{Q} - \{0\}$ and $A_p, A(U_k) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, K is a certain index set, U_k are loops in $\widehat{\Lambda Q}$ which are different from $C(p)$ and $T(f)$. Note that Φ is cyclic. It is understood that the above expression is given in terms of cyclic representatives, and differentiation is taken cyclically.

Proof. From the definition of Q (Definition 11.5) and the chosen grading on $\{L_i\}$ (Definition 11.4), it is clear that the vertices of Q correspond to L_i and the arrows of Q correspond to degree-one morphisms among $\{L_i\}$. Let's denote the generators of the Floer complex of $\mathbb{L}$ by X_e which are the degree-one morphisms corresponding to each arrow e of Q, and $X_{\bar{e}}$ which are the degree-two morphisms corresponding to each reversed arrow $\bar{e}$, and T_i which are the point classes of L_i, and $\mathbf{1}_{L_i}$ which are the fundamental classes of L_i. The generalized mirror takes the form $(\widehat{\Lambda Q}/I, W)$, where I is the ideal generated by weakly unobstructed relations, which are the coefficients $P_{\bar{e}}$ of $X_{\bar{e}}$ in

$$m_0^{b=\sum_e x_e X_e} = \sum_i W_i \mathbf{1}_{L_i} + \sum_e P_{\bar{e}} X_{\bar{e}}$$

and $W = \sum_i W_i$. In the above expression e runs over all the arrows of Q, X_e are the corresponding degree-one morphisms and $X_{\bar{e}}$ are the corresponding reversed degree-two morphisms.

In this Calabi-Yau situation, by Proposition 9.2 we have $W = 0$. Fix an edge e of Q and consider

$$P_{\bar{e}} = \sum_{k\geq 0} \sum_{(X_{e_1},\ldots,X_{e_k})} x_{e_k} \ldots x_{e_1} \left(m_k(X_{e_1},\ldots,X_{e_k}), e\right).$$

Suppose β is a polygon class contributing to $m_k(X_{e_1},\ldots,X_{e_k})$. The input morphisms have degree one and the output morphism has degree two. If β is a constant polygon class, then it is supported at certain an intersection point of three Lagrangian spheres in $\{L_i\}$ corresponding to a zero of ϕ. By degree reason, β is a class of constant triangles with two inputs of degree one and an output of degree two, and the counting is one by [Smi, Lemma 4.8]. It gives the term $x_{e_2}x_{e_1}$ in $P_{\bar{e}}$ where (X_{e_1}, X_{e_2}, X_e) are the three degree-one morphisms at the same zero f of ϕ labeled clockwise. It equals to $\partial_e T(f)$.

If β is a non-constant polygon class, it is projected to a non-constant polygon class in the surface S bounded by matching paths (since the intersection of L_i with a fiber is the real locus in a smooth conic which do not bound any non-constant holomorphic disc). In particular it includes the term $n_p \mathbf{T}^{A_p} x_{e_k} \ldots x_{e_1}$, where $X_{e_1} \ldots X_{e_k} X_e$ is a cycle in Q corresponding to a pole of ϕ, n_p is the counting and A_p is the symplectic area of β. By [Smi, Lemma 4.10], $n_p \neq 0$. The term equals to $\partial_e (n_p \mathbf{T}^{A_p} C(p))$. All the other terms are denoted by U_k.

Consequently, $P_{\bar{e}} = \partial_e \Phi$ and result follows. □

We can also carry out the extended mirror construction given by Section 9 which uses formal deformations in all degrees rather than just odd-degrees.

Theorem 11.7. *The extended generalized mirror of the non-compact Calabi-Yau threefold X is the Ginzburg dg algebra $(\Lambda\tilde{Q}, d)$ where $\tilde{Q}$ is the doubling of Q by adding to Q a reversed edge $\bar{e}$ for each edge e of Q, and adding a loop t at each vertex of Q; d is the derivation defined by*

$$d t_i = \sum_e v_i [x_e, x_{\bar{e}}] v_i,\ d x_{\bar{e}} = \partial_{x_e}\Phi,\ d x_e = 0.$$

Proof. Let's denote the generators of the Floer complex of $\mathbb{L}$ by X_e which are the degree-one morphisms corresponding to each arrow e of Q, $X_{\bar{e}}$ which are the degree-two morphisms corresponding to each reversed arrow $\bar{e}$, T_i which are the point classes of L_i, and $\mathbf{1}_{L_i}$ which are the fundamental classes of L_i. The extended generalized mirror uses deformations of $\mathbb{L}$ in all directions except those along fundamental classes. In particular from Definition 9.1, the corresponding quiver is $\tilde{Q}$ described above.

Consider the deformation $\tilde{b} = \sum_e x_e X_e + \sum_e x_{\bar{e}} X_{\bar{e}} + \sum_i t_i T_i$ where $\deg x_e = 0$, $\deg x_{\bar{e}} = -1$ and $\deg t_i = -2$ such that $\tilde{b}$ has overall degree 1. We need to compute

$$m_0^{\tilde{b}} = \sum_i \tilde{W}_i \mathbf{1}_{L_i} + \sum_i (d t_i) T_i + \sum_e (d x_{\bar{e}}) X_{\bar{e}} + \sum_e (d x_e) X_e$$

which has overall degree 2. By Proposition 9.8, $\tilde{W}_i = 0$. Also by degree reason $d x_e = 0$.

Consider the coefficient $d t_i$. Only constant polygons can contribute to the output T_i since the output marked point is unconstrained and no non-constant disc can be rigid. Such constant polygons are supported at an intersection point of L_i with another Lagrangian sphere. By degree reason, only $m_2(X_e, X_{\bar{e}})$ or $m_2(X_{\bar{e}}, X_e)$ contributes, where e is an arrow from or to v_i respectively, and hence $d t_i = \sum_e v_i [x_e, x_{\bar{e}}] v_i$.

For $d x_{\bar{e}}$ which has degree zero, only degree-zero variables can appear. This means we only need to consider polygons with degree one morphisms to be inputs (and the degree-two morphism $X_{\bar{e}}$ to be the output). Thus we only need to consider m_0^b and result follows from Theorem 11.6. □

The derived category on the mirror side can be described using either the Ginzburg algebra $(\Lambda\tilde{Q}, d)$ or the Jacobi algebra (its d-cohomology) $\mathscr{A} = \widehat{\Lambda Q}/\langle \partial_e \Phi \rangle$. Namely by Lemma 5.2 of [KY11], the derived category of finite-dimensional dg modules of $(\Lambda\tilde{Q}, d)$, which is a CY^3 triangulated category by [Kel08], has a canonical bounded t-structure, whose heart is the category of nilpotent representations of $\mathscr{A}$.

11.3. **Mirror functor.** From Section 6.4 we have a mirror functor

$$\mathrm{Fuk}(X) \to \mathrm{dg}(\mathscr{A}) = \mathrm{MF}(\mathscr{A}, W = 0).$$

In this subsection we describe the mirror functor explicitly, namely we compute the quiver module mirror to a Lagrangian matching sphere L_0 in a WKB collection $\mathbb{L}$.

The mirror quiver module is by definition $\mathscr{F}((\mathbb{L}, b), L_0)$ together with the differential $m_1^{(b,0)}$. L_0 intersects with five Lagrangian spheres in the WKB collection, namely, L_0 itself, and four other Lagrangian spheres $L_1, \dots, L_4$ as shown in Figure 14a. Thus the mirror module is

$$\check{L}_0 = P_0 \cdot \mathbf{1}_0 \oplus P_0 \cdot T_0 \oplus P_2 \cdot X_{\overrightarrow{v_2 v_0}} \oplus P_4 \cdot X_{\overrightarrow{v_4 v_0}} \oplus P_1 \cdot X_{\overleftarrow{v_0 v_1}} \oplus P_3 \cdot X_{\overleftarrow{v_0 v_3}}$$

where $P_i := \widehat{\Lambda Q} \cdot v_i$ for each vertex v_i, and $\overrightarrow{vw}$ denotes the edge from vertex v to vertex w in Q and $\overleftarrow{vw}$ denotes the reversed arrow in the extended quiver $\tilde{Q}$. Recall that $X_{\overrightarrow{vw}}$ has degree 1 and $X_{\overleftarrow{vw}}$ has degree 2. Moreover $\mathbf{1}_0$ has degree 0 and T_0 has degree 3. (Also recall that x_e has degree zero for all edges e of Q, and hence elements in P_i has degree 0.)

We need to compute the differential $d_{\check{L}_0}$ of the module $\check{L}_0$, which is defined by $m_1^{(\mathbb{L},b),L_0}$.

Proposition 11.8. *L_0 is transformed to the dg module $(\check{L}_0, d_{\check{L}_0})$ under the mirror functor, where $\check{L}_0$ is given above and $d_{\check{L}_0} : \check{L}_0 \to \check{L}_0$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{\check{L}_0}(\mathbf{1}_0) &= x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}} X_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}} + x_{\overrightarrow{v_4v_0}} X_{\overrightarrow{v_4v_0}} \\
d_{\check{L}_0}(X_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}}) &= (x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_2}} + \alpha \cdot x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_8}}) X_{\overleftarrow{v_0v_1}} - \mathfrak{p}_1 X_{\overleftarrow{v_0v_3}} \\
d_{\check{L}_0}(X_{\overrightarrow{v_4v_0}}) &= -\mathfrak{p}_2 X_{\overleftarrow{v_0v_1}} + (x_{\overrightarrow{v_3v_4}} + \beta \cdot x_{\overrightarrow{v_3v_9}}) X_{\overleftarrow{v_0v_3}} \\
d_{\check{L}_0}(X_{\overleftarrow{v_0v_1}}) &= x_{\overrightarrow{v_0v_1}} T_0 \\
d_{\check{L}_0}(X_{\overleftarrow{v_0v_3}}) &= x_{\overrightarrow{v_0v_3}} T_0 \\
d_{\check{L}_0}(T_0) &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

for some $\alpha \in v_2 \cdot \mathscr{A} \cdot v_8$, $\beta \in v_4 \cdot \mathscr{A} \cdot v_9$, $\mathfrak{p}_1 \in v_2 \cdot \mathscr{A} \cdot v_3$, $\mathfrak{p}_2 \in v_4 \cdot \mathscr{A} \cdot v_1$. The leading order terms of $\mathfrak{p}_i$ are $n_i \mathbf{T}^{A_i} p_i$ respectively, where $n_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, $A_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, p_1 is the path from v_3 to v_2 such that $p_1 \cdot \overrightarrow{v_0v_3} \cdot \overrightarrow{v_2v_0}$ is a loop in Q which contributes to a pole term in Φ, and p_2 is the path from v_1 to v_4 such that $p_2 \cdot \overrightarrow{v_0v_2} \cdot \overrightarrow{v_4v_0}$ is a loop in Q which contributes to a pole term in Φ.

Proof. The differential is computed using Theorem 11.7, which gives an explicit expression of $m_0^{\check{b}}$. The first equality follows from $m_2(X, \mathbf{1}_0) = X$. The second and third equalities follows from the expressions of $m_k(X_{e_1}, \dots, X_{e_k})$. The higher order terms of Φ correspond to the terms $\alpha \cdot x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_8}}$, $\beta \cdot x_{\overrightarrow{v_3v_9}}$, $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2$. The fourth and fifth equalities come from $m_2(X_{\overrightarrow{v_0v_i}}, \overleftarrow{v_0v_i}) = T_0$ for $i = 1, 3$. The last equality is due to $\deg T_0 = 3$ which is the highest degree. □

Taking the cohomology, we have

Proposition 11.9. *The cohomology of the dg module $(\check{L}_0, d_{\check{L}_0})$ mirror to L_0 equals to*

$$H^i(d_{\check{L}_0}) = \begin{cases} \Lambda \cdot T_0 & \text{for } i = 3; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. First we compute H^0, which is the kernel of the map $d_{\check{L}_0} : P_0 \mathbf{1}_0 \to P_2 X_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}} \oplus P_4 X_{\overrightarrow{v_4v_0}}$ defined by $c\mathbf{1}_0 \mapsto c x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}} X_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}} + c x_{\overrightarrow{v_4v_0}} X_{\overrightarrow{v_4v_0}}$ for $c \in P_0$. Suppose $c \neq 0$. Since $c x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}} = 0$ but $c \neq 0$ in $\widehat{\Lambda Q}/R$, $c x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}} \in \widehat{\Lambda Q} \cdot \{\partial_i \Phi\}$. The only elements in $\{\partial_i \Phi\}$ containing terms of the form $\dots x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}}$ are

$$\partial_{x_{\overrightarrow{v_5v_2}}} \Phi = x_{\overrightarrow{v_6v_5}} x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_6}} + p x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}} + \dots$$

and

$$\partial_{x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_2}}} \Phi = x_{\overrightarrow{v_0v_1}} x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}} + q x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_6}} + \dots$$

where the first two terms correspond to polygons of the quiver. In order to get rid of the term $x_{\overrightarrow{v_6v_5}} x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_6}}$ or $q x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_6}}$, we have to subtract by

$$(\partial_{x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_6}}} \Phi) \cdot x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_6}} = x_{\overrightarrow{v_5v_2}} x_{\overrightarrow{v_6v_5}} x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_6}} + x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_2}} q x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_6}} + \dots$$

Hence $c x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}}$ must be of the form $u \cdot \left(x_{\overrightarrow{v_5v_2}} \partial_{x_{\overrightarrow{v_5v_2}}} \Phi + x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_2}} \partial_{x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_2}}} \Phi - (\partial_{x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_6}}} \Phi) x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_6}} \right)$ for some u. But

$$x_{\overrightarrow{v_5v_2}} \partial_{x_{\overrightarrow{v_5v_2}}} \Phi + x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_2}} \partial_{x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_2}}} \Phi = (\partial_{x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_6}}} \Phi) x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_6}} + (\partial_{x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}}} \Phi) x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}}$$

since both the left and right hand sides are sums of all the polygons with one of its sides bounded by L_2. Thus $c x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}} = u \cdot (\partial_{x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}}} \Phi) x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}}$, implying that c itself is zero in $\widehat{\Lambda Q}/R$, a contradiction. Hence $H^0 = 0$.

Now we compute H^1. First consider the kernel of the map $d_{\check{L}_0} : P_2 \cdot X_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}} \oplus P_4 \cdot X_{\overrightarrow{v_4v_0}} \to P_1 \cdot X_{\overleftarrow{v_0v_1}} \oplus P_3 \cdot X_{\overleftarrow{v_0v_3}}$ given by

$$h X_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}} + k X_{\overrightarrow{v_4v_0}} \mapsto (h(x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_2}} + \alpha \cdot x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_8}}) - k\mathfrak{p}_2) X_{\overleftarrow{v_0v_1}} + (-h\mathfrak{p}_1 + k(x_{\overrightarrow{v_3v_4}} + \beta \cdot x_{\overrightarrow{v_3v_9}})) X_{\overleftarrow{v_0v_3}}.$$

We only need to consider the case $(h,k) \neq (0,0) \in (\widehat{\Lambda Q}/R)^2$. In order to be in the kernel, $h=0$ if and only if $k=0$. Thus neither h nor k is zero. We have $h(x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_2}} + \alpha \cdot x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_8}}) - k\mathfrak{p}_2, -h\mathfrak{p}_1 + k(x_{\overrightarrow{v_3v_4}} + \beta \cdot x_{\overrightarrow{v_3v_9}}) \in \widehat{\Lambda Q} \cdot \{\partial_i \Phi\}$.

Note that since $\mathfrak{p}_2 \in v_4 \cdot \widehat{\Lambda Q} \cdot v_1$, it can be written as

$$\mathfrak{p}_2 = a \cdot x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_8}} + b \cdot x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_2}}$$

for some $a \in v_4 \cdot \widehat{\Lambda Q} \cdot v_8$ and $b \in v_4 \cdot \widehat{\Lambda Q} \cdot v_2$. The leading order term of a is the path a_0 such that $x_{\overrightarrow{v_4v_0}} a_0 x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_8}} x_{\overrightarrow{v_0v_1}}$ corresponds to a polygon of Q. The only elements in $\{\partial_i \Phi\}$ containing terms of the form $\dots x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_2}}$ or $\dots x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_8}}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{x_{\overrightarrow{v_0v_1}}} \Phi &= x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}} x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_2}} - x_{\overrightarrow{v_4v_0}} \mathfrak{p}_2 + x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}} \cdot \alpha \cdot x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_8}}, \\
\partial_{x_{\overrightarrow{v_7v_1}}} \Phi &= x_{\overrightarrow{v_8v_7}} x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_8}} - x_{\overrightarrow{v_{10}v_7}} \mathfrak{p} + x_{\overrightarrow{v_8v_7}} \gamma \cdot x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(h-kb)x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_2}} + (-ka+h\alpha)x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_8}} = \lambda(x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}} x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_2}} - x_{\overrightarrow{v_4v_0}} \mathfrak{p}_2 + x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}} \cdot \alpha \cdot x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_8}}) + \mu(x_{\overrightarrow{v_8v_7}} x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_8}} - x_{\overrightarrow{v_{10}v_7}} \mathfrak{p} + x_{\overrightarrow{v_8v_7}} \gamma \cdot x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_2}})$$

for some $\lambda \in \widehat{\Lambda Q} \cdot v_0$ and $\mu \in \widehat{\Lambda Q} \cdot v_7$. Consider the terms $(\dots x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_8}})$ in the above equation. It gives

$$(k - \lambda x_{\overrightarrow{v_4v_0}})a + (\lambda x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}} - h)\alpha + \mu(x_{\overrightarrow{v_8v_7}} - x_{\overrightarrow{v_{10}v_7}} \mathfrak{p}') = 0$$

where $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}' x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_8}} + \mathfrak{p}'' x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_2}}$. The leading order terms of $x_{\overrightarrow{v_8v_7}} - x_{\overrightarrow{v_{10}v_7}} \mathfrak{p}'$ is $x_{\overrightarrow{v_8v_7}}$, while the leading order term a_0 of a does not begin with $x_{\overrightarrow{v_8v_7}}$. If the leading order term of α does not begin with $x_{\overrightarrow{v_8v_7}}$, then the coefficient of a has to be zero: $k = \lambda x_{\overrightarrow{v_4v_0}}$. Otherwise $\mu = 0$.

Now consider the terms $(\dots x_{\overrightarrow{v_1v_2}})$. In the first case that $k = \lambda x_{\overrightarrow{v_4v_0}}$, it gives

$$h - \lambda x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}} = \mu(x_{\overrightarrow{v_8v_7}} \gamma - x_{\overrightarrow{v_{10}v_7}} \mathfrak{p}'').$$

Substituting back to the above equation $(\lambda x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}} - h)\alpha + \mu(x_{\overrightarrow{v_8v_7}} - x_{\overrightarrow{v_{10}v_7}} \mathfrak{p}') = 0$, we obtain

$$\mu(x_{\overrightarrow{v_8v_7}} \gamma - x_{\overrightarrow{v_{10}v_7}} \mathfrak{p}'')\alpha = \mu(x_{\overrightarrow{v_8v_7}} - x_{\overrightarrow{v_{10}v_7}} \mathfrak{p}').$$

The right hand side has the term $\mu \cdot x_{\overrightarrow{v_8v_7}}$ while the left hand side does not. It forces $\mu = 0$. As a result, we have

$$k = \lambda x_{\overrightarrow{v_4v_0}}, h = \lambda x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}}, \mu = 0.$$

Hence $hX_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}} + kX_{\overrightarrow{v_4v_0}} \in \mathrm{Im}(d_{\check{L}_0})$.

In the second case that $\mu = 0$, it gives

$$h - \lambda x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}} = (k - \lambda x_{\overrightarrow{v_4v_0}})b.$$

Substituting back to the above equation $(\lambda x_{\overrightarrow{v_2v_0}} - h)\alpha + (k - \lambda x_{\overrightarrow{v_4v_0}})a = 0$, we obtain

$$(k - \lambda x_{\overrightarrow{v_4v_0}})b\alpha = (k - \lambda x_{\overrightarrow{v_4v_0}})a.$$

But $b\alpha \neq a$ since the leading order term a_0 does not pass through v_2. Hence $k - \lambda x_{\overrightarrow{v_4v_0}}$, and the same conclusion follows.

A similar argument shows that $H^2 = 0$ and we will not repeat the argument. Now consider H^3, which is the cokernel of $d_{\check{L}_0} : P_1 \cdot X_{\overleftarrow{v_0v_1}} \oplus P_3 \cdot X_{\overleftarrow{v_0v_3}} \to P_0 T_0$ defined by

$$d_{\check{L}_0}(aX_{\overleftarrow{v_0v_1}} + bX_{\overleftarrow{v_0v_3}}) = (ax_{\overrightarrow{v_0v_1}} + bx_{\overrightarrow{v_0v_3}})T_0.$$

Any element in P_0 is of the form $cv_0 + ax_{\overrightarrow{v_0v_1}} + bx_{\overrightarrow{v_0v_3}}$ for some $c \in \Lambda$ and $a, b \in \widehat{\Lambda Q}$. Hence the cokernel is one dimensional, which is $H^3 = \Lambda \cdot T_0$. □

In summary, on cohomology level a Lagrangian sphere in a WKB collection is transformed to a simple module at the corresponding vertex under the mirror functor. Combining this result together with Theorem 6.10 on injectivity of the functor, and the result of [KY11] on the morphism spaces of the simple modules, we can conclude that

Theorem 11.10. *The mirror functor restricts to be an equivalence from the derived category generated by a WKB collection of Lagrangian spheres in the Fukaya category to the derived category of modules of the mirror.*

Proof. By Theorem 6.10, the induced functor on $\mathrm{HF}^*(L_i, L_j) \to H^*(\check{L}_i, \check{L}_j)$ is injective. By Proposition 11.9, we have $\check{L}_i$ being resolutions of the corresponding simple module S_i of the quiver (up to shifting). By Lemma 2.15 of [KY11], the morphism space from S_i to S_j is one-dimensional when v_i and v_j are adjacent vertices in Q with $i \neq j$, and is two-dimensional when $i = j$. These dimensions agree with $\mathrm{HF}^*(L_i, L_j)$ where L_i are unobstructed Lagrangian three-spheres. Hence the induced maps on $\mathrm{HF}^*(L_i, L_j) \to H^*(\check{L}_i, \check{L}_j)$ are indeed isomorphisms. Furthermore, the simple modules generate the derived category of the Ginzburg algebra corresponding to Q (see the proof of Theorem 2.19 in [KY11]. Hence the functor gives an equivalence between the derived category of WKB Lagrangian spheres and the derived category of the Ginzburg algebra. □

Appendix A. Theta function calculations

A.1. **Weak Maurer-Cartan relations for $\mathbb{P}^1_{3,3,3}$.** To obtain simpler expressions of A, B, C (5.5) in terms of theta functions, observe first that

$$\begin{aligned}
A(\lambda, t) &= q_0^{9t^2+6t+1} \lambda^{\frac{1+3t}{2}} \textstyle\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} (q_0^{36})^{k^2} \left(\lambda^3 (q_0^{36})^{(t+\frac{1}{3})}\right)^k \\
B(\lambda, t) &= q_0^{9t^2+6t+1} \lambda^{\frac{1+3t}{2}} \textstyle\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} (q_0^{36})^{(k+\frac{2}{3})^2} \left(\lambda^3 (q_0^{36})^{(t+\frac{1}{3})}\right)^{(k+\frac{2}{3})} \\
C(\lambda, t) &= q_0^{9t^2+6t+1} \lambda^{\frac{1+3t}{2}} \textstyle\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} (q_0^{36})^{(k+\frac{1}{3})^2} \left(\lambda^3 (q_0^{36})^{(t+\frac{1}{3})}\right)^{(k+\frac{1}{3})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda = e^{2\pi i s}$ and $q_{orb}^3 = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ (for $q_{orb} = q_0^8$, we have

$$q_0^{36} = e^{\pi i (3\tau)} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda^3 \left(q_0^{36}\right)^{(t+\frac{1}{3})} = e^{6\pi i \left(s + \tau\frac{t}{2} + \frac{\tau}{6}\right)} = e^{2\pi i (3u)}$$

where $u = s + \tau\frac{t}{2} + \frac{\tau}{6}$. Therefore, dividing A, B, C by a common factor, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
a(\lambda, t) &:= q_0^{-(3t+1)^2} \lambda^{-\frac{1+3t}{2}} A(\lambda, t) &&= \textstyle\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i (3\tau) k^2} e^{2\pi i k (3u)} && = \theta[0,0](3u, 3\tau) \\
b(\lambda, t) &:= q_0^{-(3t+1)^2} \lambda^{-\frac{1+3t}{2}} B(\lambda, t) &&= \textstyle\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i (3\tau)(k+\frac{2}{3})^2} e^{2\pi i (k+\frac{2}{3})(3u)} && = \theta\left[\tfrac{2}{3}, 0\right](3u, 3\tau) \\
c(\lambda, t) &:= q_0^{-(3t+1)^2} \lambda^{-\frac{1+3t}{2}} C(\lambda, t) &&= \textstyle\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i (3\tau)(k+\frac{1}{3})^2} e^{2\pi i (k+\frac{1}{3})(3u)} && = \theta\left[\tfrac{1}{3}, 0\right](3u, 3\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that the theta function above is defined as

$$\theta[a, b](w, \tau) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i \tau (m+a)^2} e^{2\pi i (m+a)(w+b)}.$$

Define $\Theta_j(u, \tau)_s := \theta\left[\frac{j}{s}, 0\right](su, s\tau)$ so that

$$a(u) = \Theta_0(u, \tau)_3, \quad b(u) = \Theta_2(u, \tau)_3, \quad c(u) = \Theta_1(u, \tau)_3.$$

See for e.g. [Dol, Theorem 3.2] to observe that $(a(u) : b(u) : c(u)) : E_\tau \to \mathbb{P}^2$ gives an embedding of the elliptic curve into the projective plane.

A.2. **Weak Maurer-Cartan relations for $\mathbb{P}^1_{2,2,2,2}$.** In order to simplify A, B, C, D (8.6), we use the following meromorphic function

$$f(z_1, z_2; \tau) = \sum_{(\alpha(z_1)+l)(\alpha(z_2)+k)>0} \mathrm{sign}(\alpha(z_1) + l) e^{2\pi i (\tau k l + l z_1 + k z_2)}$$

where $\alpha(z) = \mathrm{Im}(z)/\mathrm{Im}(\tau)$. f can be written as (constant multiple of) a ratio involving three theta functions as

$$f(z_1, z_2; \tau) = \frac{\theta'(\tau + 1/2, \tau)}{2\pi i} \cdot \frac{\theta(z_1 + z_2 - (\tau+1)/2, \tau)}{\theta(z_1 - (\tau+1)/2, \tau)\theta(z_2 - (\tau+1)/2, \tau)} \tag{A.1}$$

This function is well known from the literature (see for e.g. [Kro68]), and appeared in [Pol00] in connection with m_3-products on the Fukaya category of an elliptic curve.

Observe that A can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} A(\lambda, t) &= \sum_{k,l\geq 0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-2l-\frac{1}{2}} q_d^{(4k+1-2t)(4l+1)} - \sum_{k,l\geq 0}^{\infty} \lambda^{2l+\frac{3}{2}} q_d^{(4k+3+2t)(4l+3)} \\ &= \sum_{k,l\geq 0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-2l-\frac{1}{2}} q_d^{(4k+1-2t)(4l+1)} - \sum_{k,l\leq -1}^{-\infty} \lambda^{-2l-\frac{1}{2}} q_d^{(4k+1-2t)(4l+1)} \end{aligned}$$

We set $\tilde{\tau} = 2\tau$ and $\tilde{u}' = -2s - \tau t = -2s - \tilde{\tau}\frac{t}{2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(\lambda, t) &= q_d^{1-2t} e^{-\pi i s} \sum_{k,l\geq 0} \exp\left(2\pi i \left(\tilde{\tau} kl + l\left(-2s - \tilde{\tau}\left(\frac{t}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\right)\right) + k\left(\frac{\tilde{\tau}}{4}\right)\right)\right) \\ &\quad - q_d^{1-2t} e^{-\pi i s} \sum_{k,l\leq -1} \exp\left(2\pi i \left(\tilde{\tau} kl + l\left(-2s - \tilde{\tau}\left(\frac{t}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\right)\right) + k\left(\frac{\tilde{\tau}}{4}\right)\right)\right) \\ &= q_d e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}\tilde{u}'} \sum_{k,l\geq 0} \exp\left(2\pi i \left(\tilde{\tau} kl + l\left(\tilde{u}' + \frac{\tilde{\tau}}{4}\right) + k\left(\frac{\tilde{\tau}}{4}\right)\right)\right) \\ &\quad - q_d e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}\tilde{u}'} \sum_{k,l\leq -1} \exp\left(2\pi i \left(\tilde{\tau} kl + l\left(\tilde{u}' + \frac{\tilde{\tau}}{4}\right) + k\left(\frac{\tilde{\tau}}{4}\right)\right)\right) \\ &= q_d e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}\tilde{u}'} f\left(\tilde{u}' + \tfrac{\tilde{\tau}}{4}, \tfrac{\tilde{\tau}}{4}; \tilde{\tau}\right) \end{aligned}$$

since $\alpha\left(\tilde{u}' + \frac{\tilde{\tau}}{4}\right) = \mathrm{Im}\left(-2s - \tilde{\tau}\frac{t}{2} + \frac{\tilde{\tau}}{4}\right)/\mathrm{Im}(\tilde{\tau}) = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{t}{2}$ and $\alpha\left(\frac{\tilde{\tau}}{4}\right) = \frac{1}{4}$. Now, we apply (A.1) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A(\tilde{u}) &= \tilde{K} \frac{\theta(\tilde{u}' - \frac{1}{2}, \tilde{\tau})}{\theta(\tilde{u}' - (\tilde{\tau}+2)/4, \tilde{\tau})\theta(-(\tilde{\tau}+2)/4, \tilde{\tau})} \\ &= \tilde{K} \frac{\theta(\tilde{u}, \tilde{\tau})}{\theta\left(\tilde{u} - \frac{\tilde{\tau}}{4}, \tilde{\tau}\right)\theta\left(\tilde{u}_0 - \frac{\tilde{\tau}}{4}, \tilde{\tau}\right)} \\ &= \tilde{K} e^{-\pi i \tilde{\tau}} e^{-2\pi i(\tilde{u} + \tilde{u}_0)} \frac{\theta(\tilde{u}, \tilde{\tau})}{\theta\left(\tilde{u}_1 + \frac{3\tilde{\tau}}{4}, \tilde{\tau}\right)\theta\left(\tilde{u}_0 + \frac{3\tilde{\tau}}{4}, \tilde{\tau}\right)} \\ &= \tilde{K} e^{\pi i(\frac{9}{16}\tilde{\tau} + \frac{3}{2}\tilde{u})} e^{\pi i(\frac{9}{16}\tilde{\tau} + \frac{3}{2}\tilde{u}_0)} e^{-\pi i \tilde{\tau}} e^{-2\pi i(\tilde{u} + \tilde{u}_0)} \frac{\theta(\tilde{u}, \tilde{\tau})}{\theta\left[\frac{3}{4}, 0\right](\tilde{u}, \tilde{\tau})\theta\left[\frac{3}{4}, 0\right](\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{\tau})} \\ &= \tilde{K} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{2}(\tilde{u} + \tilde{u}_0)} e^{\frac{\pi i \tilde{\tau}}{8}} \frac{\theta(\tilde{u}, \tilde{\tau})}{\theta\left[\frac{3}{4}, 0\right](\tilde{u}, \tilde{\tau})\theta\left[\frac{3}{4}, 0\right](\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{\tau})} = K' \frac{\theta(\tilde{u}, \tilde{\tau})}{\theta\left[\frac{3}{4}, 0\right](\tilde{u}, \tilde{\tau})\theta\left[\frac{3}{4}, 0\right](\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{\tau})} \end{aligned}$$

where we made a change of coordinate by $\tilde{u} := \tilde{u}' - \frac{1}{2} = -2s - \tau t - \frac{1}{2} = -2s - \tilde{\tau}\frac{t}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$ and $\tilde{u}_0 := -1/2$. Note that $u := \tilde{u}/2 = -s - \tau\frac{t}{2} - \frac{1}{4}$ is a variable on $E_\tau = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\langle\tau\rangle)$. The precise constants are given by

$$\tilde{K} := -i\frac{q_d}{2\pi}\theta'((\tilde{\tau}+1)/2, \tilde{\tau}) e^{\frac{\pi i \tilde{u}}{2}}, \quad K' := \tilde{K} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{2}(\tilde{u} + \tilde{u}_0)} e^{\frac{\pi i \tilde{\tau}}{8}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{\frac{\pi i \tilde{\tau}}{4}} \theta'((\tilde{\tau}+1)/2, \tilde{\tau}).$$

We set θ_0 to be the constant $\theta\left[\frac{3}{4}, 0\right](\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{\tau})$ ($\tilde{u}_0 = -1/2$) to get

$$\theta_0 (K')^{-1} A = \frac{\theta[0,0](\tilde{u}, \tilde{\tau})}{\theta\left[\frac{3}{4}, 0\right](\tilde{u}, \tilde{\tau})}$$

which we denote by a. Setting $K = \theta_0(K')^{-1}$, we have $a := KA = \dfrac{\theta[0,0](\tilde{u},\tilde{\tau})}{\theta\left[\frac{3}{4},0\right](\tilde{u},\tilde{\tau})}$.

$$b := KB = -i\frac{\theta[0,0](\tilde{u},\tilde{\tau})}{\theta\left[\frac{1}{4},0\right](\tilde{u},\tilde{\tau})}$$
$$c := KC = i\frac{\theta\left[\frac{2}{4},0\right](\tilde{u},\tilde{\tau})}{\theta\left[\frac{1}{4},0\right](\tilde{u},\tilde{\tau})}$$
$$d := KD = \frac{\theta\left[\frac{2}{4},0\right](\tilde{u},\tilde{\tau})}{\theta\left[\frac{3}{4},0\right](\tilde{u},\tilde{\tau})}$$

It directly follows that $ac + bd = 0$.

A.3. **Embedding of E_τ into $\mathbb{P}^3$.** We next show that $(a : b : c : d) : E_\tau = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\langle\tau\rangle) \to \mathbb{P}^3$ is an embedding of the elliptic curve. Define two maps $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 : E_\tau \to \mathbb{P}^1$ by

$$\Phi_1 := (b : c) = (\theta[0,0](\tilde{u},\tilde{\tau}) : -\theta[1/2,0](\tilde{u},\tilde{\tau})] = (\Theta_0(u,\tau)_2 : -\Theta_1(u,\tau)_2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_2 &:= (a : b) = (\theta[1/4,0](\tilde{u},\tilde{\tau}) : -i\theta[3/4,0](\tilde{u},\tilde{\tau})) \\ &= \left(\theta[0,0]\left(\tilde{u}+\frac{\tilde{\tau}}{4},\tilde{\tau}\right) : -\theta[1/2,0]\left(\tilde{u}+\frac{\tilde{\tau}}{4},\tilde{\tau}\right)\right) = \left(\Theta_0\left(u+\frac{\tau}{4},\tau\right)_2 : -i\Theta_1\left(u+\frac{\tau}{4},\tau\right)_2\right).\end{aligned}$$

(Recall $\Theta_j(u,\tau)_2 := \theta\left[\frac{j}{2},0\right](2u,2\tau)$ for $j = 0,1$ and $\tilde{\tau} = 2\tau$ and $\tilde{u} = 2u$.)

Consider the map $\Phi : \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\langle\tau\rangle) \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ given by

$$\Phi = (\Phi_1 : \Phi_2) = ((b : c), (a : b)).$$

Composing with the embedding

$$\iota : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3 \qquad ([z_0 : z_1], [w_0 : w_1]) \mapsto (z_0w_0 : z_0w_1 : z_1w_1 : -z_1w_0)$$

we obtain back the original map $(a : b : c : d)$ since

$$\iota \circ \Phi = (ba : bb : cb : -ca) = (a : b : c : -ca/b) = (a : b : c : d)$$

where we used $ac = -bd$. Thus it suffices to show that Φ gives an embedding of E_τ into $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

Note that $\Theta_j(-u) = \Theta_j(u)$ for all j. Using this property, it is easy to check that $\Phi_1 : E_\tau \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is a branched double cover whose fiver is $\{u, 1+\tau-u\}$ where $u \in E_\tau$. On the other hand, $\Phi_2 : E_\tau \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is also a branched double cover by the same reason, but the fiber is $\{u, 1+\frac{\tau}{2}-u\}$ in this case. This shows that $\Phi = (\Phi_1 : \Phi_2)$ is injective.

REFERENCES

[AAE+13] M. Abouzaid, D. Auroux, A. I. Efimov, L. Katzarkov, and D. Orlov, *Homological mirror symmetry for punctured spheres*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (2013), no. 4, 1051–1083.

[AAK] M. Abouzaid, D. Auroux, and L. Katzarkov, *Lagrangian fibrations on blowups of toric varieties and mirror symmetry for hypersurfaces*, preprint, arXiv:1205.0053.

[Aboa] M. Abouzaid, *Family Floer cohomology and mirror symmetry*, preprint, arXiv:1404.2659.

[Abob] ———, *Family Floer functor is faithful*, preprint, arXiv:1408.6794.

[Abo06] M. Abouzaid, *Homogeneous coordinate rings and mirror symmetry for toric varieties*, Geom. Topol. **10** (2006), 1097–1157.

[Abo10] ———, *A geometric criterion for generating the Fukaya category*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. (2010), no. 112, 191–240.

[AJ10] M. Akaho and D. Joyce, *Immersed Lagrangian Floer theory*, J. Differential Geom. **86** (2010), no. 3, 381–500.

[AK06] P. S. Aspinwall and S. Katz, *Computation of superpotentials for D-branes*, Comm. Math. Phys. **264** (2006), no. 1, 227–253.

[AKO08] D. Auroux, L. Katzarkov, and D. Orlov, *Mirror symmetry for weighted projective planes and their noncommutative deformations*, Ann. of Math. (2) **167** (2008), no. 3, 867–943.

[AS87] M. Artin and W. Schelter, *Graded algebras of global dimension 3*, Adv. Math. **66** (1987), 171–216.

[AS10] M. Abouzaid and P. Seidel, *An open string analogue of viterbo functoriality*, Geom. Topol. **14** (2010), 627–718.

[ATdB90] M. Artin, J. Tate, and M. Van den Bergh, *Some algebras associated to automorphisms of elliptic curves*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Birkhauser, Boston **1** (1990), 33–85.

[Aur07] D. Auroux, *Mirror symmetry and T-duality in the complement of an anticanonical divisor*, J. Gökova Geom. Topol. GGT **1** (2007), 51–91.

[Aur09] ———, *Special Lagrangian fibrations, wall-crossing, and mirror symmetry*, Surveys in differential geometry. Vol. XIII. Geometry, analysis, and algebraic geometry: forty years of the Journal of Differential Geometry, Surv. Differ. Geom., vol. 13, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2009, pp. 1–47.

[AVdB90] M. Artin and M. Van den Bergh, *Twisted homogeneous coordinate rings*, J. Algebra **133** (1990), no. 2, 249–271.

[AZ06] M. Aldi and E. Zaslow, *Seidel's mirror map for abelian varieties*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **10** (2006), no. 4, 591–602.

[Boc] R. Bocklandt, *Noncommutative mirror symmetry for punctured surfaces*, preprint, arXiv:1111.3392.

[Boc12] ———, *Consistency conditions for dimer models*, Glasgow Mathematical Journal **54** (2012), no. 2, 429–447.

[BP93] A. I. Bondal and A. E. Polishchuk, *Homological properties of associative algebras: the method of helices*, Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. **57** (1993), no. 2, 3–50.

[BS15] T. Bridgeland and I. Smith, *Quadratic differentials as stability conditions*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **121** (2015), 155–278.

[CBEG07] W. Crawley-Boevey, P. Etingof, and V. Ginzburg, *Noncommutative geometry and quiver algebras*, Adv. Math. **209** (2007), no. 1, 274–336.

[CCLT] K. Chan, C.-H. Cho, S.-C. Lau, and H.-H. Tseng, *Gross fibration, SYZ mirror symmetry, and open Gromov-Witten invariants for toric Calabi-Yau orbifolds*, to appear in J. Differential Geom., arXiv:1306.0437.

[CCLT14] ———, *Lagrangian Floer superpotentials and crepant resolutions for toric orbifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys. **328** (2014), no. 1, 83–130.

[CHLa] C.-H. Cho, H. Hong, and S.-C. Lau, *Localized mirror functor constructed from a Lagrangian torus*, preprint, arXiv:1406.4597.

[CHLb] ———, *Localized mirror functor for Lagrangian immersions, and homological mirror symmetry for* $\mathbb{P}^1_{a,b,c}$, preprint, arXiv:1308.4651.

[CLL12] K. Chan, S.-C. Lau, and N.-C. Leung, *SYZ mirror symmetry for toric Calabi-Yau manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **90** (2012), no. 2, 177–250.

[CLLT12] K. Chan, S.-C. Lau, N.-C. Leung, and H.-H. Tseng, *Open Gromov-Witten invariants and Seidel representations for toric manifolds*, preprint (2012), arXiv: 1209.6119.

[CT13] A. Căldăraru and J. Tu, *Curved* A_∞ *algebras and Landau-Ginzburg models*, New York J. Math. **19** (2013), 305–342.

[DDP07] D. E. Diaconescu, R. Donagi, and T. Pantev, *Intermediate Jacobians and ADE Hitchin systems*, Math. Res. Lett. **14** (2007), no. 5, 745–756.

[Dol] I. Dolgachev, *Lectures on modular forms*, http://www.math.lsa.umich.edu/~idolga/.

[DWZ08] H. Derksen, J. Weyman, and A. Zelevinsky, *Quivers with potentials and their representations. I. Mutations*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **14** (2008), no. 1, 59–119.

[Dyc11] Tobias Dyckerhoff, *Compact generators in categories of matrix factorizations*, Duke Math. J. **159** (2011), no. 2, 223–274.

[EG10] P. Etingof and V. Ginzburg, *Noncommutative del Pezzo surfaces and Calabi-Yau algebras*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **12** (2010), no. 6, 1371–1416.

[FG06] V. V. Fock and A. B. Goncharov, *Moduli spaces of local systems and higher Teichmüller theory*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. (2006), no. 103, 1–211.

[FG09] ———, *The quantum dilogarithm and representations of quantum cluster varieties*, Invent. Math. **175** (2009), no. 2, 223–286.

[FHKV08] B. Feng, Y. He, K. Kennaway, and C. Vafa, *Dimer models from mirror symmetry and quivering amoebae*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **12** (2008), no. 3, 489–545.

[FLTZ12] B. Fang, C.-C. M. Liu, D. Treumann, and E. Zaslow, *T-duality and homological mirror symmetry for toric varieties*, Adv. Math. **229** (2012), no. 3, 1875–1911.

[FOOO09] K. Fukaya, Y.-G. Oh, H. Ohta, and K. Ono, *Lagrangian intersection Floer theory: anomaly and obstruction. Parts I and II*, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 46, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009.

[Fuk02a] K. Fukaya, *Floer homology and mirror symmetry. II*, Minimal surfaces, geometric analysis and symplectic geometry (Baltimore, MD, 1999), Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 34, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2002, pp. 31–127.

[Fuk02b] ———, *Floer homology for families—a progress report*, Integrable systems, topology, and physics (Tokyo, 2000), Contemp. Math., vol. 309, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002, pp. 33–68.

[Fuk02c] ———, *Mirror symmetry of abelian varieties and multi-theta functions*, J. Algebraic Geom. **11** (2002), no. 3, 393–512.

[Gin] V. Ginzburg, *Calabi-yau algebras*, preprint, arXiv:math/0612139.

[GMN10] D. Gaiotto, G. W. Moore, and A. Neitzke, *Four-dimensional wall-crossing via three-dimensional field theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. **299** (2010), no. 1, 163–224.

[GMN13a] ———, *Spectral networks*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **14** (2013), no. 7, 1643–1731.

[GMN13b] ———, *Wall-crossing, Hitchin systems, and the WKB approximation*, Adv. Math. **234** (2013), 239–403.

[GS11] M. Gross and B. Siebert, *From real affine geometry to complex geometry*, Ann. of Math. (2) **174** (2011), no. 3, 1301–1428.

[HKK] F. Haiden, L. Katzarkov, and M. Kontsevich, *Flat surfaces and stability structures*, preprint, arXiv:1409.8611.

[IU11] A. Ishii and K. Ueda, *A note on consistency conditions on dimer models*, Higher dimensional algebraic geometry, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu, B24, Res. Inst. Math. Sci. (RIMS), Kyoto, 2011, pp. 143–164.

[Kea] Ailsa Keating, *Homological mirror symmetry for hypersurface cusp singularities*, preprint, arXiv:1510.08911.

[Kel08] B. Keller, *Calabi-Yau triangulated categories*, Trends in representation theory of algebras and related topics, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2008, pp. 467–489.

[KL03] A. Kapustin and Y. Li, *Topological correlators in Landau-Ginzburg models with boundaries*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **7** (2003), no. 4, 727–749.

[Kon95] M. Kontsevich, *Homological algebra of mirror symmetry*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Zürich, 1994) (Basel), Birkhäuser, 1995, pp. 120–139.

[Kon03] ———, *Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds*, Lett. Math. Phys **66** (2003), no. 3, 157–216.

[Kro68] L. Kronecker, *Zur theorie der elliptischen functionen*, Leopold Kornecker's Werke **IV** (1968), 311–318.

[KS] M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman, *Stability structures, motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants and cluster transformations*, preprint, arXiv:0811.2435.

[KS01] ———, *Homological mirror symmetry and torus fibrations*, Symplectic geometry and mirror symmetry (Seoul, 2000), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2001, pp. 203–263.

[KS02] M. Khovanov and P. Seidel, *Quivers, Floer cohomology, and braid group actions*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), no. 1, 203–271.

[KS06] M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman, *Affine structures and non-Archimedean analytic spaces*, The unity of mathematics, Progr. Math., vol. 244, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006, pp. 321–385.

[KS09] ———, *Notes on A_∞-algebras, A_∞-categories and non-commutative geometry*, Homological mirror symmetry, Lecture Notes in Phys., vol. 757, Springer, Berlin, 2009, pp. 153–219.

[KY11] B. Keller and D. Yang, *Derived equivalences from mutations of quivers with potential*, Adv. Math. **226** (2011), no. 3, 2118–2168.

[Lau14] S.-C. Lau, *Open Gromov-Witten invariants and SYZ under local conifold transitions*, JLMS (2014), doi: 10.1112/jlms/jdu032.

[Lee] Sangwook Lee, *Comparison of mirror functors of the elliptic curve via lg-cy correspondence comparison of mirror functors of the elliptic curve via lg/cy correspondence comparison of mirror functors of the elliptic curve via lg/cy correspondence*, Preprint.

[LF09] D. Labardini-Fragoso, *Quivers with potentials associated to triangulated surfaces*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **98** (2009), no. 3, 797–839.

[LL] N.-C. Leung and Y. Li, *Twin Lagrangian fibrations in mirror symmetry*, preprint, arXiv:1506.04625.

[LY10] N.-C. Leung and S.-T. Yau, *Mirror symmetry of Fourier-Mukai transformation for elliptic Calabi-Yau manifolds*, The many facets of geometry, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2010, pp. 299–323.

[LYZ00] N.-C. Leung, S.-T. Yau, and E. Zaslow, *From special Lagrangian to Hermitian-Yang-Mills via Fourier-Mukai transform*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **4** (2000), no. 6, 1319–1341.

[LZ15] S.-C. Lau and J. Zhou, *Modularity of open Gromov–Witten potentials of elliptic orbifolds*, Commun. Number Theory Phys. **9** (2015), no. 2, 345–385.

[OR02] A. V. Odesskii and V. N. Rubtsov, *Polynomial Poisson algebras with regular structure of symplectic leaves*, Theoretical and mathematical physics **133** (2002), no. 1, 1321–1337.

[Orl09] D. Orlov, *Derived categories of coherent sheaves and triangulated categories of singularities*, Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry: in honor of Yu. I. Manin. Vol. II, Progr. Math., vol. 270, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2009, pp. 503–531.

[OU] S. Okawa and K. Ueda, *Noncommutative quadric surfaces and noncommutative conifolds*, preprint, arXiv:1403.0713.

[Pol00] A. Polishchuk, *Massey and Fukaya products on elliptic curves*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **4** (2000), no. 6, 1187–1207.

[PZ98] A. Polishchuk and E. Zaslow, *Categorical mirror symmetry: the elliptic curve*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998), no. 2, 443–470.

[RSS80] Gian-Carlo Rota, Bruce Sagan, and Paul R. Stein, *A cyclic derivative in noncommutative algebra*, J. Algebra **64** (1980), no. 1, 54–75. MR 575782 (82b:05017)

[Seg13] E. Segal, *The closed state space of affine Landau-Ginzburg B-models*, J. Noncommut. Geom. **7** (2013), no. 3, 857–883.

[Sei] P. Seidel, *Homological mirror symmetry for the quartic surface*, preprint, arXiv:math/0310414.

[Sei08] ———, *Fukaya categories and Picard-Lefschetz theory*, Zurich Lectures in Advanced Mathematics, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008. MR 2441780 (2009f:53143)
[Sei11] ———, *Homological mirror symmetry for the genus two curve*, J. Algebraic Geom. **20** (2011), no. 4, 727–769.
[She11] N. Sheridan, *On the homological mirror symmetry conjecture for pairs of pants*, J. Differential Geom. **89** (2011), no. 2, 271–367.
[She15] ———, *Homological mirror symmetry for Calabi–Yau hypersurfaces in projective space*, Invent. Math. **199** (2015), no. 1, 1–186.
[Smi] I. Smith, *Quiver algebras as Fukaya categories*, preprint, arXiv:1309.0452.
[Smi94] S. P. Smith, *The four-dimensional sklyanin algebras*, K-theory **8** (1994), no. 1, 65–80.
[Ste97] D.R. Stephenson, *Algebras associated to elliptic curves*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **349** (1997), 2317–2340.
[SYZ96] A. Strominger, S.-T. Yau, and E. Zaslow, *Mirror symmetry is T-duality*, Nuclear Phys. B **479** (1996), no. 1-2, 243–259.
[Tu14a] J. Tu, *On the reconstruction problem in mirror symmetry*, Adv. Math. **256** (2014), 449–478.
[Tu14b] Junwu Tu, *Matrix factorizations via Koszul duality*, Compositio Mathematica **150** (2014), no. 09, 1549–1578, arXiv:1009.4151.
[Tu15] J. Tu, *Homological mirror symmetry and Fourier-Mukai transform*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2015), no. 3, 579–630.
[UY11] K. Ueda and M. Yamazaki, *A note on dimer models and McKay quivers*, Comm. Math. Phys. **301** (2011), no. 3, 723–747.
[UY13] ———, *Homological mirror symmetry for toric orbifolds of toric del Pezzo surfaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **680** (2013), 1–22.
[VdB11] M. Van den Bergh, *Noncommutative quadrics*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2011), no. 17, 3983–4026.
[Zas05] E. Zaslow, *Seidel's mirror map for the torus*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **9** (2005), no. 6, 999–1006.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, RESEARCH INSTITUTE IN MATHEMATICS, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, GWANAK-GU, SEOUL, SOUTH KOREA

E-mail address: chocheol@snu.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS/THE INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, SHATIN, NEW TERRITORIES, HONG KONG

E-mail address: hansol84@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BOSTON UNIVERSITY, BOSTON, MA, USA

E-mail address: lau@math.bu.edu